

Industrial and Organizational Psychology

Perspectives on Science and Practice

Including focal articles with commentaries:

*From Handmaidens to POSH Humanitarians: The Case for Making
Human Capabilities the Business of I-O Psychology*

**Alexander Gloss, Stuart C. Carr, Walter Reichman,
Inusah Abdul-Nasiru, and W. Trevor Oestereich**

Meta-Analysis and the Myth of Generalizability

Robert P. Tett, Nathan A. Hundley, and Neil D. Christiansen



SOCIETY for
INDUSTRIAL and
ORGANIZATIONAL
PSYCHOLOGY

SCIENCE FOR A SMARTER WORKPLACE



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Industrial and Organizational Psychology

Perspectives on Science and Practice

The novel format of the journal focuses on an interactive exchanges on topics of importance to science and practice in the field of industrial and organizational psychology. The journal takes a focal article–peer commentary format.

A focal article is a position paper on an important issue for the field (or potentially a pair of papers taking opposite sides in a debate). Such a focal article might summarize evidence on an issue and take a position as to implications for science, practice, or public policy. The paper might focus on a basic science issue, an applied science issue, a practice issue, or a public policy issue; many would be a blend. The focal article is then followed by a series of peer commentaries. These could challenge or critique the original article, expand on issues not addressed in the focal article, or draw out implications not developed in the focal article. The goal is to include commentaries from various perspectives, including science, practice, and international perspectives.

EDITOR:

John C. Scott, APTMetrics, Inc.

PRACTICE FORUM SECTION EDITOR:

Mark Poteet, Organizational Research & Solutions, Inc.

PUBLICATIONS MANAGER:

Jenny Baker, Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology

EDITORIAL BOARD:

Neil R. Anderson, Brunel University
Winfred Arthur, Texas A & M University
Tayla N. Bauer, Portland State University
Michael J. Burke, Tulane University
Stuart C. Carr, Massey University
Allan H. Church, PepsiCo, Inc.
José M. Cortina, George Mason University
Satoris S. Culbertson, Kansas State University
Fritz Drasgow, University of Illinois at
Urbana-Champaign
Mark Griffin, University of Western Australia
Theodore Hayes, US Office of Personnel Management
Beryl L. Hesketh, University of Western Sydney
George P. Hollenbeck, Hollenbeck Associates
Leaetta M. Hough, Dunnette Group, Ltd.
Jeff W. Johnson, Personnel Decisions Research Institute
Tracy Kantrowitz, SHL
Jerard F. Kehoe, Selection and Assessment Counselling
Richard J. Klimoski, George Mason University
Allen I. Kraut, Baruch College, CUNY
Hennie J. Kriek, Top Talent Solutions and University
of South Africa

Morgan W. McCall, University of Southern California
Jeffrey J. McHenry, Rainier Leadership Solutions
S. Morton McPhail, Retired Consultant, USA
Kevin Murphy, Lamorinda Consulting LLC and
Colorado State University
Kenneth Pearlman, Creative Personnel Management
Consulting
Hannah R. Rothstein, Baruch College, CUNY
Ann Marie Ryan, Michigan State University
Lise M. Saari, New York University
Eduardo Salas, University of Central Florida
John C. Scott, APTMetrics, Inc.
Robert F. Silzer, HR Assessment & Development and
Baruch, Graduate Center, CUNY
Stephen D. Steinhaus, HRAlignment
William J. Strickland, Human Resources Research
Organization
Nancy T. Tippins, CEB
Aharon Tziner, Netanya Academic College
Sara P. Weiner, Glint
Alan Witt, University of Houston

A journal of the Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology

SIOP is the premier membership organization for those practicing and teaching I-O psychology. While an independent organization with its own governance, SIOP is also a division within the American Psychological Association and an organizational affiliate of the Association for Psychological Science.

SIOP membership includes online access to all issues of the journal as well as an optional print copy. Individuals can become members at <http://www.siop.org/Dues/payment.aspx>. Membership rates are \$100 for professional members (Fellows, Members, Associates, International Affiliates) and \$50 for Student Affiliates & Retired statuses.

© Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology

Industrial and Organizational Psychology

Perspectives on Science and Practice

VOLUME 10, ISSUE 3, SEPTEMBER 2017

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

- From the Editor
JOHN C. SCOTT 327

FOCAL ARTICLE

- From Handmaidens to POSH Humanitarians: The Case for Making Human Capabilities the Business of I-O Psychology
ALEXANDER GLOSS, STUART C. CARR, WALTER REICHMAN, INUSAH ABDUL-NASIRU, AND W. TREVOR OESTEREICH 329

COMMENTARIES

- STRETCH Goals for I-O Psychology
JULIE B. OLSON-BUCHANAN AND TAMMY D. ALLEN 370
- Workers in Poverty: An Insight Into Informal Workers Around the World
MAHIMA SAXENA 376
- Partnering Against Poverty: Fighting POSH Bias Through Increased Interdisciplinary Research and Practice
SHUJAAT AHMED, ASHLEY J. HOFFMAN, MORRIE MULLINS, AND LAURA SYWULAK 379
- Transformative Service Research as an Exemplar for Humanitarian I-O Psychology
MAHESH SUBRAMONY 385
- Humanistic I-O Psychology: The Value of a Focus on Women
LISE SAARI 388
- Minimizing the POSH Bias Through Education: Necessity of the “How-To” Component
JUSTINA M. OLIVEIRA 392
- The “How” Matters as Much as the “Who”
ASHLEY J. HOFFMAN 396
- Employee Work Ethic in Nine Nonindustrialized Contexts: Some Surprising Non-POSH Findings
ALEX DE VOOGT AND JONAS W. B. LANG 398

Still Too POSH to Push for Structural Change? The Need for a Macropsychology Perspective MALCOLM MACLACHLAN	403
A Missing Link in Gloss et al. (“From Handmaidens to POSH Humanitarians”) JOHN E. S. LAWRENCE	407
Handmaidens to Capitalism NATHAN GERARD	410
Let’s Reduce the Human Footprint Before Building Human Capabilities PARESH MISHRA	414
 FOCAL ARTICLE	
Meta-Analysis and the Myth of Generalizability ROBERT P. TETT, NATHAN A. HUNDLEY, AND NEIL D. CHRISTIANSEN	421
 COMMENTARIES	
Treating Uncertainty in Meta-Analytic Results MICHAEL T. BRANNICK	457
“Life Doesn’t Happen at the Between-Person Level,” or a Cautionary Note on Generating Scientific Inferences Through Meta-Analyses HANNAH L. SAMUELSON, JESSICA R. FERNANDEZ, AND JAMES A. GRAND	459
Who Is the Culprit? A Commentary on Moderator Detection HANNAH M. MARKELL AND JOSE M. CORTINA	465
Don’t Get Too Confident: Uncertainty in SD_p SCOTT B. MORRIS, SAMUEL T. MCABEE, RONALD S. LANDIS, AND KRISTINA N. BAUER	467
Empirical Benchmarks for Interpreting Effect Size Variability in Meta-Analysis BRENTON M. WIERNIK, JACK W. KOSTAL, MICHAEL P. WILMOT, STEPHAN DILCHERT, AND DENIZ S. ONES	472
On the Mystery (or Myth) of Challenging Principles and Methods of Validity Generalization (VG) Based on Fragmentary Knowledge and Improper or Outdated Practices of VG IN-SUE OH AND PHILIP L. ROTH	479
Validity Generalization as a Continuum ERNEST H. O’BOYLE	485
A Failed Challenge to Validity Generalization: Addressing a Fundamental Misunderstanding of the Nature of VG FRANK L. SCHMIDT, CHOCKALINGAM VISWESVARAN, DENIZ S. ONES, AND HUY LE	488
Generalizability Versus Situational Specificity in Adverse Impact Analysis: Issues in Data Aggregation ELIZABETH HOWARD, SCOTT B. MORRIS, AND ERIC DUNLEAVY	495