Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom

cambridge.org/mbi

Marine Record

Cite this article: Mossbrucker ME, Acuña-Marrero D, Cundy ME, Fierro-Arcos D, Suárez-Moncada JM, Rastoin-Laplaine E, Salinas-de-León P (2023). First records of two rays and three bony fishes for the Galapagos Islands. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **103**, e28, 1–7. https:// doi.org/10.1017/S0025315423000176

Received: 6 December 2021 Revised: 3 February 2023 Accepted: 10 February 2023

Keywords:

El Niño Southern Oscillation; Marine Protected Area; oceanic islands; BRUVS; DOVS; Tropical Eastern Pacific

Author for correspondence: Magdalena E. Mossbrucker, E-mail: me.mossbrucker@hotmail.com

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom



First records of two rays and three bony fishes for the Galapagos Islands

Magdalena E. Mossbrucker¹ , David Acuña-Marrero¹, Megan E. Cundy¹, Denisse Fierro-Arcos^{1,2}, Jenifer M. Suárez-Moncada³, Etienne Rastoin-Laplaine^{1,4} and Pelayo Salinas-de-León^{1,5}

¹Charles Darwin Foundation, Charles Darwin Research Station, Av. Charles Darwin s/n, Puerto Ayora, Galapagos, Ecuador; ²Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Australia; ³Galapagos National Park Directorate, Av. Charles Darwin s/n, Puerto Ayora, Galapagos, Ecuador; ⁴School of Molecular and Life Sciences, Curtin University, Bentley 6102, Western Australia, Australia and ⁵Save Our Seas Foundation Shark Research Center and Guy Harvey Research Institute, Nova Southeastern University, 8000 North Ocean Drive, Dania Beach, 33004 Florida, USA

Abstract

The Galapagos Islands lie within the oceanic ecoregion of the Tropical Eastern Pacific, which has a unique fish assemblage composition due to the influence of several ocean currents and El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) events. In the El Niño phase of these events, water temperature changes facilitate the movement of fish species between oceanic ecoregions, as well as across the Eastern Pacific Barrier. Here, we present five new fish records for the Galapagos Marine Reserve based on underwater imagery. These include two rays (*Mobula thurstoni* and *Myliobatis longirostris*) and three bony fishes (*Lobotes pacifica, Lutjanus colorado* and *Sphyraena stellata*). Of these, the first species is proposed as potentially resident to the Galapagos, and the latter four as vagrant species in the Galapagos until further sightings can conclusively determine their status. The effects of ENSO, the use of underwater video technology, and the importance of up-to-date and accurate species listings to understand the impact of the climate crisis are discussed.

Introduction

The Tropical Eastern Pacific (TEP) marine ecoregion stretches from southern Baja California to northern Peru (Figure 1A). The TEP is further divided into three marine provinces: the Cortez and Panamic provinces located along the coast of the Americas, and the Ocean Island province, which includes several oceanic island groups, one of which is the Galapagos archipelago (Spalding *et al.*, 2007; Robertson & Cramer, 2009). Unique shore fish communities and oceanic conditions characterize each of these provinces, with the Galapagos archipelago showing the highest rate of endemism for shore fishes (Robertson & Cramer, 2009).

The Galapagos Marine Reserve (GMR) encompasses ~138,000 km² and lies at the confluence of three major ocean currents (Heylings *et al.*, 2002), namely the Cromwell, Humboldt and Panama currents. Warm water is brought from the north-east by the Panama Current, which contributes larvae as well as some juvenile and adult fishes coming from the Panamic province, which is why a high percentage (44.8%) of the species that make up the fish assemblage in the GMR is shared with the Panamic region (McCosker & Rosenblatt, 2010). Both the Humboldt and Cromwell currents bring cold, nutrient-rich waters and carry species from the south and west, respectively (McCosker & Rosenblatt, 2010). Their confluence promotes high habitat diversity, and therefore high diversity in fish communities (Banks, 2002), which in turn leads to high rates of endemism (13.6%; 4). To date, a total of 536 shore fishes have been reported for the Galapagos Islands (McCosker & Rosenblatt, 2010).

Due to the changing nature of these confluent oceanic currents, species introductions through direct migration, passive or active larval dispersal through drifting or swimming, as well as association with flotsam are frequent (Acuña-Marrero & Salinas-de-León, 2013). Previous studies have described new vagrant species in the Galapagos originating in the Indo-Pacific (Robertson *et al.*, 2004; Acuña-Marrero & Salinas-de-León, 2013), whose migration may have been triggered by warm El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) events (Robertson *et al.*, 2004; Glynn *et al.*, 2017). Other studies describe possible range extensions after such events, due to increases in warm water flows from the north-east, which can facilitate fish migrations (Victor *et al.*, 2001; Banks, 2002) further aided by oceanic islands such as Malpelo and Cocos, that 'could act as stepping stones from the Panamic region' Glynn *et al.*, 2017. Therefore, changes in oceanic conditions are likely to trigger or prolong fish migrations.

Data about fish community composition and distribution patterns can serve as a point of comparison to understand the effects of changing environmental factors (i.e. extreme climatic events such as ENSO) and anthropogenic impacts (i.e. climate change) on fish communities. The Galapagos is a unique ecosystem whose protection measures are adaptive, so a thorough understanding of its species composition can ultimately provide valuable information for



Fig. 1. Location of the new fish records for the Galapagos Islands. (A) Position of the Galapagos Islands within the TEP, where the red square shows the extent of (B). Blue polygons show the limits of the EEZ that comprise the TEP. (B) Location of new records within the Galapagos. Inset shows new record locations at Wolf Island.

conservation management, especially regarding its dynamic marine ecosystem. To contribute towards an increased understanding of the fish community composition of the Galapagos, we present five new records of fishes for the Galapagos Islands. These are based on underwater imagery obtained as part of large-scale ecological studies conducted by the Charles Darwin Research Station (Salinas de León *et al.*, 2016; Acuña-Marrero *et al.*, 2018; Tanner *et al.*, 2019) and opportunistic sightings by naturalist guides and researchers.

Materials and methods

The new fish records for the GMR were obtained through several methods. One of them is from the analysis of videos obtained from archipelago-wide surveys of rocky reefs and mangrove habitats using stereo-video systems (Salinas de León et al., 2016; Acuña-Marrero et al., 2018). Stereo-video surveys represent a unique opportunity to identify, catalogue and measure fish individuals since the videos can be replayed for identification purposes (Santana-Garcon et al., 2014; Salinas-de-León et al., 2015; Rastoin-Laplane et al., 2020), and not only date, time and exact location of the deployment are recorded, but also the exact number and size of specimens can be accurately measured (Cappo et al., 1999). Footage from stereo-Baited Remote Underwater Video Systems (s-BRUVS) and stereo-Diver Operated Video Surveys (stereo-DOVS), as well as data obtained through Underwater Visual Censuses (UVC) were analysed and account for three of the records. Additionally, two other records were obtained due to opportunistic sightings while conducting scientific dives. Trained fish biologists analysed video and photographic evidence and gave tentative identifications. Fish identification and marine biology experts, namely Dr Ross Robertson (Smithsonian Institution), Dr Alan Friedlander (University of Hawaii), Dr Guy Stevens (The Manta Trust), Dr Daniel Fernando (Linnaeus University) and Dr Dave Ebert (American Elasmobranch Society), later confirmed these identifications.

To determine whether a new record should be classified as vagrant or resident in the Galapagos, we followed the guidelines set in Robertson *et al.* (2004). A vagrant species is defined as one that does not have a self-replenishing population because either a few individuals of the same size appear once at a single site, or a few isolated individuals have been reported at a few sites and/or on a limited number of occasions. A resident species is defined as probably having a self-sustaining population, as they are relatively common at at least one site and have been frequently sighted over the span of many years, and whose population includes juveniles and adults of different sizes.

Results

We present new records of two elasmobranchs and three teleosts for the Galapagos Islands (Figure 1B). These are presented by phylogenetic order, listing Elasmobranchii as represented by the families Myliobatidae and Mobulidae (Naylor *et al.*, 2012), followed by Teloeostomi with the families Sphyraenidae, Lutjanidae and Lobotidae (Betancur-R *et al.*, 2017).

Order MYLIOBATIFORMES Suborder MYLIOBATIDOIDEI Family MYLIOBATIDAE

Genus Myliobatis Cuvier, 1816

Myliobatis longirostris Applegate & Fitch, 1964

Two individuals of ~135 cm total length (TL) of the snouted eagle ray (Myliobatis longirostris) were recorded on s-BRUVS at two sites in 2015, the Banco Ruso seamount in the south-eastern part of the archipelago, and off the north-eastern coast of San Cristobal Island (Figure 1B). Myliobatis longirostris is quite distinct from the only other Myliobatidae reported in the GMR, the Peruvian bat-eagle ray, M. peruvianus (Grove & Lavenberg, 1997). As its name suggests, M. longirostris is mainly distinguishable due to its markedly long and pointed snout (Figure 2A, B) (McEachran & Notarbartolo di Sciara, 1995b), while M. peruvianus has a flattened and wide head (Pequeño, 1989). The snouted eagle ray's pectoral fins are pointed and slightly concave towards the tip of the posterior side (Figure 2B). Myliobatis longirostris has a slender tail, which is approximately the size of the disc and presents no tail fin. However, it does have a rounded dorsal fin, after which one or two large spines protrude at the base (Figure 2B) (McEachran & Notarbartolo di Sciara, 1995b). Further details are seen in the supplementary material provided for this species (Supplementary data 1). Identifications for M. longirostris were confirmed by Dr Robertson. In the TEP, this ray has been reported from Southern Baja California and the Gulf of California down along the continental coast of Ecuador to northern Peru (Chirichigno & Cornejo, 2001; Love et al., 2005). This species is listed as Near Threatened by the IUCN due to frequent bycatch of artisanal fisheries and trawlers (Smith & Bizzarro, 2006).

> Order MYLIOBATIFORMES Suborder MYLIOBATIDOIDEI Family MOBULIDAE Genus *Mobula* Rafinesque, 1810 *Mobula thurstoni* (Lloyd, 1908)

A school of ~20 individuals of the smoothtail mobula (Mobula thurstoni) was sighted while conducting a scientific dive at Bartolomé Island in April 2013. Additional images were obtained from local dive guides, which were taken at several locations around Santa Cruz Island in 2015. To date, there are four species of Mobula registered in the GMR: Mobula birostris, Mobula mobular, Mobula munkiana and Mobula tarapacana (Grove & Lavenberg, 1997; McCosker & Rosenblatt, 2010). Mobula thurstoni is smaller than M. mobular (McEachran & Séret, 1990) and M. tarapacana (McEachran & Notarbartolo di Sciara, 1995a), and presents swept-back tips of its pectoral fins that are folded at the front margins (Figure 2C) (Compagno et al., 1989); these are more prominent than those of M. munkiana (McEachran & Notarbartolo di Sciara, 1995a). Mobula thurstoni also has notably short head fins paired with a squat head, and a tail ~60% of the length of the disc in adults (Figure 2C, D) (Compagno et al., 1989). The identification was confirmed by experts Dr Stevens and Dr Fernando of the Manta Trust. This species had previously been reported along the continental coast of the eastern Pacific from Costa Rica to Chile (Lezama-Ochoa et al., 2019), and was reported in Clipperton island (Béarez & Séret, 2009) as well as through fishing vessel data that included the GMR (Lezama-Ochoa et al., 2019). This species was assessed as Endangered globally by the IUCN due to high active fishing pressure and bycatch rates (Marshall et al., 2019).

> Order CARANGIFORMES Suborder SCOMBROIDEI Family SPHYRAENIDAE Genus Sphyraena Artedi, 1793 Sphyraena stellata Morishita & Motomura, 2020

A school of *Sphyraena stellata* was recorded while conducting stereo-DOVS transects at Wolf Island in August 2015. There are two species of barracuda reported in the GMR: the great and



Fig. 2. (A) Side view of *Myliobatis longirostris* obtained from s-BRUVS footage at the Banco Ruso seamount in the southeastern part of the archipelago, and (B) frontal view of *M. longirostris* recorded by s-BRUVS off San Cristobal in 2015. (C) Dorsal view of *Mobula thurstoni* photographed around Santa Cruz Island in 2015 by a local naturalist guide. (D) Ventral view of a single *M. thurstoni*, which was part of a school swimming around Bartolome Island on April 2013. Photo taken by David Acuña-Marrero.

pelican barracuda, S. barracuda (Daget, 1986; Allen & Erdmann, 2012) and S. idiastes (Merlen, 1988), respectively. Both of these species have distinct markings of dusky bars on the upper half of the body, while S. stellata lacks any dark markings (Figure 3A) (Morishita & Motomura, 2020). Sphyraena barracuda adults are much larger than S. stellata, being able to reach standard lengths (SL) of 140 cm (Daget, 1986; Allen & Erdmann, 2012), while the individuals recorded had a total length of up to 55 cm, which is well within range of the maximum SL of 58.7 cm for this species (Morishita & Motomura, 2020). Although one can find juvenile S. barracuda in such sizes, their habitat comprises sheltered mangroves and estuaries, making the occurrence of a juvenile of this species unlikely, given that the sighting was made in the very unsheltered northernmost islands of Galápagos. The origins of the pelvic and dorsal fins are on the same level in S. stellata and S. idiastes (Figure 2E) (Merlen, 1988; Morishita & Motomura, 2020), while the first dorsal fin originates at the rear of the base of the pelvic fin in S. barracuda (Daget, 1986). The dorsal fins for S. stellata, however, have their origin slightly behind that of the pectoral fins (Figure 3A).

This species has strong resemblance to *Sphyraena helleri* (Randall *et al.*, 1998), which has been newly classified as restricted to the Hawaiian islands, as well as *S. novaeholladiae* (Myers, 1991), which is found in the south-western and eastern coast of Australia. *Sphyraena stellata* is most easily distinguishable by two narrow and bright yellow stripes along the sides of its body, which neither *S. helleri* nor *S. novaehollandiae* show. The upper stripe starts from the upper edge of the opercle, following along the lateral line to end at the caudal fin base, while the lower one originates at the pectoral fin base and continues straight to the lower base of the caudal fin (Figure 3A). They have a slightly darker yellow-grey colouration on the dorsal side of the body, while the ventral side is silvery-white (Figure 3A)

(Morishita & Motomura, 2020). The pectoral fins show a dark spot at the base, and the caudal fin is darker grey than the rest of the body (Figure 3A). They have a slender body and relatively large eyes that have a diameter of at least half the head width, with maxilla that do not reach toward the anterior nostril (Figure 3A). Further figures showing these aspects in more detail are shown in the Supplementary data (Supplementary data 2, 3). Dr Béarez, Dr Robertson and Dr McCosker confirmed the identification of this species as *S. stellata. Sphyraena stellata* has not been sighted in the TEP before, and its primary distribution had been limited to the Indian and Western Central Pacific Ocean so far. This species has not yet been evaluated by the IUCN.

> Order PERCIFROMES Suborder PERCOIDEI Family LUTJANIDAE Genus *Lutjanus* Bloch, 1790 *Lutjanus colorado* Jordan & Gilbert, 1882

A few individuals of the Colorado snapper (*Lutjanus colorado*) were recorded and photographed by David Acuña-Marrero on eastern Isabela Island in 2014. To date, there are four species of snappers which bear a similar resemblance to the Colorado snapper in the RMG, namely *Lutjanus argentiventris*, *L. jordani*, *L. novemfasciatus* and *L. peru* (Grove & Lavenberg, 1997; McCosker & Rosenblatt, 2010). The video clearly shows a salmon red colouration of this individual, which significantly distinguishes it from the other species (Figure 3C, D). The colouration is an accurate measure in this case, since the data were obtained from a survey in shallow waters (1–2 m deep) with reasonably good visibility of ~10 m. Although another resident GMR snapper, *Lutjanus aratus*, can also present a red colouration, we exclude it mainly due to its distinctly elongated body shape (Allen, 1985). Furthermore, *L. aratus* also has prominent stripes



Fig. 3. (A) Sphyraena stellata swimming as part of a school of ~20 individuals recorded through stereo-DOVS around Wolf Island in 2015. (B) Side view of Lutjanus colorado photographed at Cartago mangrove bay off eastern Isabela by David Acuña-Marrero in 2014. (C) Comparison of side view of L. novemfasciatus (top) and L. colorado (bottom). (D) Two adults (foreground) and one juvenile (background) of Lobotes pacifica under a drifting piece of palm wood in 2015, photographed while conducting scientific dives around Wolf Island, north of the Galapagos Marine Reserve.

and is considered a deep-water fish, which makes an observation in shallow waters less likely, and does not coincide with the colouration as seen here (Grove & Lavenberg, 1997). Furthermore, the Colorado snapper has a more pointed anal fin shape than that of L. argentiventris (Figure 3d) or than the rounded anal fin of L. jordani and L. novemfasciatus (Figure 3C, D) (Allen, 1985; Grove & Lavenberg, 1997). The scales of L. colorado above the lateral line (LL) are parallel to those below the LL, unlike in L. peru, where the scale rows are oblique above the LL compared with those below it (Figure 3C). It is also neither ovalbodied nor elongated (Figure 3c, d), which correspond to the body shapes of L. peru and L. jordani, respectively (Allen, 1985). Dr Robertson confirmed the species identification. The Colorado snapper is endemic to the TEP (Gold et al., 2015), varied reports exist from southern Baja California down to the continental coast of Peru, as well as the oceanic islands of Malpelo and Cocos (Allen, 1985; Bessudo et al., 2010). This species was assessed as Least Concern by the IUCN (Bessudo et al., 2010).

Order ACANTHURIFORMES Family LOBOTIDAE Genus Lobotes Cuvier, 1829 Lobotes pacifica Gilbert, 1898

During a trip to monitor shark populations around Wolf Island on 20 March 2015, a drifting piece of palm attracted large swaths of fishes seeking shelter, a common occurrence for pelagic fishes, including the Pacific tripletail (Lobotes pacifica). Driftwood allows juvenile and adult fishes to hide from predators and find shelter in the open sea, as it creates a shadow that allows them to avoid detection and to see approaching organisms better with less sunlight glare (Nagelkerken et al., 2006). There are no other fish from the genus Lobotes, and no fishes with similar body shape in the GMR (Grove & Lavenberg, 1997; McCosker & Rosenblatt, 2010). Outside of the GMR, the species Lobotes surinamensis presents a similar body shape and a yellowish colouration that can be present in juvenile L. pacifica (Tortonese, 1990). Lobotes surinamensis was catalogued as a rare vagrant in its easternmost dispersal in Hawaii and Tahiti, making a dispersal to the GMR unlikely. This species also relies on driftwood and other sheltering objects that cannot move independently of the current, and would therefore be unlikely to extend their western range without a significant change in the direction and force of the currents. The dispersion of driftwood through prevailing currents from the Eastern Pacific to the Galapagos Islands such as with L. pacifica is therefore all the more likely.

Lobotes pacifica is easily distinguishable due to its large dorsal and anal fins, which are both rounded and reach past the base of the tail fin, giving them the appearance of being second and third caudal fins, hence the name tripletail (Figure 3A) (Heemstra, 1995). Other characteristic features of this species include a slightly concave forehead, with a head that is much shorter than the depth of the body and small eyes (Figure 3A). The colouration of adults is olive with dark spots (forefront Figure 3A), while juveniles have a lighter colouration with dark spots (background Figure 3A) (Heemstra, 1995). The identification of this fish was confirmed by Dr Robertson. The Pacific tripletail has previously been reported in the TEP including in the oceanic island of Cocos (Heemstra, 1995; Lea *et al.*, 2010). This species was assessed by the IUCN as of Least Concern (Lea *et al.*, 2010).

Discussion

Most of the new records reported here occurred between 2015 and 2016, which were an El Niño and La Niña year, respectively. The timing of some of these registers suggests that they might not be

entirely circumstantial, as range extensions for species distributions might be partially influenced by both warm and cold ENSO events (Victor et al., 2001; Banks, 2002). During El Niño years, the south-eastern trade winds die down and upwellings decelerate, which leads to increased SST and thermoclines beginning at lower depths (Glynn et al., 2017; Martin et al., 2018). It is likely that species that were previously reported on islands of the TEP, such as M. thurstoni, L. colorado and L. pacifica might have expanded south-east (when coming from Clipperton) or southwest (when coming from Coco and Malpelo) during the 2015 El Niño event. This strengthens the idea of offshore TEP islands as 'stepping stones' for Galapagos as described in Glynn et al. (2017). El Niño events increase the southward flow of the Panamic Current, and might explain how previous studies have shown range extensions to the Galapagos after ENSO events, such as that of Stethojulis bandanensis and Stegastes acapulcoensis after the 1997-98 El Niño event (Victor et al., 2001; Glynn et al., 2017). During the El Niño phase, the north equatorial countercurrent strengthens, allowing species migrations through the Eastern Pacific Barrier (EPB) from the Central Pacific to the Eastern Pacific and vice-versa (Robertson et al., 2004; McCosker & Rosenblatt, 2010). The EPB is an expanse of the Pacific Ocean in which the absence of oceanic islands and the 4000-7000 m water depths create a natural barrier for species migrations under normal oceanic conditions (Ekman et al., 1953; Briggs, 1974; Lessios & Robertson, 2006). This seems to be the case for S. stellata, a new record for the TEP, which likely originated from the Hawaiian Islands or French Polynesia (Morishita & Motomura, 2020). Predictive models and historical ENSO progressions point to regime shifts and more extreme ENSO events due to climate change (Wang et al., 2019), which opens new discussions on how this might influence dispersal of fish species in the near future.

Another possible explanation for these seven new records is the advances in remote underwater imagery that can help detect species without the disturbing presence of humans, such as s-BRUVS (Brooks *et al.*, 2011). In addition, the use of video technologies allows for the review of imagery in the lab, where videos can be reviewed multiple times by experienced observers and thus allow for better identification especially when it comes to species that are easily mistaken for others. It is thus likely that a species such as *M. longirostris* has been resident to the GMR and only recorded recently due to the widespread use of remote stereovideo technology. The future use of remote cameras will likely result in the addition of new records (Cerutti-Pereyra *et al.*, 2018).

This type of technology is also useful to shine a light on some marine ecosystems of the Galapagos that remain largely understudied, especially as s-BRUVS can help attract shy fish species that would otherwise be hard to see in low visibility, or areas with many hiding places. It is recommended that further research should be directed to better understand the fish fauna of understudied marine ecosystems of the Galapagos, such as mangroves, sand flats, mesophotic reefs and the pelagic environment.

Conclusion

In a previous publication that analysed fishing data from purse sein fishing vessels, *M. thurstoni* was frequently recorded on catches (Lezama-Ochoa *et al.*, 2019). The vessels mentioned in this publication caught the rays outside of the GMR as per regulation. However, given the multi-year sightings reported by us, as well as its distribution in the ETP islands, we confirm these previous sightings and feel confident to propose this species to be classified as resident in the GMR.

The other fish species have only been observed once, or with the same sex and size and thus we propose a vagrant status until further evidence can confirm a resident status within the GMR for *M. longirostris, L. pacifica, L. colorado* and *S. stellata,* especially considering that this is the first record of the latter in the TEP.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315423000176.

Data. Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Acknowledgements. This research was conducted under Galapagos National Park Directorate (GNPD) research permits PC-16-15, PC-17-15, PC-28-16, PC-68-16 and PC-53-19 granted to Dr Pelayo Salinas de León from the Charles Darwin Foundation (CDF). We are grateful to the fish experts Dr Ross Robertson, Dr Alan Friedlander, Dr Dave Ebert, Dr Daniel Fernando and Dr Guy Stevens, who provided species confirmations.

We also thank the GNPD for allowing us to conduct fieldwork in the GMR, as well as the naturalist guide who provided the photo for the bentfin devil ray. Furthermore, we thank the crews of the vessels 'Taka III', 'Queen Mabel' and 'Valeska Yamilé' for assistance during fieldwork.

Additionally, we thank Sara Hansen for valuable input on a rough draft. This publication is contribution number 2491 of the Charles Darwin Foundation for the Galapagos Islands.

Author contributions. DAM contributed substantially in data gathering and giving tentative identifications; MC gave tentative identifications and edited the manuscript; DFA contributed the map for Figure 1 and edited the manuscript; JS provided and gathered photos and gave valuable input; ERL contributed substantially to data gathering and tentative identifications; PSL compiled the possible records and had a major role in text editing and general editorial work. All co-authors contributed to drafting and/or editing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Financial support. The Save Our Seas Foundation, the Lindblad-National Geographic Fund, the Helmsley Charitable Trust, the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation, the Mark and Rachel Rohr Foundation and the Darwin and Wolf Conservation Fund provided funding for this study.

Conflict of interest. The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Ethical standards. For the footage from the s-BRUVS data from 2015, the Curtin University Animal Ethics Committee declares that 'All experiments were performed according to the Australian Code of Practice for the care and use of animals for scientific purposes' with the identification number AEC_2014_09. Further ethics approvals or consent to participate are not applicable under the research permits cited.

References

- Acuña-Marrero D and Salinas-de-León P (2013) New record of two Indo-Pacific reef fish, *Caranx ignobilis* and *Naso annulatus*, from the Galapagos Islands. *Marine Biodiversity Records* 6, 1–5.
- Acuña-Marrero D, Smith A, Salinas-de-León P, Harvey E, Pawley M and Anderson M (2018) Spatial patterns of distribution and relative abundance of coastal shark species in the Galapagos marine reserve. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 593, 73–95.
- Allen GR (1985) Snappers of the World: An Annotated and Illustrated Catalogue of Lutjanid Species Known to Date. FAO Species Catalogue. FAO: Rome.
- Allen GR and Erdmann MV (2012) Reef fishes of the East Indies, Perth, Australia: Trop. *Reef Research*, vol I-III.
- Banks S (2002) Ambiente Físico. In Danulat E and Edgar GJ (eds), Reserva Marina de Galápagos. Línea Base de la Biodiversidad, Puerto Ayora, Santa Cruz, Galápagos: Fundacion Charles Darwin, Servicio Parque Nacional Galapagos, 22–35.
- Béarez P and Séret B (2009) Les poissons. In Clipperton: Environnement et Biodiversité d'un Microcosme Océanique. Paris: Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, pp. 143–154.
- Bessudo S, Acero A, Rojas P and Cotto A (2010) Lutjanus colorado. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species, e.T183531A, 1–8.
- Betancur-R R, Wiley EO, Arratia G, Acero A, Bailly N, Miya M, Lecointre G and Ortí G (2017) Phylogenetic classification of bony fishes. BMC Evolutionary Biology 17, 162.

Briggs JC (1974) Marine Zoogeography. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.

- **Brooks EJ, Sloman KA, Sims DW and Danylchuk AJ** (2011) Validating the use of baited remote underwater video surveys for assessing the diversity, distribution and abundance of sharks in the Bahamas. *Endangered Species Research* **13**, 231–243.
- Cappo MA, Harvey EB, Malcolm HC and Speare PA (1999) Potential of video techniques to monitor diversity, abundance and size of fish in studies of marine protected areas. In Beumer DCSJP and Grant A (eds), Video Techniques to Monitor Fish in MPAs. Queensland: University of Queensland, pp. 455–464.
- Cerutti-Pereyra F, Yánez AB, Ebert DA, Arnés-Urgellés C and Salinas-de-León P (2018) New record and range extension of the deepsea skate, *Bathyraja abyssicola* (Chondrichthyes: Arhynchobatidae), in the Galapagos Islands. Journal of the Ocean Science Foundation 30, 85–89.
- Chirichigno N and Cornejo M (2001) Catálogo comentado de los peces marinos del mar del Perú. Callao: Instituto del Mar del Perú.
- Compagno LJV, Ebert DA and Smale MJ (1989) Guide to the Sharks and Rays of Southern Africa. Cape Town: Struik.
- Daget J (1986) Sphyraenidae. Check-List of the Freshwater Fishes of Africa 2, 350–351.
- Ekman S, Carter GS, de Beer GR, Hogben LT, Elton C, Carpenter K, Brambell FWR and de Beaufort JF (1953) Zoogeography of the Sea. London: Sidgwick and Jackson.
- Glynn PW, Mones AB, Podestá GP, Colbert A and Colgan MW (2017) El Niño-Southern Oscillation: effects on Eastern Pacific Coral Reefs and associated biota. In Glynn P, Manzello D and Enochs I (eds), Coral Reefs of the Eastern Tropical Pacific. Coral Reefs of the World, vol 8. Miami: Springer Science + Business Media Dordrecht, pp. 251–290. http://dx.doi.org/10. 1007/978-94-017-7499-4_8.
- Gold JR, Willis SC, Renshaw MA, Buentello A, Walker HJ, Puritz JB, Hollenbeck CM and Voelker G (2015) Phylogenetic relationships of tropical Eastern Pacific snappers (Lutjanidae) inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Systematics and Biodiversity* **13**, 596–607.
- Grove JS and Lavenberg RJ (1997) The Fishes of the Galapagos Islands. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Heemstra C (1995) Lobotidae Dormilonas. In *Guía de Las Naciones Unidas* Para La Alimentación (FAO) Para Identificación de Especies Para Los Fines de La Pesca, 1226. Rome: FAO.
- Heylings P, Bensted-Smith R and Altamirano M (2002) Zonificación e historia de la Reserva Marina de Galápagos. In Danulat E and Edgar GJ (eds), *Reserva Marina de Galápagos*. Línea Base de la Biodiversidad, Puerto Ayora: Fundacion Charles Darwin, Servicio Parque Nacional Galapagos, pp. 10–21.
- Lea R, Bearez P, van der Heiden A, Acero A and Cotta A (2010) Lobotes pacificus. http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2010-3.RLTS. T178077A7484332.en Copyright:.
- Lessios HA and Robertson DR (2006) Crossing the impassable: genetic connections in 20 reef fishes across the eastern Pacific barrier. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 273, 2201–2208.
- Lezama-Ochoa N, Hall M, Román M and Vogel N (2019) Spatial and temporal distribution of mobulid ray species in the eastern Pacific Ocean ascertained from observer data from the tropical tuna purse-seine fishery. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 102, 1–17.
- Love MS, Mecklenburg CW and Mecklenburg TA (2005) Resource inventory of marine and estuarine fishes of the West Coast and Alaska: A checklist of North Pacific and Arctic Ocean species from Baja California to the Alaska-Yukon border. Seattle, WA: US Department of the Interior, US Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division.
- Marshall A, Barreto R, Bigman JS, Carlson J, Fernando D, Fordham S, Francis MP, Herman K, Jabado RW, Liu KM, Pardo SA, Rigby CL, Romanov E, Smith WD and Walls RHL (2019) Mobula thurstoni. In The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN. UK.2019-3.RLTS.T60200A124451622.en Disclaimer.
- Martin NJ, Conroy JL, Noone D, Cobb KM, Konecky BL and Rea S (2018) Seasonal and ENSO influences on the stable isotopic composition of Galápagos precipitation. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 123, 261–275.
- McCosker JE and Rosenblatt RH (2010) The fishes of the Galápagos Archipelago: an update. *Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences* **61**, 167–195.
- McEachran JD and Notarbartolo di Sciara G (1995a) Mobulidae. Mantas, diablos. *Guia FAO Para Identification de Especies Para Los Fines de La Pesca. Pacifico Centro Oriental* **3**, 759–764.

- McEachran JD and Notarbartolo di Sciara G (1995b) Myliobatidae: águilas marinas. *Guía FAO Para La Identificación de Especies Para Los Fines de La Pesca. Pacífico Centro-Oriental* 2, 765–768.
- McEachran JD and Séret B (1990) Mobulidae. Check-List of the Fishes of the Eastern Tropical Atlantic (CLOFETA) 1, 73–76.
- Merlen G (1988) A Field Guide to the Fishes of Galapagos. London: Wilmot Books.
- Morishita S and Motomura H (2020) Sphyraena stellata, a new barracuda from the Indo-Pacific, with redescriptions of S.helleri Jenkins, 1901 and S. novaehollandiae Günther, 1860 (Perciformes: Sphyraenidae). Zootaxa 4772, 545–566.
- Myers RF (1991) Micronesian Reef Fishes. Coral Graphics, Barrigada, Guam. Ref1602. *Fishbase. Org.* Http://Www.Discoverlife.Org/m p/20q.
- Nagelkerken I, de Graaff D, Peeters M, Bakker EJ and van der Velde G (2006) Structure, food and shade attract juvenile coral reef fish to mangrove and seagrass habitats: a field experiment structure, food and shade attract juvenile coral reef fish to mangrove and seagrass habitats: a field experiment. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **306**, 257–268.
- Naylor G, Caira J, Jensen K, Rosana K, Straube N andLakner C (2012) Elasmobranch phylogeny: a mitochondrial estimate based on 595 species. In Carrier JC, Musick JA and Heithaus MR (eds), Biology of Sharks and Their Relatives, 2nd Edn. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, pp. 31–56. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1201/b11867-4.
- Pequeño G (1989) Peces de Chile. Lista sistematica revisada y commentada. *Revista de Biologia Marina* 24, 1–132.
- Randall JE, Allen GR and Steene RC (1998) Fishes of the Great Barrier Reef and Coral Sea. Honolulu, Hawaii: University of Hawaii Press.
- Rastoin-Laplane E, Goetze J, Harvey ES, Acuña-Marrero D, Fernique P and Salinas-de-León P (2020) A diver operated stereo-video approach for characterizing reef fish spawning aggregations: the Galapagos marine reserve as case study. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 243, 106629.
- Robertson DR and Cramer KL (2009) Shore fishes and biogeographic subdivisions of the tropical Eastern Pacific. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 380, 1–17.

- Robertson DR, Grove JS and McCosker JE (2004) Tropical transpacific shore fishes. *Pacific Science* 58, 507–565.
- Salinas-de-León P, Rastoin E and Acuña-Marrero D (2015) First record of a spawning aggregation for the tropical Eastern Pacific endemic grouper Mycteroperca olfax in the Galapagos marine reserve. Journal of Fish Biology 87, 179–186.
- Salinas de León P, Acuña-Marrero D, Rastoin E, Friedlander AM, Donovan MK and Sala E (2016) Largest global shark biomass found in the northern Galápagos Islands of Darwin and Wolf. *PeerJ* 4, 1–25.
- Santana-Garcon J, Braccini M, Langlois TJ, Newman SJ, McAuley RB and Harvey ES (2014) Calibration of pelagic stereo-BRUVs and scientific longline surveys for sampling sharks. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 5, 824–833.
- Smith WD and Bizzarro JJ (2006) *Myliobatis longirostris*. http://dx.doi.org/10. 2305/IUCN.UK.2006.RLTS.T60125A12308904.en.
- Spalding MD, Fox HE, Allen GR, Davidson N, Ferdaña ZA, Finlayson M, Halpern BS, Jorge MA, Lombana AL, Lourie SA, Martin KD, McManus E, Molnar J, Recchia CA and Robertson J (2007) Marine ecoregions of the world: a bioregionalization of coastal and shelf areas. *BioScience* 57, 573–583.
- Tanner MK, Moity N, Costa MT, Jarrin JRM, Aburto-Oropeza O and Salinas-de-León P (2019) Mangroves in the Galapagos: ecosystem services and their valuation. *Ecological Economics* 160, 12–24.
- **Tortonese E** (1990) Lobotidae Checklist of the fishes of the Eastern Tropical Atlantic. Paris: UNESCO.
- Victor BC, Wellington GM, Robertson DR and Ruttenberg BI (2001) The effect of El Nino Southern Oscillation events on the distribution of reefassociated labrid fishes in the eastern Pacific Ocean. *Bulletin of Marine Science* **69**, 279–288.
- Wang B, Luo X, Yang Y, Sun W, Cane MA, Cai W, Yeh S and Liu J (2019) Historical change of El Niño properties sheds light on future changes of extreme El Niño. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 116, 22512–22517.