

## SOME SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF AN INTEGRAL OPERATOR IN POTENTIAL THEORY

by JOHN F. AHNER

(Received 4th September 1985)

### 1. Introduction

In [7] Plemelj established some fundamental results in two- and three-dimensional potential theory about the eigenvalues of both the double layer potential operator and its adjoint, the normal derivative of the single layer potential operator. In [3] Blumenfeld and Mayer established some additional results concerning the eigenvalues of these integral operators in the case of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The spectral properties established by Plemelj [7] and by Blumenfeld and Mayer [3] have had a profound effect in the area of integral equation methods in scattering and potential theory in both  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Some applications that have been made of these results may be found in Colton and Kress [4]. A complete list, however, of all the different uses that have been made of the efforts of Plemelj [7] and of Blumenfeld and Mayer [3] would be a formidable task.

This paper arose from the author's long interest in the spectral properties of the double layer potential integral operator in both  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . For sufficiently smooth boundaries, it can be shown that for both  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbb{R}^3$  the point 0 lies in the spectrum of the integral operator. A fundamental question is what part of the spectrum does 0 lie in?

For the case of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  some partial results on this topic are known. If the underlying boundary is either a sphere or a prolate spheroid, it can be shown (see [1]) that the eigenvalues of the double layer potential integral operator lie in the interval  $[-1, 0)$ . Furthermore, it can be established that for both geometries 0 lies in the continuous spectrum of the integral operator. As for other geometries, the spectral classification of 0 remains an open question.

For the case of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , it turns out that the situation is somewhat different for the double layer potential operator, which in this paper we denote by  $K$  and define in equation (2.1). After encountering some serious difficulties in an attempt to establish a general theorem about which part of the spectrum of  $K$  the point 0 lies in, the author looked at some specific examples, namely, the circle and the ellipse. For the case of the circle, the point 0 lies in the point spectrum of  $K$ . For the case of an ellipse, 0 lies in the continuous spectrum of  $K$ . Consequently, these examples demonstrate that 0 does not always lie in the same component of the spectrum of  $K$  for all sufficiently smooth boundaries.

In the next section we give some notation, definitions and basic results which we shall use. In Section 3, we consider the case of a circle and compute the spectrum of  $K$ . It is shown that 0 is an eigenvalue and moreover, that it has infinite geometric multiplicity.

In Section 4 we consider the case of an ellipse. There we compute all the eigenvalues for  $K$  and establish that every eigenvalue has a geometric multiplicity of 1. Furthermore, we prove that the continuous spectrum of  $K$  is equal to the set  $\{0\}$ .

**2. Notation, definitions and basic results**

In this section we give our notation and state some definitions and results which we shall require. Let  $D_i$  be a bounded, simply connected domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  containing the origin with a  $C^2$  boundary  $\partial D$ , and let  $D_e$  denote the region exterior to  $\bar{D}_i$ . Let  $\hat{n}$  denote a unit normal directed out of  $D_i$ . Let  $x$  and  $y$  denote typical points in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

We now define the following integral operators of potential theory:

$$(K\psi)(x) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\partial D} \psi(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial n(y)} \ln \frac{1}{|x-y|} ds_y, \quad x \in \partial D, \tag{2.1}$$

$$(D\psi)(x) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\partial D} \psi(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial n(y)} \ln \frac{1}{|x-y|} ds_y, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \partial D. \tag{2.2}$$

Here it is understood that the integration is taken with respect to arc length.

A standard result in two-dimensional potential theory (e.g. see [9, pp. 78–80]) states that for closed smooth curves  $\partial D$

$$\lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow y \\ x, y \in \partial D}} \frac{\partial}{\partial n(y)} \ln \frac{1}{|x-y|} = -\frac{1}{2} \kappa(y), \tag{2.3}$$

where  $\kappa(y)$  denotes the curvature of  $\partial D$  at  $y$ . Consequently, unlike the weakly singular nature of the double layer kernel in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , the double layer kernel in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is continuous for all points  $x$  and  $y$  on  $\partial D$ , including when  $x = y$ .

Let  $C(\partial D)$  denote the Banach space of complex-valued, continuous functions defined on  $\partial D$  equipped with the maximum norm. Since the integral operator  $K$  has a continuous kernel, it follows that  $K$  is a compact linear operator on  $C(\partial D)$  (see [4, Theorem 1.10]).

Let  $+$  and  $-$  denote the limits obtained for the double layer potential  $(D\psi)(x)$  by approaching the boundary  $\partial D$  from  $D_e$  and  $D_i$ , respectively, that is

$$(D_+u)(x) = \lim_{\substack{x_e \rightarrow x \\ x_e \in D_e}} (Du)(x_e), \quad x \in \partial D, \tag{2.4}$$

$$(D_-u)(x) = \lim_{\substack{x_i \rightarrow x \\ x_i \in D_i}} (Du)(x_i), \quad x \in \partial D. \tag{2.5}$$

It can be shown (e.g. see [5, p. 49] or [8, p. 392]) that

$$(D_{\pm}u)(x) = (Ku)(x) \pm u(x), \quad x \in \partial D. \tag{2.6}$$

Let  $A$  denote any bounded linear operator mapping a Banach space  $X$  into itself. By an eigenvalue of  $A$  we mean a complex number  $\lambda$  such that the nullspace  $N(\lambda I - A) \neq \{0\}$  where  $I$  denotes the identity operator. Let  $\rho(A)$  denote the resolvent set of  $A$ . Let  $\sigma(A)$  denote the spectrum of  $A$ . Let  $\sigma_C(A)$ ,  $\sigma_P(A)$ , and  $\sigma_R(A)$  denote the continuous spectrum, point spectrum, and residual spectrum of  $A$ , respectively. It is known (e.g. see [2, Chapter 18] or [4, Theorem 1.34]) that if  $X$  is an infinite dimensional Banach space and if  $A$  is a compact linear operator then  $\lambda=0$  lies in  $\sigma(A)$  and  $\sigma(A) \setminus \{0\}$  consists of at most a countable number of eigenvalues, with  $\lambda=0$  the only possible limit point.

It can be shown (see [3]) that the eigenvalues of the integral operator  $K$ , defined in equation (2.1), lie in the interval  $[-1, 1)$  and are symmetric with respect to the origin. The only exception is the eigenvalue  $-1$  corresponding to constant eigenfunctions.

Finally, we shall denote the set of positive integers by  $\mathbb{N}$ .

### 3. The Circle

In this section  $\partial D$  is taken to be a circle of radius  $a$ . Under this assumption, we compute the spectrum of the integral operator  $K$  and also determine the spectral properties of the point  $\lambda=0$  for  $K$ .

With respect to polar coordinates, let the points  $x$  and  $y$  be given by  $(r_x, \phi_x)$  and  $(r, \phi)$ , respectively. Then

$$\ln \frac{1}{|x-y|} = -\frac{1}{2} \ln [r_x^2 + r^2 - 2r_x r \cos(\phi - \phi_x)]. \tag{3.1}$$

From equation (3.1), for  $x, y \in \partial D$ , we have the following known result (e.g. see [5, p. 52])

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial n(y)} \ln \frac{1}{|x-y|} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \ln [a^2 + r^2 - 2ar \cos(\phi - \phi_x)] \right\}_{r=a} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2a}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Before proceeding to the stated purposes of this section, it is worthy of note to examine the result in equation (3.2) in the context of equation (2.3). It is a well known result in differential geometry that the curvature of a circle of radius  $a$  is  $1/a$ . Consequently, the results in equations (2.3) and (3.2) are seen to be compatible.

From equations (2.1) and (3.2) it follows that

$$K\psi(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(a, \phi) d\phi. \tag{3.3}$$

Letting  $\psi$  equal 1,  $\cos m\phi$ , and  $\sin m\phi$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , respectively, in equation (3.3) we have

$$K(1) = -1, \tag{3.4}$$

$$K(\cos m\phi) = K(\sin m\phi) = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Thus  $\lambda = -1$  is an eigenvalue of  $K$  with corresponding eigenfunction 1, and  $\lambda = 0$  is also an eigenvalue of  $K$  with corresponding eigenfunctions  $\{\cos m\phi, \sin m\phi : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . From the completeness of the orthogonal set of eigenfunctions  $\{1, \cos m\phi, \sin m\phi : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  in  $L^2[0, 2\pi]$ , it follows, by a similar argument as used in [1], that with respect to the underlying Banach space  $C(\partial D)$

$$\sigma_p(K) = \{-1, 0\}. \quad (3.6)$$

That is,  $\lambda = -1$  and  $\lambda = 0$  are the only eigenvalues of  $K$  for the case when  $\partial D$  is a circle.

In view of the fact that  $K$  is a compact linear operator on  $C(\partial D)$ , it follows that if  $\lambda \neq 0$  then either  $\lambda \in \rho(K)$  or  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(K)$ . Consequently,  $\lambda = -1$  and  $\lambda = 0$  are the only elements of  $\sigma(K)$ . Furthermore, since  $\cos m\phi$  and  $\sin m\phi$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , are all eigenfunctions of  $K$  corresponding to  $\lambda = 0$ , it follows that

$$\dim N(K) = \infty. \quad (3.7)$$

#### 4. The ellipse

The elliptical coordinates  $(\mu, \phi)$  are related to the rectangular Cartesian coordinates  $(y_1, y_2)$  by the transformation

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= \frac{1}{2} c \cosh \mu \cos \phi, \\ y_2 &= \frac{1}{2} c \sinh \mu \sin \phi, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where  $0 \leq \mu < \infty$ ,  $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$ . The closed curves corresponding to  $\mu = \text{constant}$ ,  $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$  are confocal ellipses of interfocal distance  $c$ , eccentricity  $e = (\cosh \mu)^{-1}$ , major axis  $c \cosh \mu$  and minor axis  $c \sinh \mu$ . The limiting case  $\mu = 0$  represents the line segment between the foci.

In this section  $\partial D$  will denote the ellipse corresponding to  $\mu = b$ ,  $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$ , where  $b$  is some constant. To avoid the degenerate case, we will assume that  $b > 0$ .

In terms of elliptical coordinates it can be shown that the gradient of a scalar function  $\Phi(\mu, \phi)$  is given by

$$\nabla \Phi(\mu, \phi) = \frac{2}{c\tau} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \mu} \hat{e}_\mu + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \phi} \hat{e}_\phi \right), \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\tau = [\cosh^2 \mu \sin^2 \phi + \sinh^2 \mu \cos^2 \phi]^{1/2}, \quad (4.3)$$

and where  $\hat{e}_\mu$  and  $\hat{e}_\phi$  denote the orthonormal vectors

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{e}_\mu &:= (\sinh \mu \cos \phi \hat{i} + \cosh \mu \sin \phi \hat{j})/\tau, \\ \hat{e}_\phi &:= (-\cosh \mu \sin \phi \hat{i} + \sinh \mu \cos \phi \hat{j})/\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Furthermore, it can be shown that the element of arc length  $ds$  is given by

$$ds = \frac{c}{2} \tau d\phi. \tag{4.5}$$

From [6, p. 1202] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \frac{1}{|x-y|} &= -\left(\mu_> + \ln \frac{c}{4}\right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n} e^{-n\mu_>} [\cosh n\mu_< \cos n\phi \cos n\phi_x \\ &\quad + \sinh n\mu_< \sin n\phi \sin n\phi_x], \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where  $\mu_> = \max\{\mu_x, \mu_y\}$ ,  $\mu_< = \min\{\mu_x, \mu_y\}$ , and  $(\mu_x, \phi_x)$  and  $(\mu_y, \phi)$  denote the elliptical coordinates of the points  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively.

At the point  $(b, \phi) \in \partial D$  the unit tangent vector  $\hat{T}$  and the outer unit normal vector  $\hat{n}$  are given, respectively, by

$$\hat{T} = \hat{e}_\phi, \quad \hat{n} = \hat{e}_\mu. \tag{4.7}$$

For  $y = (b, \phi) \in \partial D$  and  $x = (\mu_x, \phi_x) \in D_i$  it follows from equations (4.2) and (4.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial n(y)} \ln \frac{1}{|x-y|} &= \frac{-2}{c\tau} \left\{ 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-nb} [\cosh n\mu_x \cos n\phi \cos n\phi_x \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sinh n\mu_x \sin n\phi \sin n\phi_x] \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Consequently, from equations (2.4), (4.5) and (4.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} D\psi(x) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(\mu, \phi) \left\{ 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-nb} [\cosh n\mu_x \cos n\phi \cos n\phi_x \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sinh n\mu_x \sin n\phi \sin n\phi_x] \right\} d\phi, \quad x \in D_i. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Letting  $\psi$  equal 1,  $\cos m\phi$ , and  $\sin m\phi$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , respectively, in equation (4.9), then using the orthogonality of the trigonometric functions, and finally letting

$\mu_x \rightarrow b$ , we have from equation (2.6)

$$K(1) = -1, \quad (4.10)$$

$$K(\cos m\phi) = -e^{-2mb} \cos m\phi, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (4.11)$$

$$K(\sin m\phi) = e^{-2mb} \sin m\phi, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.12)$$

Thus it is seen that  $-1, -e^{-2mb}$ , and  $e^{-2mb}, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , are eigenvalues of  $K$  with corresponding eigenfunctions  $1, \cos m\phi$ , and  $\sin m\phi$ , respectively. From the completeness of the orthogonal set of eigenfunctions  $\{1, \cos m\phi, \sin m\phi : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  in  $L^2[0, 2\pi]$ , it follows, by an argument similar to one used in [1], that with respect to the underlying Banach space  $C(\partial D)$

$$\sigma_p(K) = \{-1, \pm e^{-2mb} : m \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (4.13)$$

That is, the above eigenvalues are the only eigenvalues of  $K$ . Consequently, unlike the situation when  $\partial D$  is a circle,

$$0 \notin \sigma_p(K), \quad (4.14)$$

when  $\partial D$  is an ellipse. Furthermore, it is seen that

$$\dim N(-I - K) = \dim N(\pm e^{-2mb} I - K) = 1 \quad (4.15)$$

for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore each eigenvalue has a geometric multiplicity of 1.

To complete the analysis of this section we establish the following result which determines the spectral nature of the point  $\lambda = 0$ .

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $\partial D$  denote the ellipse corresponding to  $\mu = b, 0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$ , where  $b$  is some positive constant. Then*

$$\{0\} = \sigma_c(K).$$

**Proof.** Since  $K$  is a compact linear operator on  $C(\partial D)$ ,

$$0 \in \sigma(K). \quad (4.16)$$

Furthermore, since each eigenfunction must necessarily lie in the range of  $K$ ,  $R(K)$ , we have

$$\{1, \cos m\phi, \sin m\phi : m \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset R(K). \quad (4.17)$$

It follows that  $R(K)$  is dense in  $C(\partial D)$ . Consequently, from equations (4.14) and (4.16) it

follows that

$$0 \in \sigma_c(K). \quad (4.18)$$

Finally, by using the fact that  $K$  is a compact linear operator on  $C(\partial D)$ , we have from equation (4.18)

$$\{0\} = \sigma_c(K). \quad \blacksquare$$

#### REFERENCES

1. J. F. AHNER and R. F. ARENSTORF, On the eigenvalues of the electrostatic integral operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, in press.
2. G. BACHMAN and L. NARICI, *Functional Analysis* (Academic Press, New York, 1966).
3. J. BLUMENFELD and W. MAYER, Über poincarésche fundamentalfunktionen, *Sitz. Wien. Akad. Wiss., Math.-Nat. Klasse* **122**, Abt. IIa (1914), 2011–2047.
4. D. COLTON and R. KRESS, *Integral Equation Methods in Scattering Theory* (Wiley, New York, 1983).
5. M. A. JASWON and G. T. SYMM, *Integral Equation Methods in Potential Theory and Elastostatics* (Academic Press, New York, 1977).
6. P. M. MORSE and H. FESHBACH, *Methods of Theoretical Physics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953).
7. J. PLEMELJ, *Potentialtheoretische Untersuchungen* (Preisschriften der Fürstlich Jablonowskischen Gesellschaft zu Leipzig, Teubner-Verlag, Leipzig, 1911).
8. A. N. TIKHONOV and A. A. SAMARSKII, *Equations of Mathematical Physics* (Pergamon Press, New York, 1963).
9. F. G. TRICOMI, *Integral Equations* (Wiley, New York, 1957).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY  
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37235