#### PS1 - 189

doi:10.1017/cjn.2016.350

# A Universal Predictive Model for Dose Fall-Off in MLC-Based Stereotactic Brain Radiosurgery

M. Ruschin<sup>1</sup>, A. Sahgal, H. Soliman, B. Chugh, S. Myrehaug, M. Tsao, A. Sarfehnia, C. Yeboah, Y. Lee
<sup>1</sup>University of Toronto, Toronto, ON
Mark.Ruschin@sunnybrook.ca

Predictive modeling of dose fall-off in radiosurgery could assist in clinical decision-making when prescribing a treatment plan with minimized toxicity risk. The purpose of this study is to develop a predictive dose fall-off model. Materials/Methods: We retrospectively reviewed treatment plans from 257 patients (365 lesions) with total doses ranging from 20 to 35Gy in 5 fractions. For each plan, we measured both total volume of the external contour (EXT) and BrainMinusPTV (BMP) receiving P=20% to P=80% of the prescription dose. The model has form y=Fa(PTV)b+/-delta. y=volume of EXT or BMP (cc's); a and b are curve-fitting coefficients; PTV=total planning target volume (cc's); F is an adjustment factor (>1) to account for number of targets; delta is the 95% prediction band. F, a, b, and delta were modeled such that dose-fall can be forecast for any PTV and dose level. Results: The model coefficients were as follows: Coefficient EXT  $19927(100 \times P) \exp(-2)$  $17122(100\times P)\exp(-2)$  $0.42(100\times P)\exp(0.17)$  0.63 F  $-0.0156\times(100\times P)+2.5517$  delta  $384467 \times (100 \times P) \exp(-2.3159)$  The table can be used to determine the model for any P from 20% to 80%. Example: the EXT receiving 50%, P=0.5, a=8.0, b=0.82, F=1.8, delta=45. Thus, EXT-50=8(PTV0.82) or  $1.8\times8(PTV0.82)$  for 1-3 or >3 targets, respectively, +/-45cc's. The model was verified against published values of dose fall-off from linacs. Conclusion: A predictive dose fall-off model was generated for linac-based radiosurgery. The model can be used for quality assurance or for inter-institutional comparisons. Ongoing work is being conducted to extend the model to a SRS cones system.

### **QUALITY OF LIFE**

PS1 -125

doi:10.1017/cjn.2016.351

Assessment of Function and Quality of Life in a Phase II Multi-Institutional Clinical Trial of Fractionated Simultaneous In-Field Boost Radiotherapy for Patients with 1-2 Brain Metastases

G. Bauman<sup>1</sup>, S. Yartsev<sup>2</sup>, D. Roberge<sup>3</sup>, R. MacRae<sup>4</sup>, W. Roa<sup>5</sup>, V. Panet-Raymond<sup>6</sup>, L. Masucci<sup>3</sup>, B. Yaremko<sup>2</sup>, D. D'Souza<sup>2</sup>, D. Palma<sup>2</sup>, T. Sexton<sup>2</sup>, E. Yu<sup>2</sup>, J.R. Pantarotto<sup>4</sup>, B. Ahmad<sup>2</sup>, B. Fisher<sup>2</sup>, A.R. Dar<sup>2</sup>, C. Lambert<sup>3</sup>, G. Pond<sup>7</sup>, L. Stitt<sup>2</sup>, K.Y. Tay<sup>8</sup>, G. Rodrigues<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Radiation Oncology, London Health Sciences Centre, A4-901B, 790 Commissioners Rd. E, London, ON

<sup>2</sup>Division of Radiation Oncology, London Health Sciences Centre, A4-901B, 790 Commissioners Rd. E, London, ON

<sup>3</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology, Centre Hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal, Montreal, QC

<sup>4</sup>Division of Radiation Oncology, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON

<sup>5</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology, Cross Cancer Institute, Edmonton, AB

<sup>6</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology, McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, QC

<sup>7</sup>Department of Oncology, Department of Oncology, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON

<sup>8</sup>Department of Diagnostic Radiology, London Health Sciences Centre, London, ON

glenn.bauman@lhsc.on.ca

We examined functional outcomes and quality of life of whole brain radiotherapy (WBRT) with integrated fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy boost (FSRT) for brain metastases treatment. Methods Eighty seven people with 1-3 brain metastases were enrolled on this Phase II trial of WBRT (30Gy/10) + simultaneous FSRT, (60Gy/10). Results Mean (Min-Max) baseline KPS, Mini Mental Status Exam (MMSE) and FACT-BR quality of life were 83 (70-100), 28 (21-30) and 143 (98-153). Lower baseline MMSE (but not KPS or FACT-Br) was associated with worse survival after adjusting for age, number of metastases, primary and extra-cranial disease status. Crude rates of deterioration (>10 points decrease from baseline for KPS and FACT-Br, MMSE fall to <27) ranged from 26-38% for KPS, 32-59% for FACT-Br and 0-16% for MMSE depending on the timepoint assessed with higher rates generally noted at earlier time points (< 6 months post-treatment). Using a linear mixed models analysis, significant declines from baseline were noted for KPS and FACT-Br (largest effects at 6 weeks to 3 months) with no significant change in MMSE. Conclusions The effects on function and quality of life of this integrated treatment of WBRT + simultaneous FSRT were similar to other published series combining WBRT+SRS.

## PS1 - 127

doi:10.1017/cjn.2016.352

# A Mixed Method Study of a Peer Support Intervention for Newly Diagnosed Primary Brain Tumour Patients

R. Cashman<sup>1</sup>
<sup>1</sup>University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC reashman@bccancer.bc.ca

The purpose of this study was to investigate the impact of an intervention designed to support newly diagnosed primary brain tumour patients. The intervention involved a structured, one time meeting between newly diagnosed patients and trained volunteer "veteran" primary brain tumour patients. Methods Two trained volunteers met for a single, face to face meeting on an individual basis with a total of 10 newly diagnosed patients. A combination of questionnaires and interviews were used to investigate the impact of the intervention for the new patients and the volunteers. Results: The intervention appeared to be of substantial value for both groups of participants. Analysis revealed that the newly diagnosed patients experienced a range of benefits, including those related to the themes of: increased hope; valued guidance; hearing what it's really like; overcoming aloneness; and realignment of priorities. Veteran patients experienced a sense accomplishment and decreased anxiety as a result of the intervention. Only minor adverse effects and challenges were reported. Conclusions The findings provide initial evidence that the experimental intervention