Starch intake and caries increment: A longitudinal study in Finnish adults

Jangda FH,¹ Suominen AL,^{2,3,4} Lundqvist A,⁴ Männistö S,⁴ Golkari A,¹ Bernabé E¹

¹Institute of Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom

²Institute of Dentistry, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland

³Oral Health Teaching Unit, Kuopio University Hospital, Kuopio, Finland

⁴National Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland

Corresponding author: Fariah H Jangda, Institute of Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, Royal London Dental Hospital, Turner Street, London E1 2AD, UK, Email: <u>f.jangda@qmul.ac.uk</u>

Disclosure statements

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-forprofit sectors.

Conflict of interest

All authors declare they have no conflict of interest in relation to this manuscript.



This is an Accepted Manuscript for Public Health Nutrition. This peer-reviewed article has been accepted for publication but not yet copyedited or typeset, and so may be subject to change during the production process. The article is considered published and may be cited using its DOI 10.1017/S1368980024002398

Public Health Nutrition is published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Nutrition Society. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate whether changes in starch intake (in terms of amount and food sources) were associated with increments in dental caries among adults.

Design: 11-year longitudinal study (2000-2011) with duplicate assessments for all variables. A 128-item food frequency questionnaire was used to estimate intake of starch (g/day) and six starch-rich food groups (potatoes, potato products, roots and tubers, pasta, wholegrains, and legumes). Dental caries was assessed through clinical examinations and summarised using the number of decayed, missing and filled teeth (DMFT score). The relationship between quintiles of starch intake and DMFT score was tested in linear hybrid models adjusting for confounders.

Setting: Northern and Southern regions of Finland.

Participants: 922 adults, aged 30-88 years.

Results: Mean starch intake was 127.6 (SD: 47.8) g/day at baseline and 120.7 (55.8) g/day at follow-up. Mean DMFT score was 21.7 (6.4) and 22.4 (6.2) at baseline and follow-up. Starch intake was inversely associated with DMFT score cross-sectionally (rate ratio for highest versus lowest quintile of intake: -2.73, 95%CI: -4.64, -0.82) but not longitudinally (0.32, 95%CI: -0.12, 0.76). By food sources, the intakes of pasta (-2.77, 95%CI: -4.21, -1.32) and wholegrains (-1.91, 95%CI: -3.38, -0.45) were negatively associated with DMFT score cross-sectionally but not longitudinally (0.03, 95%CI: -0.33, 0.39 and -0.10, 95%CI: -0.44, 0.24, respectively).

Conclusion: Changes in the amount and sources of starch intake were not associated with changes in dental caries. Further studies should be conducted in different settings and age groups while focusing on starch digestibility and specific sources of starch.

Keywords: Starch, Carbohydrates, Dental Caries, Longitudinal study, Adult, Finland.

INTRODUCTION

Frequent consumption of free sugars increases the risk of dental caries by providing a substrate for acid-producing bacteria in the mouth $^{(1, 2)}$. Other types of carbohydrates, such as starch, are not fermentable by oral bacteria and pose no direct cariogenic risk. However, they can be broken down into sugars by the action of salivary amylase as soon as they are ingested, which raises the question of whether their intake could also be associated with dental caries $^{(3-5)}$.

Starch is the predominant carbohydrate in the human diet and originates from plant storage organs, including seeds, fruits, roots, and tubers ^(6, 7). Starch comes in multiple forms depending on the method of storage, cooking, processing, mixing with other foods and serving temperature, which alters its structure and properties ^(6, 8). This heterogeneity affects its digestion properties and absorption rates in the body ^(8, 9). Starch can be classified based on how rapidly it can be hydrolysed by enzymes. Starch-containing foods that are hydrolysed within 20 minutes to release glucose are categorised as rapidly digestible starch (RDS) and those that take over 20 minutes are categorised as slowly digestible starch (SDS). Any starch that remains undigested after 120 minutes is classified as resistant starch (RS) ^(10, 11). RDS is abundant in freshly cooked foods like bread and potatoes ⁽¹²⁾. SDS is found in partially milled seeds and grains, as well as in dense foods such as pasta ⁽¹³⁾. The primary sources of RS are wholegrains and legumes ^(9, 14). Focusing on RDS offers a more targeted approach to understanding the cariogenic potential of starch compared to solely examining total starch intake.

A systematic review found low-certainty evidence (3 longitudinal studies involving children and 1 involving adults) indicating no association between total starch intake and dental caries ⁽¹⁵⁾. The longitudinal study among adults showed that starch intake (quartiles) was not associated with root caries incidence among US men aged 47 to 90 years ⁽¹⁶⁾. The review also found low-certainty evidence (2 longitudinal studies involving children) suggesting a potential positive association between RDS intake and dental caries ⁽¹⁵⁾. One study focused on processed starches consumed as snacks ⁽¹⁷⁾ while the other examined sugar-starch interactions in foods ⁽¹⁸⁾. Overall, given the limited evidence available and the heterogeneity between studies, the results from the primary studies were unsuitable for meta-analysis ⁽¹⁵⁾. Another systematic review determined that regular between-meal consumption of processed foods containing both sugar and starch was associated with dental caries, based on findings from

three cohort studies involving children ⁽⁵⁾. However, the independent effect of starch on caries development could not be separated from that of sugars.

Oral conditions have remained relatively common in Finland in the new century ⁽¹⁹⁾. Edentulousness decreased between 2000 and 2011 but remained high (11%) in older adults (\geq 65 years). The prevalence of dental caries and deepened periodontal pockets (\geq 4 mm) has remained stable over time, with 22% and 63% of dentate adults being affected, respectively. There have been some changes in the use of dental services during the same period following the 2001-2002 reform. Since then, the whole Finnish adult population has been entitled to public dental services (PDS) with subsidized fees or private services have been partly reimbursed by the National Sickness Insurance (NSI). The use of both public and private services increased among older adults whereas the use of public services increased especially among adults who came entitled to PDS services (aged 44 years or older) ⁽²⁰⁾. Furthermore, carbohydrate intake among 25-70-year-old adults has decreased slightly, with around 1 in 5 adults reporting <10% change in intake over an average of 7 years ⁽²¹⁾. There is also evidence that sugars intake is associated with caries increment among Finish adults ^(22, 23).

Most previous studies on this research area were carried out in children. The present study provides evidence from an adult population. Furthermore, it evaluates changes in both total starch intake and common sources of starch in the Finnish diet. The purpose of this 11-year-longitudinal study was to evaluate whether changes in starch intake (in terms of amount and food sources) were associated with increments in dental caries among adults. It was hypothesised that the intake of total starch, and especially RDS, would be associated with caries increment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population

This 11-year longitudinal study pooled data from individuals who took part in two national surveys carried out by the Finnish Institute for Welfare and Health (THL). Baseline data were from the main sample of the Health 2000 survey, which recruited 8028 adults aged 30 years or older living in mainland Finland. Overall, 6335 received dental examinations and 5401 were found to be dentate ⁽²⁴⁾. All participants of the Health 2000 survey alive and living in mainland Finland were invited to the Health 2011 survey, in which dental examinations were carried out in 2 of the 5 recruitment areas (Southern and Northern Finland), with 3281 adults invited to participate and 1524 re-examined (46%) ⁽²⁵⁾. Adults aged 30 years or over at

baseline, who participated in the two dental examinations, completed both food frequency questionnaires and had complete information on all covariates were included in the analysis (Figure 1). No other selection criteria were used.

Ethical approval was sought for each survey separately, from the Ethics Committee at the Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa. All participants provided written informed consent.

Outcome data

Dental caries experience was the study outcome, which was determined from clinical dental examinations by trained dentists. Clinical examinations were carried out following the same protocol in the two surveys. Participants were seated on a dental chair and clinically examined using a fibre optic light, mouth mirror and WHO periodontal probe. Teeth were dried using compressed air and isolated with cotton rolls to facilitate visual inspection. A tooth was considered decayed if there was evidence of a carious lesion extending into dentin on any coronal or root surface, and the lesion was cavitated, penetrating the fissure and undermining the enamel, or the dentine walls were clearly softened ⁽²⁶⁾. The inter- and intra-examiner reliability for caries detection at tooth level was high, with Kappa values of 0.87 and 0.95, respectively, in the baseline survey ⁽²⁷⁾. Dental caries was summarised using the number of decayed, missing and filled teeth (DMFT score) and treated as a repeated measure in analysis. The DMFT score is a cumulative measure that captures past (fillings and extractions) and present (untreated disease) experience of dental caries. The DMFT score in an individual can vary from 0 (no caries experience) to 32 teeth (all teeth affected by caries).

Exposure data

The exposure was starch intake, which was measured at baseline and follow-up. A validated semi-structured food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) was used to measure habitual diet in the past year ⁽²⁸⁻³⁰⁾. The FFQ comprised of 128 commonly used or nutritionally important food items and mixed dishes, that were presented to participants in 12 sections: dairy products; cereals; spreads; vegetables; potatoes, rice, and pasta; meat; fish; chicken, turkey, and eggs; fruits and berries; desserts; sweets and snacks; and beverages. A standard portion size was assigned to each FFQ item using natural units (piece, slice, glass, tablespoon, etc.). Each FFQ item had 9 response options to capture the frequency of food use (never or rarely, 1-3 times per month, once per week, 2-4 times per week, 5-6 times per week, once a day, 2-3 times a day, 4-5 times a day, and more than 6 times a day). The FFQ was completed at home and sent

to THL by post. When returned, they were checked for unreliable and missing answers by a nutritionist. Responses were used to derive the intakes of macronutrients (total starch intake and energy intake [EI]) and food groups using the Finnish Food Composition Database (Fineli®, THL, Finland) ⁽³¹⁾. Total starch intake was analysed in quintiles of amount (g/day) and percent of energy intake (%EI). Based on existing literature ^(7, 12, 32), six common food sources of starch were included in the analysis: (i) potatoes, (ii) potato products (i.e. French fries, potato chips), (iii) roots and tubers, (iv) pasta, (v) legumes and (vi) wholegrains (e.g. barley, oat and rye) ⁽³³⁾. All food sources were analysed as quintiles of amount consumed (g/day). Nutrient intakes were energy adjusted using the residual method ⁽³⁴⁾.

Covariate data

Information on sociodemographic characteristics (sex, age, education and marital status), health behaviours (physical activity, alcohol consumption, toothbrushing, dental flossing and dental attendance) and health conditions (body mass index [BMI], self-rated health and history of chronic conditions) were collected in each survey and included as covariates in the analysis. Marital status was categorised as cohabiting (married and living with partner) or living alone (single, divorced or living apart and widowed). Physical activity was assessed with two questions on exercise during leisure time (LTE) for at least 30 min (so they felt at least slightly out of breath and sweating) and walking or cycling to work (WCW). Physical activity was categorised as ideal (LTE>4 times/week and WCW>30 min/day), sufficient (when only the LTE or WCW threshold was met), low (LTE=2-3 times/week and WCW<30 min/day) and sedentary (LTE<1 time/week and WCW<30 min/day). Weekly alcohol intake (100% ethanol) was categorised as no use, moderate use (women<70 g, men<140 g) and risk use (women>70 g, men>140 g) (35). Toothbrushing was reported using a 5-point ordinal scale and categorised as twice or more daily, once daily, or less than daily. Dental flossing was reported using a 4-point ordinal scale and categorised as daily, less than daily, or never. Regular/habitual dental attendance was reported using three response options and categorised as for check-ups or only when in trouble (including those who had never visited the dentist). Participants' weight and height were measured by trained nurses using a wall-mounted stadiometer and a bioimpedance device's scale (InBody 3.0, Biospace, South Korea), respectively. Participants were classified as normal weight (BMI<25 kg/m²), overweight (25-29.9) or obese (>30). Current general health status was reported on a 5-point ordinal scale and categorised as poor, moderate or good. Participants also reported if they had ever been diagnosed by a physician with diabetes, heart disease, hypertension, or stroke.

Statistical analysis

All analysis were performed in Stata MP 18 (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas). First, the DMFT score at baseline and follow-up were compared by covariates. Then, the DMFT score at baseline and follow-up were compared by quintiles of total starch intake. Student's t-test and the test for linear trends were used to compare the DMFT score between unordered and ordered groups, respectively.

The relationship between total starch intake and DMFT score was analysed using a linear hybrid model that accounted for both time-invariant (sex) and time-variant covariates (education, marital status, physical activity, alcohol consumption, BMI groups, history of hypertension, diabetes, heart disease and stroke, self-rated health, toothbrushing frequency, dental flossing, dental attendance and energy intake). Age was disaggregated into two components, baseline age (10-year-brackets) and a binary indicator for time (coded as 0 for baseline and 1 for follow-up). Hybrid models combine the advantages of fixed-effects models (i.e. estimating within-individual changes and controlling for unmeasured time-invariant factors) and random-effects models (i.e., controlling for time-invariant predictors), making them suitable for handling correlated panel data with an unbalanced structure and missing observations ^(36, 37). The impact of time-variant predictors is separated into two components: (i) between-person regression coefficients representing the average value across all assessments within individuals and (ii) within-person regression coefficients representing variations around the individual-specific mean (36, 38). Between-person estimates reflect differences in the DMFT score between quintiles of starch intake at any given time point (i.e. cross-sectional associations) while the within-person estimates reflect the changes in DMFT score attributed to changes in quintiles of starch intake between the two time points (i.e. longitudinal associations). A random intercept for DMFT score was incorporated to address the correlated nature of the data (repeated assessments). The same model was used for each of the six sources of starch evaluated. All models were run in Stata using the xthybrid suite ⁽³⁹⁾. The Hausman's test was used to evaluate the equivalence of between- and within-person estimates ⁽³⁷⁾. If the null hypothesis is rejected, within-person estimates are different from between-person estimates ⁽³⁹⁾.

In sensitivity analysis, all models were repeated using the number of decayed and filled teeth (DFT) score instead of the DMFT score, which excludes the missing teeth from the calculation. The DFT is used to minimise the impact of measurement error when adjudicating

whether a tooth was missing due to caries or other reasons (congenital, trauma, orthodontic treatment, periodontitis, etc.). It can also vary from 0 to 28 teeth (excluding third molars).

RESULTS

There were 1112 dentate adults in the Health 2000 Survey who were clinically examined in the Health 2011 Survey. Of them, 190 were excluded because of missing data on starch intake (n=109) and covariates (n=81). Therefore, the final sample included 922 adults with complete data on all relevant variables at baseline and follow-up (Figure 1). Retained participants were older and more educated, have more favourable behaviours and better self-rated health than those lost to follow-up. The mean DMFT score was 21.69 ± 6.39 and 22.43 ± 6.15 teeth at baseline and follow-up, respectively. Older adults, those with lower education, no alcohol consumption and poorer self-rated health and those with history of hypertension, diabetes and heart disease had greater DMFT scores than their respective counterparts at both baseline and follow-up (Table 1). Participants with greater BMI and those with history of stroke had greater DMFT scores than those cohabiting at follow-up. The mean total starch intake was 127.61 ± 47.76 g/day at baseline and 120.71 ± 55.78 at follow-up (Table 2). Potatoes, wholegrains and roots and tubers were the main sources of starch in both surveys.

No cross-sectional association was found between starch intake and the DMFT score at baseline or follow-up (Table 3). This was irrespective of whether starch intake was expressed in g/day or as %EI. Results from the hybrid models are presented in Table 4. Total starch intake (g/day) was inversely associated with the DMFT score, although this association was mainly driven by between-person (cross-sectional) differences. Cross-sectional estimates showed that adults in the third, fourth and fifth (highest) quintile of intake had a mean DMFT score lower by -1.56 (95%CI: -3.02, -0.10), -2.01 (95%CI: -3.53, -0.49) and -2.73 (95%CI: -4.64, -0.82) teeth than those in the first (lowest) quintile. The longitudinal estimates (within-person differences) showed that changes in starch intake were not associated with increments in the DMFT score. Similar results were observed with starch intake as %EI. Cross-sectional estimates showed that adults in the third, fourth and fifth (highest) quintile of intake had a mean DMFT score lower by -1.63 (95%CI: -3.05, -0.20), -1.07 (95%CI: -2.50, 0.35) and -2.24 (95%CI: -3.62, -0.86) teeth than those in the first (lowest) quintile. Longitudinal estimates showed that changes in starch intake were not associated with increments in DMFT score lower by -1.63 (95%CI: -3.05, -0.20), -1.07 (95%CI: -2.50, 0.35) and -2.24 (95%CI: -3.62, -0.86) teeth than those in the first (lowest) quintile. Longitudinal estimates showed that changes in starch intake were not associated with increments in DMFT score.

By food groups, the intake of pasta and wholegrains were negatively associated with the DMFT score, although these relationships were driven by between-person differences (Table 4). For pasta, adults in the highest and second highest quintile of intake had a mean DMFT score lower by -1.80 (95% CI: -3.33, -0.27) and -2.77 (95% CI: -4.21, -1.32) teeth than those in the lowest quintile of intake. For wholegrains, adults in the highest quintile of intake had a mean DMFT score lower by -1.91 (95% CI: -3.38, -0.45) teeth than those in the lowest quintile of intake. Notably, changes in the intake of pasta and wholegrains (within-person estimates) were not associated with increments in the DMFT score.

Similar findings were observed in sensitivity analysis using the DFT score instead of the DMFT score. Total starch intake and the intake of pasta and wholegrains were inversely associated with the DFT score cross-sectionally but not longitudinally.

DISCUSSION

This study included Finnish dentate adults within a large age range (i.e. 30 to 88 years). The caries increment among participants was relatively low, with an average of less than one tooth per adult developing new disease over 11 years. This contrasts with the high caries experience observed at baseline (mean DMFT score: 21.7 out of 32 teeth affected by the disease). These figures are consistent with those reported in the adult population of Finland and other European countries ⁽¹⁹⁾.

This study provides no support for the association between changes in starch intake and changes in dental caries among Finnish adults. A cross-sectional association between starch intake and dental caries was found after accounting for covariates, but the same association was not observed longitudinally. The fact that the cross-sectional association was not replicated longitudinally points to the role of unmeasured confounding (omitted variable bias) in between-person estimates ⁽³⁷⁾. Contrarily, within-person estimates use individuals as their own controls (i.e. comparing DMFT scores before and after they change their starch intake), which removes the effect of time-invariant confounders (both measured and unmeasured) while also controlling for time-varying confounders included in the model ^(36, 39). By emphasizing within-person effects over between-person effects, this study was able to address confounding more efficiently and provided a more accurate assessment of how changes in starch intake affect caries risk. The current finding confirms those from the only previous longitudinal study (mean follow-up time: 11 ± 5 years) which found no association between starch intake (%EI) and incidence of root caries among 533 male US adults aged 47

to 90 years ⁽¹⁶⁾. This is noteworthy given the higher caloric intake from starch in the US study than in ours (28% versus 21-23%). An alternative explanation for the cross-sectional but not longitudinal association between starch and caries is reverse causality. Dental caries is a lifelong progressive and cumulative disease. It is thus possible that adults with low caries experience have been on a healthy dietary trajectory containing less sugars and more starch and fibre over the life course.

A second finding of this study was related to common sources of starch intake and their association with dental caries. Common sources of RDS in the Finnish diet (potatoes, roots and tubers) were not associated with dental caries. This finding challenges the hypothesis of Halvorsrud, Lewney ⁽¹⁵⁾ which posits that RDS could increase the risk of dental caries. As for SDS, the intakes of pasta and wholegrains were inversely associated with dental caries cross-sectionally but not longitudinally. Similar to the findings for total starch intake, differences in the between-person and within-person estimates suggest the role of unmeasured confounding. This interpretation is further supported by the lack of association between legumes intake, another source of SDS, and dental caries.

There are no specific recommendations for how much starch people should consume. However, the Wolrd Health Organization ⁽⁴⁰⁾ recommends that most carbohydrates come from wholegrains, vegetables, fruits, and pulses. These recommendations have been endorsed by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) ⁽⁴¹⁾ and the Nordic Council of Ministers ⁽⁴²⁾. In addition, the British Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition (SACN) recommended replacing simple (sugars) with complex carbohydrates ⁽⁴³⁾. Health professionals, including dentists, need to consider these recommendations when discussing lifestyle changes with patients as a healthy diet is an important factor to prevent multiple non-communicable diseases ^(44, 45). In terms of research, future prospective studies should evaluate other common sources of dietary starch, noting that these might vary across settings and age groups. Measuring starch digestibility, the quality of carbohydrate intake (as indicated by the glycaemic index and glycaemic load) and distinguishing between simple and complex carbohydrates could provide valuable insights on this research field. Based on the withingroup difference in DMFT score observed among adults in the highest quintile of starch intake (coefficient: 0.32, 95% CI: -0.12 to 0.76, standard deviation for the difference: 6.82, CI width: 0.88), it is estimated that a sample of 1939 individuals would be required to be 90% certain that the CI width in the future study will be no larger than a width of 0.62 (which will make the lower limit of the CI above zero), assuming a 5% significance level.

The study suffers from some limitations. First, there was moderate attrition between the baseline and follow-up surveys. Retained participants were more likely to have more education, more favourable behaviours and better health. In addition to this, some participants were excluded because of missing data on relevant variables. The study also focused on adults, meaning that findings should not be extrapolated to other age groups. Taken together, this means that caution must be exercised when generalizing the results. Second, dental caries was measured using the DMFT score, which although widely used in oral health surveys it is not without limitations. One important limitation is the accuracy in adjudicating whether teeth were missing due to dental caries or other reasons. However, similar findings were observed when using the DFT score instead of the DMFT score. Third, accurately assessing dietary intake poses challenges, with self-reported data from FFQs, albeit validated, susceptible to measurement error and underestimation. FFQs are commonly used in epidemiologic surveys as they are more feasible to perform than food recalls but cannot be used to derive average intakes. To address this issue, participants were grouped according to quintiles of intake, which allowed making comparison between different levels of habitual intake of starch. Future studies should address these limitations and refine methods to determine starch digestibility for deeper insights into the relationship between starch intake and dental caries.

In conclusion, this 11-year longitudinal study among Finnish adults found no association between changes in the amount and sources of starch intake and changes in dental caries. The present findings challenge the hypothesised role of starch in caries development. Future research should evaluate the relationship between starch intake and dental caries in different settings and age groups while also focusing on starch digestibility and specific sources of starch in the diet.

REFERENCES

1. Moores CJ, Kelly SAM, Moynihan PJ. Systematic Review of the Effect on Caries of Sugars Intake: Ten-Year Update. J Dent Res. 2022;101(9):1034-45.

2. Alosaimi N, Bernabe E. Amount and Frequency of Added Sugars Intake and Their Associations with Dental Caries in United States Adults. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(8).

3. Lingstrom P, van Houte J, Kashket S. Food starches and dental caries. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med. 2000;11(3):366-80.

4. Atkinson FS, Khan JH, Brand-Miller JC, et al. The Impact of Carbohydrate Quality on Dental Plaque pH: Does the Glycemic Index of Starchy Foods Matter for Dental Health? Nutrients. 2021;13(8):2711.

5. Hancock S, Zinn C, Schofield G. The consumption of processed sugar- and starchcontaining foods, and dental caries: a systematic review. Eur J Oral Sci. 2020;128(6):467-75.

6. Apriyanto A, Compart J, Fettke J. A review of starch, a unique biopolymer - Structure, metabolism and in planta modifications. Plant Sci. 2022;318:111223.

7. Aller EE, Abete I, Astrup A, et al. Starches, sugars and obesity. Nutrients. 2011;3(3):341-69.

8. Miao M, Jiang B, Cui SW, et al. Slowly digestible starch--a review. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2015;55(12):1642-57.

9. Bojarczuk A, Skąpska S, Mousavi Khaneghah A, et al. Health benefits of resistant starch: A review of the literature. J Func Foods. 2022;93.

10. Cummings JH, Englyst HN. Gastrointestinal effects of food carbohydrate. Am J Clin Nutr. 1995;61(4 Suppl):938S-45S.

11. Englyst H, Wiggins HS, Cummings JH. Determination of the non-starch polysaccharides in plant foods by gas-liquid chromatography of constituent sugars as alditol acetates. Analyst. 1982;107(1272):307-18.

12. Englyst HN, Kingman SM, Cummings JH. Classification and measurement of nutritionally important starch fractions. Eur J Clin Nutr. 1992;46 Suppl 2:S33-50.

13. Englyst HN, Kingman SM. Carbohydrates in Human Nutrition and Dietetics. United Kingdom: Churchill Livingston; 1993.

14. Higgins JA. Whole grains, legumes, and the subsequent meal effect: implications for blood glucose control and the role of fermentation. J Nutr Metab. 2012;2012:829238.

15. Halvorsrud K, Lewney J, Craig D, et al. Effects of Starch on Oral Health: Systematic Review to Inform WHO Guideline. J Dent Res. 2019;98(1):46-53.

16. Kaye EK, Heaton B, Sohn W, et al. The Dietary Approaches to Stop HypertensionDiet and New and Recurrent Root Caries Events in Men. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2015;63(9):1812-9.

17. Chankanka O, Marshall TA, Levy SM, et al. Mixed dentition cavitated caries incidence and dietary intake frequencies. Pediatr Dent. 2011;33(3):233-40.

18. Campain AC, Morgan MV, Evans RW, et al. Sugar-starch combinations in food and the relationship to dental caries in low-risk adolescents. Eur J Oral Sci. 2003;111(4):316-25.

19. Suominen AL, Varsio S, Helminen S, et al. Dental and periodontal health in Finnish adults in 2000 and 2011. Acta odontologica Scandinavica. 2018;76(5):305-13.

20. Suominen AL, Helminen S, Lahti S, et al. Use of oral health care services in Finnish adults - results from the cross-sectional Health 2000 and 2011 Surveys. BMC Oral Health. 2017;17(1):78.

21. Tammi R, Männistö S, Harald K, et al. Different carbohydrate exposures and weight gain-results from a pooled analysis of three population-based studies. Int J Obes (Lond). 2023;47(8):743-9.

22. Bernabé E, Vehkalahti MM, Sheiham A, et al. Sugar-sweetened beverages and dental caries in adults: a 4-year prospective study. Journal of dentistry. 2014;42(8):952-8.

23. Bernabe E, Vehkalahti MM, Sheiham A, et al. The Shape of the Dose-Response Relationship between Sugars and Caries in Adults. J Dent Res. 2016;95(2):167-72.

24. Heistaro S. Methodology Report Health Survey 2000. Helsinki: KTL-National Public Health Institution; 2005.

25. Lundqvist A, Mäki-Opas T. Health 2011 Survey- Methods. Helsinki: The National Institute of Health and Welfare; 2016.

26. Suominen-Taipale AL. Suomalaisten aikuisten suunterveys: Terveys 2000-tutkimus.2004.

27. Suominen-Taipale L, Nordblad A, Vehkalahti M, et al. Oral health in the Finnish adult population: Health 2000 survey. Helsinki: Kansanterveyslaitos; 2008. Report No.: 9517408528.

28. Mannisto S, Virtanen M, Mikkonen T, et al. Reproducibility and validity of a food frequency questionnaire in a case-control study on breast cancer. J Clin Epidemiol. 1996;49(4):401-9.

29. Paalanen L, Mannisto S, Virtanen MJ, et al. Validity of a food frequency questionnaire varied by age and body mass index. J Clin Epidemiol. 2006;59(9):994-1001.

30. Kaartinen NE, Tapanainen H, Valsta LM, et al. Relative validity of a FFQ in measuring carbohydrate fractions, dietary glycaemic index and load: exploring the effects of subject characteristics. Br J Nutr. 2012;107(9):1367-75.

Reinivuo H, Hirvonen T, Ovaskainen ML, et al. Dietary survey methodology of FINDIET 2007 with a risk assessment perspective. Public Health Nutrition. 2010;13(6a):915-9.

32. Englyst KN, Englyst HN. Carbohydrate bioavailability. Br J Nutr. 2005;94(1):1-11.

33. Tammi R, Mannisto S, Reinivuo H, et al. The relation of whole grain surrogate estimates and food definition to total whole grain intake in the Finnish adult population. Eur J Nutr. 2023;62(4):1821-31.

34. Willett WC, Howe GR, Kushi LH. Adjustment for total energy intake in epidemiologic studies. Am J Clin Nutr. 1997;65(4 Suppl):1220S-8S; discussion 9S-31S.

35. Shield KD, Gmel G, Gmel G, et al. Life-time risk of mortality due to different levels of alcohol consumption in seven European countries: implications for low-risk drinking guidelines. Addiction. 2017;112(9):1535-44.

36. Firebaugh G, Warner C, Massoglia M. Fixed effects, random effects, and hybrid models for causal analysis. In: Morgan SL, editor. Handbook of causal analysis for social research: Springer; 2013.

37. Schunck R. Within and between estimates in random-effects models: Advantages and drawbacks of correlated random effects and hybrid models. Stata J. 2013;13(1):65-76.

38. Twisk JWR, de Vente W. Hybrid models were found to be very elegant to disentangle longitudinal within- and between-subject relationships. J Clin Epidemiol. 2019;107:66-70.

39. Schunck R, Perales F. Within- and Between-cluster Effects in Generalized Linear Mixed Models: A Discussion of Approaches and the Xthybrid command. Stata J. 2017;17(1):89-115.

40. Wolrd Health Organization. Carbohydrate intake for adults and children: WHO guideline. Geneva: WHO; 2023.

41. Sonestedt E, Overby NC. Carbohydrates - a scoping review for Nordic Nutrition Recommendations 2023. Food Nutr Res. 2023;67.

42. Nordic Council of Ministers. Nordic Nutrition Recommendations. Oslo2023.

43. Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition. Carbohydrates and health. London: The Stationery Office; 2015. 384 p.

44. Blostein FA, Jansen EC, Jones AD, et al. Dietary patterns associated with dental caries in adults in the United States. Community dentistry and oral epidemiology. 2020;48(2):119-29.

45. Guo A, Wide U, Arvidsson L, et al. Dietary intake and meal patterns among young adults with high caries activity: a cross-sectional study. BMC Oral Health. 2022;22(1):190.

	DMF	T at bas	eline (n:	=922)	DMFT at follow-up (n=922)			
Covariates		Mea		Р		Mea		P
	n	n	(SD)	value ^a	n	n	(SD)	value ^a
Sex				0.575				0.762
Male	400	21.6	(6.4)		400	22.3	(6.1)	
Female	522	21.8	(6.4)		522	22.5	(6.2)	
Age at baseline			~ /	< 0.001			~ /	< 0.001
30-39 years	298	16.8	(6.2)		298	17.9	(6.0)	
40-49 years	269	23.0	(5.1)		269	23.6	(5.0)	
50-59 years	244	24.4	(4.8)		244	24.9	(4.7)	
60-69 years	96	25.3	(4.8)		96	25.9	(4.8)	
70+ years	15	26.8	(5.9)		15	28.3	(4.0)	
Education			()	< 0.001			(110)	< 0.001
Basic	169	24.5	(5.3)		161	25.2	(5.2)	
Secondary	314	22.1	(6.3)		284	23.0	(6.1)	
Higher	439	20.3	(6.5)		477	21.2	(6.1)	
Marital status	,	2010	(0.0)	0.208	.,,		(011)	0.012
Cohabiting	717	21.5	(6.3)	0.200	699	22.1	(6.2)	0.012
Living alone	205	22.2	(6.7)		223	23.3	(5.9)	
Physical activity	200		(0.7)	0.103	220	2010	(0.))	0.494
Sedentary	316	21.2	(6.3)	01100	262	22.7	(6.2)	01121
Low	305	21.8	(6.8)		347	22.3	(6.0)	
Sufficient	257	22.1	(6.2)		281	22.3	(6.3)	
Ideal	44	22.1	(5.7)		32	22.2	(6.5)	
Alcohol consumption			(817)	0.009	52		(0.0)	0.004
No use	84	24.7	(5.4)	0.007	120	24.2	(5.9)	0.001
Moderate use	656	21.3	(6.5)		617	22.2	(6.3)	
Risk use	182	21.6	(6.2)		185	21.9	(5.7)	
BMI group	102		(0.2)	< 0.001	100		(017)	0.091
Normal	415	20.9	(6.6)	(0.001	346	22.4	(6.3)	0.071
Overweight	359	21.8	(6.4)		363	21.7	(6.2)	
Obese	148	23.6	(5.5)		213	23.6	(5.6)	
Diabetes	110	20.0	(0.0)	0.045	210	2010	(0.0)	0.009
No	905	21.6	(6.4)	0.015	865	22.3	(6.1)	0.007
Yes	17	24.8	(6.3)		57	24.5	(6.0)	
Heart disease	- '		(0.0)	< 0.001			(0.0)	0.001
No	758	21.3	(6.4)		791	22.1	(6.2)	0.001
Yes	164	23.6	(6.2)		131	24.1	(5.7)	
Hypertension	101	20.0	(0.2)	< 0.001	101		(0.1)	< 0.001

Table 1. Dental caries levels at baseline and follow-up according to covariates. The Health2000 and Health 2011 surveys of adults 30 years or over in Finland (n=922)

No	708	21.1	(6.5)		644	21.7	(6.2)	
Yes	214	23.8	(5.6)		278	24.1	(5.6)	
Stroke				0.042				0.068
No	910	21.6	(6.4)		899	22.4	(6.2)	
Yes	12	25.4	(4.0)		23	24.7	(5.7)	
Self-rated general				< 0.001				0.001
health				<0.001				0.001
Poor	32	25.5	(4.3)		754	22.0	(6.1)	
Moderate	181	23.6	(5.8)		128	24.6	(6.2)	
Good	709	21.0	(6.5)		40	23.0	(6.2)	
Toothbrushing				0.914				0.634
Twice or more daily	663	21.7	(6.3)		699	22.4	(6.1)	
Once daily	231	21.5	(65)		200	22.6	(6.2)	
•			(6.5)				. ,	
Less than daily	28	22.2	(6.4)	0.700	23	22.6	(6.0)	0 101
Dental flossing				0.708				0.101
Daily	127	23.3	(6.0)		272	23.3	(5.8)	
Less than daily	427	20.6	(6.3)		405	21.8	(6.0)	
Never	368	22.4	(6.4)		245	22.5	(6.6)	
Dental attendance				0.469				0.455
For check-ups	611	21.8	(6.1)		645	22.5	(5.9)	
Only when in trouble	311	21.5	(6.9)		277	22.2	(6.6)	

BMI: Body mass index, DMFT: the number of decayed, missing and filled teeth, SD: Standard Deviation.

^a Student's t-test and test for linear trends were used to compare unordered and ordered categories, respectively.

		Starc								
	Starch	h	Potatoes	Potato produ	cts Roots and tuber	s Pasta	Legumes	Wholegrains		
	(g/day)	(%E	(g/day)	(g/day)	(g/day)	(g/day)	(g/day)	(g/day)		
		I)								
Intake at										
baseline										
Mean	127.61	23.23	150.27	5.31	49.89	7.48	13.84	60.42		
SD	47.76	4.96	100.82	5.30	44.64	7.66	13.95	39.40		
Median an	nong quintiles									
Q1	72.63		57.27	0.79	13.46	2.97	2.81	12.37		
(lowest)	72.03	17.21	51.21	0.79	15.40	2.91	2.01	12.57		
Q2	99.40	20.83	100.15	3.33	24.60	3.02	6.11	30.56		
Q3	120.97	23.07	131.14	4.47	39.97	6.34	9.88	57.15		
Q4	147.31	25.36	173.77	5.72	61.15	6.67	14.52	79.53		
Q5	100.97		228 62	0.20	00.10	10.07	24.10	101.00		
(highest)	190.86	29.63	228.62	9.39	90.19	19.07	34.19	121.99		
Intake at										
follow-up										
Mean	120.71	21.35	113.33	5.89	45.51	5.61	19.34	73.27		
SD	55.78	5.74	85.38	8.77	47.11	5.95	21.18	46.66		

Table 2. Measures of starch intake. The Health 2000 and Health 2011 surveys of adults 30 years or over in Finland (n=922).

Median an	nong quintil	es						
Q1 (lowest)	59.07	14.64	35.92	0.10	11.03	0.10	4.84	20.01
Q2	90.08	18.56	66.04	0.63	19.95	2.18	9.29	41.26
Q3	113.76	21.43	95.19	4.23	35.33	4.46	13.58	70.02
Q4	141.68	24.03	131.27	7.05	50.33	5.83	20.64	90.53
Q5 (highest)	189.31	28.26	197.33	11.83	84.95	13.48	37.59	134.92

SD: Standard Deviation; Q: Quintiles; %EI: Percent of energy intake.

Dietary data were collected using a food frequency questionnaire. Intakes (g/day) are reported without adjustment for energy intake.

Table 3. Crude cross-sectional associations between total starch intake (g/day) and DMFT score at baseline and follow-up. The Health 2000 and Health 2011 surveys of adults 30 years or over in Finland (n=922)

Starch intake	DMFT	at baseline	<u>è</u>	DMFT	at follow-u	ıp
Starch intake	n	Mean	(SD)	n	Mean	(SD)
Starch (g/day)						
Q1 (lowest)	185	21.16	(6.36)	185	22.62	(5.73)
Q1	184	22.34	(6.59)	184	22.29	(6.57)
Q3	185	21.09	(6.46)	185	22.64	(6.15)
Q4	184	21.70	(6.16)	184	22.03	(6.24)
Q5 (highest)	184	22.16	(6.33)	184	22.54	(6.08)
P value for trend ^a		0.356			0.765	
Starch (%EI)						
Q1 (lowest)	185	21.78	(5.94)	185	22.90	(5.91)
Q1	184	20.98	(6.72)	184	21.92	(5.65)
Q3	185	21.05	(6.54)	185	22.28	(6.91)
Q4	184	22.05	(6.48)	184	22.52	(6.01)
Q5 (highest)	184	22.60	(6.17)	184	22.50	(6.23)
P value for trend ^a		0.069			0.882	

DMFT: the number of decayed, missing and filled teeth; SD: Standard Deviation; Q: Quintiles. %EI: Percent of energy intake

^a Tests for linear trend were derived from linear regression models.

Table 4. Linear hybrid models for the association between starch (amount and main sources) and DMFT score. The Health 2000 and Health 2011 surveys of adults 30 years or over in Finland (n=922).

	Betwee	n-person effects	Within	-person effects	Hausman's
	Coef.	(95% CI)	Coef.	(95% CI)	test
Starch (g/day)					
Q1 (lowest)		Reference		Reference	
Q2	-0.19	(-1.61, 1.23)	0.16	(-0.12, 0.45)	0.633
Q3	-1.56	(-3.02, -0.10)	0.24	(-0.07, 0.55)	0.018
Q4	-2.01	(-3.53, -0.49)	0.07	(-0.29, 0.43)	0.009
Q5 (highest)	-2.73	(-4.64, -0.82)	0.32	(-0.12, 0.76)	0.002
Starch (%EI)					
Q1 (lowest)		Reference		Reference	
Q2	-1.31	(-2.81, 0.18)	0.00	(-0.26, 0.26)	0.090
Q3	-1.63	(-3.05, -0.20)	0.24	(-0.04, 0.51)	0.012
Q4	-1.07	(-2.50, 0.35)	0.07	(-0.21, 0.35)	0.123
Q5 (highest)	-2.24	(-3.62, -0.86)	0.08	(-0.23, 0.40)	0.001
Potatoes (g/day)					
Q1 (lowest)		Reference		Reference	
Q2	0.54	(-0.87, 1.94)	0.29	(0.01,0.58)	0.74
Q3	0.87	(-0.55, 2.30)	0.33	(0.03 ,0.63)	0.464
Q4	1.10	(-0.32, 2.51)	0.45	(0.13 ,0.76)	0.381
Q5 (highest)	0.14	(-1.37, 1.65)	0.71	(0.36 ,1.06)	0.471
Potato products (g/day)					
Q1 (lowest)		Reference		Reference	
Q2	0.14	(-1.32, 1.59)	0.07	(-0.19 ,0.34)	0.931
Q3	-0.64	(-2.16, 0.87)	-0.07	(-0.34 ,0.20)	0.465
Q4	-0.17	(-1.73, 1.39)	-0.02	(-0.29 ,0.25)	0.849
Q5 (highest)	-1.05	(-2.78, 0.68)	0.11	(-0.18 ,0.39)	0.195
Roots and tubers (g/day)					
Q1 (lowest)		Reference		Reference	
Q2	-0.15	(-1.57, 1.26)	-0.18	(-0.47 ,0.10)	0.966
Q3	0.04	(-1.38, 1.47)	0.12	(-0.18,0.41)	0.921

	Q4	-1.01	(-2.43, 0.40)	0.04	(-0.27 ,0.35)	0.154
	Q5 (highest)	-0.23	(-1.67, 1.21)	0.19	(-0.15 ,0.54)	0.572
Past	a (g/day)					
	Q1 (lowest)		Reference		Reference	
	Q2	-0.33	(-1.71, -1.05)	-0.03	(-0.31 ,0.25)	0.677
	Q3	-1.12	(-2.37, 0.13)	-0.13	(-0.40 ,0.13)	0.131
	Q4	-1.80	(-3.33, -0.27)	0.04	(-0.29 ,0.36)	0.022
	Q5 (highest)	-2.77	(-4.21, -1.32)	0.03	(-0.33, 0.39)	< 0.001
Legi	umes (g/day)					
	Q1 (lowest)		Reference		Reference	
	Q2	1.10	(-0.34, 2.53)	-0.18	(-0.45, 0.09)	0.088
	Q3	1.21	(-0.18, 2.60)	-0.22	(-0.50 ,0.06)	0.048
	Q4	-0.50	(-1.92, 0.91)	-0.08	(-0.38 ,0.22)	0.565
	Q5 (highest)	-0.01	(-1.47, 1.46)	-0.03	(-0.34 ,0.29)	0.978
Who	olegrains (g/day)					
	Q1 (lowest)		Reference		Reference	
	Q2	0.46	(-0.94, 1.87)	0.00	(-0.29, 0.28)	0.523
	Q3	-0.39	(-1.77, 0.98)	0.03	(-0.27, 0.33)	0.556
	Q4	-0.64	(-2.06, 0.78)	0.01	(-0.31, 0.31)	0.385
	Q5 (highest)	-1.91	(-3.38, -0.45)	-0.10	(-0.44, 0.24)	0.018

DMFT: the number of decayed, missing and filled teeth; Q: Quintiles.

^a A linear hybrid model was fitted for the DMFT score and regression coefficients reported. Models were adjusted for sex, age groups, marital status, education, alcohol intake, physical activity, BMI group, history of hypertension, diabetes, heart disease and stroke, self-rated health, toothbrushing, dental flossing, dental attendance and the time indicator (coded as 0 for baseline and 1 for follow-up).

^b The Hausman's test was used to evaluate the equivalence of between- and within-person estimates.