

BEHAVIOR OF COEFFICIENTS OF INVERSES OF α -SPIRAL FUNCTIONS

RICHARD J. LIBERA AND ELIGIUSZ J. ZLOTKIEWICZ

1. Preliminary remarks. If $f(z)$ is univalent (regular and one-to-one) in the open unit disk Δ , $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbf{C}: |z| < 1\}$, and has a Maclaurin series expansion of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + a_3z^3 + \dots, \quad z \in \Delta,$$

then, as de Branges has shown, $|a_k| \leq k$, for $k = 2, 3, \dots$ and the Koebe function.

$$(1.2) \quad K(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kz^k$$

serves to show that these bounds are the best ones possible (see [3]). The functions defined above are generally said to constitute the class \mathcal{S} .

If $f(z)$ is in \mathcal{S} , then its inverse $\check{f}(w)$ exists and has a series expansion

$$(1.3) \quad \check{f}(w) = w + A_2w^2 + A_3w^3 + \dots$$

in some disk of positive radius centered at the origin. Using his parametric method, Loewner [10] showed that

$$(1.4) \quad |A_n| \leq \frac{1}{n} \binom{2n}{n+1}$$

for $n \geq 2$ and that the sharp upper bound is achieved by the inverse of a suitable rotation of the Koebe function.

Recently there has been a good deal of interest in determining the behavior of the coefficients given in (1.3) when the corresponding function $f(z)$ is restricted to some proper subclass of \mathcal{S} . For example, it has been shown ([8], [1]) that $|A_k| \leq 1$, $k = 2, 3, \dots, 8$, whenever $f(z)$ in \mathcal{S} maps Δ onto a convex domain, but that $|A_{10}| > 1$ for some such function [5]. Other subclasses of \mathcal{S} have been shown to have curious properties relating to the coefficients A_k , ([5], [6], [8], [9], [12]). Our purpose here is to report on the behavior of the coefficients A_k when $f(z)$ is spiral-like.

A function $f(z)$ as in (1.1) is spiral-like if for some real α , $|\alpha| < \pi/2$,

$$(1.5) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ e^{i\alpha} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

The class of all such functions is often denoted by the symbol \mathcal{S}_α^\vee and Špaček, who introduced the class [13], showed that $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\vee \subset \mathcal{S}$; it was later called the class of α -spiral functions [7]. For $\alpha = 0$ one obtains the class of starlike function \mathcal{S}^* , i.e., $\mathcal{S}_0^\vee = \mathcal{S}^*$.

\mathcal{P} will represent the family of all functions regular in Δ for which $P(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re} P(z) > 0, z \in \Delta$. Then condition (1.5) can be restated in the equivalent form

$$(1.6) \quad e^{i\alpha} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - i \sin \alpha = P(z) \cdot \cos \alpha$$

for $P(z)$ in \mathcal{P} and z in Δ . (Note: In subsequent computations it will be convenient to replace $P(z)$ by its reciprocal; this is no restriction, since both $P(z)$ and its reciprocal are simultaneously in \mathcal{P} .)

2. Our conclusions.

THEOREM 1. *If $f(z)$ is an α -spiral function, $f(w) = w + A_2w^2 + \dots$,*

$$(2.1) \quad a = ie^{-i\alpha} \sin \alpha, \quad A = |32a^2 - 52a + 21| \text{ and } B = |5 - 6a|;$$

then

$$(2.2) \quad |A_2| \leq |1 - a| \cdot 2;$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad |A_3| \leq B \cos \alpha,$$

which are both sharp, and

$$(2.4) \quad |A_4| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{4}{3}A \cos \alpha, & \text{if } 2B^2 + 5B + 2 \leq A(B^2 + 3B + 3) \\ \frac{4}{3} \cos \alpha \left(\frac{A + B^2}{(1 + B)^3} + \frac{2B}{B + 1} \right), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 2. *If $f(z)$ is an α -spiral function and $f(w) = w + A_2w^2 + \dots$, then*

$$(2.5) \quad |A_n| \leq \frac{e^{\pi n \cos \alpha \sin \alpha}}{n} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(1 + 2n \cos^2 \alpha)}{(\Gamma(1 + n \cos^2 \alpha))^2}$$

for $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$.

THEOREM 3. *If $f(z)$ is a starlike function in \mathcal{S} , i.e., $f(z)$ is a zero-spiral function, and $f(w) = w + A_2w^2 + \dots$, then*

$$(2.6) \quad |A_n| \leq \frac{1}{n} \binom{2n}{n+1}, \text{ for } n \geq 2$$

and this bound is rendered sharp when $f(z)$ is a properly chosen rotation of the Koebe function (1.2).

This, of course, is not a new result, but a consequence of the work of Loewner cited above. However, the proof given here is a new one, relatively simple and applicable directly to the class \mathcal{S}^* .

3. Proof of theorem 1. If we let a member of \mathcal{P} have the representation

$$(3.1) \quad P(z) = 1 + C_1z + C_2z^2 + \dots, z \text{ in } \Delta,$$

let $w = f(z)$ and $z = \check{f}(w)$, recall that

$$(\check{f}(w))' = 1/f'(z),$$

and rewrite (1.6) accordingly; we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \check{f}(w)P(\check{f}(w)) = w(\check{f}(w))'(e^{-i\alpha}\cos \alpha + ie^{-i\alpha} \sin \alpha \cdot P(\check{f}(w))),$$

or

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k w^k \cdot \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k (\check{f}(w))^k \right) \\ = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kA_k w^k \cdot \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} aC_k (\check{f}(w))^k \right);$$

and finally that

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} A_2 = (1 - a)C_1, \\ 2A_3 = (2 - 3a)C_1A_2 + (1 - a)C_2, \text{ and} \\ 3A_4 = (2 - 4a)C_1A_3 + (3 - 4a)C_2A_2 + (1 - 2a)C_1A_2^2 \\ \quad + (1 - a)C_3. \end{cases}$$

The relations in (3.4) may be rewritten as

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} A_2 = (1 - a)C_1, \\ 2A_3 = (1 - a)((2 - 3a)C_1^2 + C_2), \text{ and} \\ 3A_4 = (1 - a)((1 - 2a)(3 - 4a)C_1^3 \\ \quad + (4 - 6a)C_1C_2 + C_3). \end{cases}$$

(2.2) is now obtained from the first of these relations by an application of

Carathéodory’s well-known theorem which states that $|C_k| \leq 2$ for all k , (see [3], [4], for example).

To justify (2.3) and (2.4) we call upon another result due to Carathéodory (stated here in a form due to Toeplitz); it appears in [4].

LEMMA. *The power series for $P(z)$ given in (3.1) converges in Δ to a function in \mathcal{P} if and only if the Toeplitz determinants*

$$(3.6) \quad D_n = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & C_1 & C_2 & \dots & C_n \\ C_{-1} & 2 & C_1 & \dots & C_{n-1} \\ \cdot & & & & \\ \cdot & & & & \\ \cdot & & & & \\ C_{-n} & C_{-n+1} & C_{-n+2} & \dots & 2 \end{vmatrix}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

with $C_{-k} = \bar{C}_k$, are all non-negative. They are strictly positive except for

$$(3.7) \quad P(z) = \sum_{k=1}^m \rho_k P_0(e^{it_k} z),$$

$$P_0(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z} = 1 + 2z + 2z^2 + \dots,$$

$\rho_k > 0$, t_k real and $t_k \neq t_j$ for $k \neq j$; in this exceptional case $D_n > 0$ for $n < m - 1$ and $D_n = 0$ for $n \geq m$.

With no restriction we may assume that $C_1 > 0$ and write

$$(3.8) \quad D_2 = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & C_1 & C_2 \\ C_1 & 2 & C_1 \\ \bar{C}_2 & C_1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 8 + 2 \operatorname{Re}\{C_1^2 C_2\} - 2|C_2|^2 - 4C_1^2 \geq 0$$

from which we conclude that

$$(3.9) \quad 2C_2 = C_1^2 + x(4 - C_1^2), \quad \text{for some } x, |x| \leq 1.$$

This representation for C_2 and (3.6) gives

$$(3.10) \quad 4A_3 = (1 - a)((4 - 6a + 1)C_1^2 + x(4 - C_1^2))$$

and the bound $|x| \leq 1$, along with an application of the triangle inequality, gives

$$(3.11) \quad 4|A_3| \leq |1 - a| \cdot (|5 - 6a| - 1)C_1^2 + 4| \\ \leq 4|1 - a| \cdot |5 - 6a|,$$

because $|5 - 6a| \geq 1$. Equality holds true in (2.2) and (2.3) when $f(z)$, and consequently $f(w)$, is the solution of (1.6) with $P(z)$ replaced by $P_0(z)$.

To arrive at (2.4) we appeal once again to the lemma. $D_3 \geq 0$, in (3.6), is equivalent to

$$(3.12) \quad |(4C_3 - 4C_1C_2 + C_1^3)(4 - C_1^2) + C_1(2C_2 - C_1^2)^2| \\ \leq 2(4 - C_1^2)^2 - 2|2C_2 - C_1^2|^2;$$

and using (3.9) we rewrite (3.12) as

$$(3.13) \quad 4C_3 = C_1^3 + 2(4 - C_1^2)C_1x - C_1(4 - C_1^2)x^2 \\ + 2(4 - C_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z,$$

for some z , $|z| \leq 1$. Combining (3.13) with (3.9) and (3.13) yields the equation

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{12A_4}{1 - a} = (21 - 52a + 32a^2)C_1^3 + 2C_1(4 - C_1^2)(5 - 6a)x \\ - C_1(4 - C_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - C_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z.$$

Letting $|x| = \rho$, recalling the definitions of A and B given in (2.1), applying the triangle inequality and replacing $|z|$ by its maximum value 1, we may find an upper bound for the right side of (3.14) by maximizing the function

$$(3.15) \quad \phi(\rho) = AC_1^3 + 2C_1(4 - C_1^2)B\rho + C_1(4 - C_1^2)\rho^2 \\ + 2(4 - C_1^2)(1 - \rho^2).$$

If $C_1 = 2$, then $|\phi(\rho)| \leq 8A$, and if $C_1 = 0$, then $|\phi(\rho)| \leq 8$; consequently, we assume $0 < C_1 < 2$.

$$\phi'(\rho) = 2(4 - C_1^2)(C_1B + \rho(C_1 - 2))$$

and $\phi(\rho)$ achieves its maximum when

$$\rho_0 = \frac{C_1B}{2 - C_1}.$$

If $\rho_0 \leq 1$, then

$$C_1 \leq \frac{2}{B + 1}$$

and in this case we have

$$(3.16) \quad \frac{12|A_4|}{|1 - a|} \leq \phi \left(\frac{C_1B}{2 - C_1} \right) \Big|_{C_1 = \frac{2}{B+1}} \\ = \{ (A + B^2)C_1^3 + 2(B^2 - 1)C_1^2 + 8 \} \Big|_{C_1 = \frac{2}{B+1}} \\ = 8 \left(\frac{A + B^2}{(B + 1)^3} + \frac{2B}{B + 1} \right),$$

having made use of $B^2 - 1 = 24 \cos^2 \alpha > 0$, for $|\alpha| \neq \pi/2$.

Now we suppose that $\phi'(\rho)$ has its zero at

$$\rho_0 = \frac{C_1 B}{2 - C_1} > 1,$$

then

$$\frac{2}{B + 1} < C_1 \leq 2.$$

Replacing ρ by 1 in (3.15), we see that our problem reduces to one of maximizing

$$(3.17) \quad \psi(C_1) = C_1((A - 2B - 1)C_1^2 + (8B + 4))$$

over the interval $\left(\frac{2}{B + 1}, 2\right]$. If $A - 2B - 1 \geq 0$, then the maximum occurs at 2, and we conclude that

$$\frac{12|A_4|}{|1 - a|} \leq 8A.$$

On the other hand, if $A - 2B - 1 < 0$, then the solution of $\psi'(C_1) = 0$ we are interested in is the (non-negative) solution of

$$C_1^2 = \frac{8B + 4}{3(1 + 2B - A)}$$

lying in the interval given above. These conditions on C_1 are equivalent to the statement

$$\frac{4}{(B + 1)^2} < \frac{8B + 4}{3(1 + 2B - A)} \leq 4.$$

Then the maximum for ψ occurs at $C_1 = 2$, because $\psi' > 0$ over $\left(\frac{2}{B + 1}, 2\right]$. $\psi(2) = 8A$ and this is the upper bound when

$$\frac{A + B^2}{(1 + B)^3} + \frac{2B}{B + 1} < A,$$

which is equivalent to

$$2B^2 + 5B + 2 \leq A(B^2 + 3B + 3).$$

The sharp upper bound corresponds to the example given above for (2.2) and (2.3).

4. Proofs of theorems 2 and 3. If $f(z)$ and $\check{f}(w)$ are as before, let $C(r)$ be the image of the circle $|z| = r$, $r < 1$, under $f(z)$, then

$$(4.1) \quad A_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C(r)} \frac{f'(w)dw}{w^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)^{n+1}} dz$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i n} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{dz}{f(z)^n},$$

and to bound A_n , using (4.1), we seek a bound for $|f(z)|^{-n}$.

Using the Stieltjes integral representation for $P(z)$ in \mathcal{P} , (see [3], for example) in (1.6), then performing an integration we have

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{z}{f(z)} = \exp \left\{ 2e^{-i\alpha} \cos \alpha \int_0^{2\pi} \log(1 - e^{it}z) d\mu(t) \right\},$$

for a non-decreasing $\mu(t)$ such that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\mu(t) = 1.$$

From (4.2) we get

$$(4.3) \quad \left| \frac{z}{f(z)} \right|^n$$

$$\cong \exp\{n\pi \sin \alpha \cos \alpha\} \cdot \exp \left\{ 2n \cos^2 \alpha \int_0^{2\pi} \log|1 - ze^{it}| d\mu(t) \right\}$$

and this, along with (4.1) yields

$$(4.4) \quad |A_n| \cong \frac{1}{2\pi n} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{|dz|}{|f(z)|^n}$$

$$\cong \frac{e^{\pi n \cos \alpha \sin \alpha}}{2\pi n r^n} \int_{|z|=r} \left(\exp \int_0^{2\pi} \log|1 - ze^{it}|^{2n \cos^2 \alpha} d\mu(t) \right) |dz|$$

$$\cong \frac{e^{\pi n \cos \alpha \sin \alpha}}{2\pi n r^n} \int_{|z|=r} \int_0^{2\pi} |1 - ze^{it}|^{2n \cos^2 \alpha} d\mu(t) |dz|$$

$$= \frac{e^{\pi n \cos \alpha \sin \alpha}}{2\pi n r^{n-1}} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |1 - re^{it}e^{i\theta}|^{2n \cos^2 \alpha} d\theta d\mu(t),$$

having let $z = re^{i\theta}$, $0 < r < 1$. (Here we have used the integral generalization of the inequality between the arithmetic and geometric means. (see p. 110, [11], for example).)

The integrals in (4.4) are bounded, consequently we let $r \rightarrow 1$ and obtain

$$(4.5) \quad |A_n| \cong \frac{e^{\pi n \cos \alpha \sin \alpha}}{2\pi n} \int_0^{2\pi} |1 - e^{i\theta}|^{2n \cos^2 \alpha} d\theta$$

$$= e^{\pi n \cos \alpha \sin \alpha} \cdot \left(\frac{2^{2n \cos^2 \alpha}}{2\pi n} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \right|^{2n \cos^2 \alpha} d\theta \right)$$

$$= e^{\pi n \cos \alpha \sin \alpha} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(2n \cos^2 \alpha + 1)}{(\Gamma(n \cos^2 \alpha + 1))^2},$$

having referred to standard tables ([2], or see the analogous form p. 108 [6]).

Our proof of Theorem 3 begins with an analysis of the relationships between coefficients C_k and A_k , all k , as given in (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), but with $\alpha = 0$. Computation and rearrangement of terms yields the following relationships:

$$(4.6) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A_2 = C_1 \\ 2A_3 = 2C_1^2 + C_2, \\ 3A_4 = 3C_1^3 + 4C_1C_2 + C_3, \\ 4!A_5 = 24C_1^4 + 58C_1^2C_2 + 28C_1C_3 + 9C_2^2 + 6C_4, \text{ and} \\ 5!A_6 = 120C_1^5 + 436C_1^3C_2 + 192C_1C_2^2 + 312C_1^2C_3 \\ \quad + 108C_1C_4 + 72C_2C_3 + 24C_5. \end{array} \right.$$

Now, an examination of the way in which the coefficients in (4.6) are formed shows that

$$(4.7) \quad (k-1)!A_k = C_1^{k-1} + Q_k(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{k-1}),$$

for each k , and Q_k is a polynomial all of whose coefficients are non-negative. Consequently, a sharp upper bound for (4.7) is obtained by a direct application of the triangle inequality and the bounds $|C_k| \leq 2$, all k . A function maximizing $|C_1|$ and all subsequent $|C_k|$ is $P_0(z)$, given above; the corresponding extremal in \mathcal{S}^* is the inverse of a suitable rotation of the Koebe function, $K(z)$, see (1.2), and whose inverse has coefficients as in (1.4).

5. Remarks. (i) Replacing $P(z)$ in (1.6) by its reciprocal plays a significant role in all the above computations since doing so gives tractable representations for the A_k 's in terms of coefficients of members of \mathcal{P} . This observation was made by Campschroer [1] in a similar situation.

(ii) The bound in (2.5) is not best possible. In particular, when $\alpha = 0$, (2.5) does not reduce to (2.6); however (2.5) is of the correct order.

(iii) The methods used to find bounds given in Theorem 1 appear too cumbersome to handle $|A_5|$, $|A_6|$, \dots . For example,

$$\begin{aligned} 4A_5 &= (2-5a)C_1A_4 + (3-5a)C_2A_3 + (3-5a)C_2A_2^2 \\ &\quad + (4-5a)C_3A_2 + (2-5a)C_1A_2A_3 + (1-a)C_4, \end{aligned}$$

which upon elimination of C_k 's reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
4!A_5 = & (1 - a)\{ (24 - 130a + 225a^2 - 125a^3)C_1^4 \\
& + 2(29 - 95a + 75a^2)C_1^2C_2 + 4(7 - 10a)C_1C_3 \\
& + 3(3 - 5a)C_2^2 + 6C_4\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements. This work was done while the first author was at Uniwersytet Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej under support of the (U.S.) National Academy of Sciences and Polska Akademia Nauk.

REFERENCES

1. J. T. P. Campschoer, *Coefficients of the inverse of a convex function*, Report 8227, Dept. of Math., Catholic University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands (1982).
2. H. B. Dwight, *Tables of integrals and other mathematical data* (New York, 1961).
3. L. de Branges, *A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture*, *Acta Math* 154 (1985), 137-152.
4. U. Grenander and G. Szegő, *Toeplitz forms and their applications* (Univ. of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1958).
5. W. E. Kirwan and G. Schober, *Inverse coefficients for functions of bounded boundary rotation*, *J. Analyse Math.* 36 (1979), 167-178.
6. J. G. Krzyz, R. J. Libera and E. J. Zlotkiewicz, *Coefficients of inverses of regular starlike functions*, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska, Sect. A* 33 (1979), 103-110.
7. R. J. Libera, *Univalent α -spiral functions*, *Can. J. Math.* 19 (1967), 449-456.
8. R. J. Libera and E. J. Zlotkiewicz, *Early coefficients of the inverse of a regular convex function*, *Proc. A.M.S.* 85 (1982), 225-230.
9. ——— *Coefficient bounds for the inverse of a function with derivative in \mathcal{P}* , *Proc. A.M.S.* 87 (1983), 251-257.
10. C. Loewner, *Untersuchungen über schlichte konforme Abbildungen des Einheitskreises, I*, *Math. Ann.* 89 (1923), 103-121.
11. H. L. Royden, *Real analysis*, 2nd ed. (The Macmillan Company, New York, 1968).
12. G. Schober, *Coefficient estimates for inverses of schlicht functions*, *Aspects of contemporary complex analysis* (Academic Press, New York, 1980), 503-513.
13. L. Špaček, *Príspevek k teorii funkcií prostých*, *Časopis Pěst. Mat a Fys.* 62 (1933), 12-19.

*University of Delaware,
Newark, Delaware;
Uniwersytet Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej,
Lublin, Polska*