

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# Rational torsion points on abelian surfaces with quaternionic multiplication

Jef Laga<sup>1</sup>, Ciaran Schembri<sup>2</sup>, Ari Shnidman<sup>3</sup> and John Voight<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WB, Cambridge, United Kingdom; E-mail: jeflaga@hotmail.com (corresponding author).

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Dartmouth College, 6188 Kemeny Hall, Hanover, NH 03755, USA;

E-mail: schembriciaran@gmail.com.

<sup>3</sup>Einstein Institute of Mathematics, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel; E-mail: ari.shnidman@gmail.com. <sup>4</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia; E-mail: jvoight@gmail.com.

Received: 28 September 2023; Revised: 7 August 2024; Accepted: 26 August 2024

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary - 14K15; Secondary - 14G05, 11G10

## Abstract

Let *A* be an abelian surface over  $\mathbb{Q}$  whose geometric endomorphism ring is a maximal order in a non-split quaternion algebra. Inspired by Mazur's theorem for elliptic curves, we show that the torsion subgroup of  $A(\mathbb{Q})$  is 12-torsion and has order at most 18. Under the additional assumption that *A* is of GL<sub>2</sub>-type, we give a complete classification of the possible torsion subgroups of  $A(\mathbb{Q})$ .

# Contents

| 1 | Intr | oduction                               | 2 |
|---|------|--|---|
|   | 1.1  | Motivation                             | 2 |
|   | 1.2  | Results                                | 2 |
|   | 1.3  | Methods                                | 4 |
|   | 1.4  | Previous work                          | 4 |
|   | 1.5  | Future directions                      | 4 |
|   | 1.6  | Organization                           | 4 |
|   | 1.7  |  | 5 |
| 2 | Qua  | ternionic arithmetic                   | 5 |
|   | 2.1  | The normalizer of a maximal order      | 5 |
|   | 2.2  | Dihedral actions on $\mathcal{O}$      | 6 |
|   | 2.3  | Fixed point subgroups modulo N         | 6 |
| 3 | Galo |  | 9 |
|   | 3.1  |  | 9 |
|   | 3.2  |  | 0 |
|   | 3.3  | Polarizations and positive involutions | 1 |
|   | 3.4  |  | 2 |
|   | 3.5  |  | 3 |
| 4 | PON  |  | 4 |
|   | -    |  | 4 |

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

|   | 4.2  | The good reduction field and component group of a PQM surface   | 15 |
|---|------|---|----|
|   | 4.3  | Component groups and torsion  | 16 |
|   | 4.4  | The conductor of a PQM surface  | 17 |
|   | 4.5  | Finite fields   | 17 |
| 5 |      | of of Theorem 1.4: PQM surfaces of GL <sub>2</sub> -type  | 17 |
|   | 5.1  | Abelian surfaces of $GL_2$ -type and modular forms $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 17 |
|   | 5.2  | Full level 2-structure  | 19 |
|   | 5.3  | Torsion classification in the $GL_2$ -type case   | 20 |
| 6 | Proc | of of Theorem 1.1: Reduction to GL <sub>2</sub> -type   | 23 |
|   | 6.1  | Linear algebra  | 24 |
|   | 6.2  | The subgroup generated by a torsion point   | 24 |
| 7 | Proc | of of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3: Eliminating groups of order $2^i 3^j$   | 26 |
|   | 7.1  | Torsion constraints arising from the endomorphism field   | 26 |
|   | 7.2  | Groups of order 48  | 27 |
|   | 7.3  | Groups of order 36  | 27 |
|   | 7.4  | Rational points of order 9  | 28 |
|   | 7.5  | Rational points of order 8  | 28 |
|   | 7.6  | Groups of order 24  | 29 |
| 8 |      | of of Theorem 1.6: PQM Jacobians  | 30 |

# 1. Introduction

# 1.1. Motivation

Let *E* be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . In [Maz77], Mazur famously showed that if a prime  $\ell$  divides the order of the torsion subgroup  $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  of  $E(\mathbb{Q})$ , then  $\ell \leq 7$ . Combining with previous work of Kubert [Kub76], Mazur deduced that  $\#E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \leq 16$  and that  $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  is isomorphic to one of fifteen finite abelian groups, each of which gives rise to a genus 0 modular curve with a well-known rational parametrization.

It is not known whether there is a uniform bound on the size of the rational torsion subgroup of abelian varieties of fixed dimension  $g \ge 2$  over a fixed number field. In fact, there is not even a single integer *N* for which it is known that there is no abelian surface over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with a torsion point of order *N*. Indeed, determining rational points on Siegel modular threefolds with level structure seems out of reach in general.

# 1.2. Results

In this paper, we study the torsion subgroup of abelian surfaces *A* over  $\mathbb{Q}$  whose geometric endomorphism ring is large. Namely, we suppose that the geometric endomorphism ring  $\operatorname{End}(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  is a maximal order  $\mathcal{O}$  in a division quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; we refer to these as  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surfaces ('potential quaternionic multiplication'). Such abelian surfaces are geometrically simple, so their torsion subgroup cannot be studied using torsion subgroups of elliptic curves. However, they give rise to rational points on certain Shimura curves, much as elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$  give rise to rational points on modular curves. Thus,  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surfaces are a natural place to explore torsion questions in higher dimension.

Our main results show that the torsion behaviour of O-PQM surfaces is rather constrained.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let A be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM abelian surface over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with a rational point of order  $\ell$ , where  $\ell$  is a prime number. Then  $\ell = 2$  or  $\ell = 3$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Each*  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM *abelian surface* A *over*  $\mathbb{Q}$  *has*  $\#A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \leq 18$ .

The fact that the rational torsion on O-PQM surfaces is uniformly bounded is not new nor is it difficult to prove. Indeed, since O-PQM surfaces have everywhere potentially good reduction (Lemma 4.1), local

methods quickly show that  $\ell \mid #A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  implies  $\ell \leq 19$  and that  $#A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \leq 72$  [CX08, Theorem 1.4]. The goal of this paper is instead to prove results which are as precise as possible.

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are optimal since it is known that each of the seven groups

$$\{1\}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$$
$$\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}, (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$$
(1.1)

is isomorphic to  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  for some  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface  $A/\mathbb{Q}$ , with the largest group having order 18. Indeed, each of these groups arises as  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  for infinitely many  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -isomorphism classes of such surfaces by [LS23, Theorem 1.1].

Our methods give the following more precise constraints on the group structure of  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** Let A be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM abelian surface over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  is isomorphic either to one of the groups in (1.1) or to one of the following groups:

$$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3, (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z},$$
$$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^2.$$
(1.2)

We leave open the question of whether any of the groups of (1.2) arise as  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  for some  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface or not.

Theorem 1.3 can be interpreted as a non-existence result for non-special rational points on certain types of Shimura curves with level structure. Since the discriminant of  $\text{End}(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  and level are unconstrained, the result covers infinitely many distinct such curves. However, as we explain below, our proof of Theorem 1.3 does not make direct use of the arithmetic of Shimura curves.

Whereas the theorems above consider general  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM abelian surfaces, one is sometimes interested in surfaces with additional structure. For example, recall that A is of GL<sub>2</sub>-type if the endomorphism ring End(A) is a quadratic ring. Modularity results (see Theorem 5.1) imply that an abelian variety A of GL<sub>2</sub>-type over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a quotient of the modular Jacobian  $J_1(N)$  for some N. More precisely, the isogeny class of A arises from a cuspidal newform of weight 2 and level N, where A has conductor  $N^2$ . Specializing our methods to this setting, we obtain the following complete classification.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let A be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of  $GL_2$ -type. Then  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{tors}$  is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

 $\{1\}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2, (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2.$ 

Every one of these groups arises as  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  for infinitely many  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -isomorphism classes of  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surfaces A over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of GL<sub>2</sub>-type.

**Remark 1.5.** The proof shows that if the maximality assumption on  $\mathcal{O}$  is omitted, then a similar classification holds, except we do not know whether the group  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$  arises or not.

Another natural class of abelian surfaces is Jacobians of genus two curves. Recall that for geometrically simple abelian surfaces, being a Jacobian is equivalent to carrying a principal polarization. Thus, the following result gives a near-classification for rational torsion subgroups of genus two Jacobians over  $\mathbb{Q}$  in the  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM locus of the Siegel modular 3-fold  $\mathcal{A}_2$  parameterizing principally polarized abelian surfaces.

**Theorem 1.6.** Let J be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface over  $\mathbb{Q}$  which is the Jacobian of a genus two curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $J(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

$$\begin{aligned} \{1\}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2, \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}, (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2, \\ \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^2. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $\#J(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \leq 16$ .

The first six groups in the list above can be realized as  $J(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ ; see Table 2. We do not know whether they can be realized infinitely often by  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM Jacobians over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

## 1.3. Methods

We first describe the proof of Theorem 1.4, which is almost entirely local in nature. Let A be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of GL<sub>2</sub>-type. We show that A has totally additive reduction at every prime p of bad reduction, meaning that the identity component of the special fiber of the Néron model at p is unipotent. It is well known that, in this case, the prime-to-p torsion subgroup of  $A(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  embeds in the Néron component group of A at p and that this component group is controlled by the smallest field over which A acquires good reduction. Our proof of Theorem 1.4 therefore involves an analysis of this field extension. In particular, we show that its degree is coprime to  $\ell$  for any prime  $\ell \geq 5$ . Applying these local arguments requires the existence of suitable primes of bad reduction and breaks down when A has conductor dividing  $2^n$ ,  $3^n$ , or  $6^4$ . We handle these cases seperately by invoking the modularity theorem and using modular forms computations contained in the LMFDB [LMF23]. It turns out there is a single isogeny class whose conductor is of this form – namely, the isogeny class of conductor  $3^{10}$  – corresponding to a Galois orbit of newforms of level  $3^5 = 243$ , with LMFDB label 243.2.a.d.

To prove Theorem 1.1, we need to exclude the existence of an  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface A over  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $A[\ell](\mathbb{Q})$  is nontrivial for some prime  $\ell \geq 5$ . By studying the interaction between the Galois action on the torsion points of A and the Galois action on  $\operatorname{End}(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$ , we show that such an A must necessarily be of  $\operatorname{GL}_2$ -type, so we may conclude using Theorem 1.4. The methods of this 'reduction to  $\operatorname{GL}_2$ -type' argument are surprisingly elementary. Aside from some calculations in the quaternion order  $\mathcal{O}$ , the key observation is that in the non- $\operatorname{GL}_2$ -type case, the geometric endomorphism algebra  $\operatorname{End}^0(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  contains a (unique) Galois-stable imaginary quadratic subfield, which is naturally determined by the (unique) polarization defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

To prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, we must constrain the remaining possibilities for  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ , which is a group of order  $2^i 3^j$  by Theorem 1.1. Our arguments here are ad hoc, relying on a careful analysis of the reduction of A modulo various primes via Honda–Tate theory (with the aid of the LMFDB) to constrain the possible torsion groups, reduction types and Galois action on the endomorphism ring. The proof of Theorem 1.6 is similar, but using the relationship between endomorphisms, polarizations and level structures.

## 1.4. Previous work

Rational torsion on O-PQM surfaces was previously considered in the PhD thesis of Clark [Cla03, Chapter 5], but see the author's caveat emptor, indicating that the proofs of the main results of that chapter are incomplete.

# 1.5. Future directions

Our methods use the maximality assumption on  $\text{End}(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  in various places. It would be interesting and desirable to relax this condition, especially since groups of order 12 and 18 can indeed arise in genus two Jacobians with non-maximal PQM; see, for example, the curve  $y^2 = 24x^5 + 36x^4 - 4x^3 - 12x^2 + 1$  and [LS23, Remark 7.17]. It would also be interesting to systematically analyze rational points on (Atkin–Lehner quotients of) Shimura curves with level structure – for example, to determine whether the remaining groups (1.2) arise or not.

## 1.6. Organization

Sections 2-4 are preliminary, and the remaining sections are devoted to proving the main theorems of the introduction. As explained in §1.3, we start by proving Theorem 1.4 because the other theorems depend on it.

Those who wish to take the shortest route to Theorem 1.4 (minus eliminating  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ ) only need to read Sections 3.2, 4 and 5. Eliminating the last group  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$  in Proposition 5.16 requires more algebraic preliminaries from Section 2 and 3.

# 1.7. Notation

We fix the following notation for the remainder of this paper:

- *B*: an indefinite (so  $B \otimes \mathbb{R} \simeq \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{R})$ ) quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of discriminant disc $(B) \neq 1$ ;
- trd(b), nrd(b) and  $\overline{b}$ : reduced trace, reduced norm and canonical involution of an element  $b \in B$ , respectively;
- $\mathcal{O}$ : a choice of maximal order of B;
- $\overline{F}$ : a choice of algebraic closure of a field F;
- $Gal_F$ : the absolute Galois group of *F*;
- End(A): the endomorphism ring of an abelian variety A defined over F;
- $\operatorname{End}^{0}(A) = \operatorname{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ : the endomorphism algebra of *A*;
- NS(A): the Néron–Severi group of A;
- $A_K$ : base change of A/F along a field extension K/F;
- $\left(\frac{m,n}{F}\right)$ : the quaternion algebra over F with basis  $\{1, i, j, ij\}$  such that  $i^2 = m, j^2 = n$  and ij = -ji;
- $D_n$ : the dihedral group of order 2n.

We say an abelian surface A over a field F is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface if there is an isomorphism  $\text{End}(A_{\bar{F}}) \simeq \mathcal{O}$ .  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surfaces over  $\mathbb{Q}$  are the central object of interest in this paper, but some of our results apply to abelian surfaces whose geometric endomorphism ring is a possibly non-maximal order in a non-split quaternion algebra. We call such surfaces simply PQM surfaces.

We emphasize that this is a restrictive definition of 'PQM': we require that  $\text{End}(A_{\bar{F}})$  does not merely contain such a quaternion order, but is equal to it. In particular, under our terminology, a PQM surface *A* is geometrically simple.

Concerning actions: we will view Galois actions as *right* actions. We will view End(A) as acting on *A* on the *left*. If a group *G* acts on a set *X* on the right, we write  $X^G$  for the set of *G*-fixed points.

## 2. Quaternionic arithmetic

This section collects some algebraic calculations in the quaternion order O. It can be safely skipped on a first pass; the reader can return back to it when these calculations are used.

## 2.1. The normalizer of a maximal order

We recall the following characterization of the normalizer  $N_{B^{\times}}(\mathcal{O})$  of  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $B^{\times}$  (with respect to the conjugation action).

**Lemma 2.1.** An element of  $B^{\times}/\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$  lies in  $N_{B^{\times}}(\mathcal{O})/\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$  if and only if it can be represented by an element of  $\mathcal{O}$  of reduced norm dividing disc(B).

*Proof.* An element  $b \in B^{\times}$  lies in  $N_{B^{\times}}(\mathcal{O})$  if and only if it lies in the local normalizer  $N_{(B \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p)^{\times}}(\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$  for all primes p. If p does not divide disc(B), then this normalizer group equals  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}(\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^{\times}$  [Voi21, (23.2.4)]. If p divides disc(B), this group equals  $(B \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p)^{\times}$  ((23.2.8) in op. cit.). If  $b \in \mathcal{O}$  has norm dividing disc(B), then this description shows that b lies in all local normalizer groups. Conversely, if  $b \in B^{\times}$  normalizes  $\mathcal{O}$ , then this description shows that there exists a finite adele  $(\lambda_p)_p$  such that  $\lambda_p b \in (\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)^{\times}$  for all  $p \nmid \text{disc}(B)$  and such that  $\text{nrd}(\lambda_p b)$  has p-adic valuation  $\leq 1$  for all  $p \mid \text{disc}(B)$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  has class number one, there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$  such that  $\lambda \lambda_p^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$  for all p and so  $\lambda b \in \mathcal{O}$  has norm dividing disc(B), as desired.

We recall for future reference that the quotient of  $N_{B^{\times}}(\mathcal{O})/\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$  by the subgroup  $\mathcal{O}^{\times}/\{\pm 1\}$  is by definition the Atkin–Lehner group W of  $\mathcal{O}$ , an elementary abelian 2-group whose elements can be identified with positive divisors of disc(B).

# **2.2.** Dihedral actions on $\mathcal{O}$

For reasons that will become clear in §3.2, we are interested in subgroups  $G \subset Aut(\mathcal{O})$  isomorphic to  $D_n$  for some  $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$ . In this section, we describe these subgroups very explicitly.

By the Skolem–Noether theorem, every ring automorphism of  $\mathcal{O}$  is of the form  $x \mapsto b^{-1}xb$  for some  $b \in B^{\times}$  normalising  $\mathcal{O}$ , and b is uniquely determined up to  $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ -multiples. Therefore, Aut $(\mathcal{O}) \simeq N_{B^{\times}}(\mathcal{O})/\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ .

If  $b \in B^{\times}$ , write [b] for its class in  $B^{\times}/\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Every element of  $N_{B^{\times}}(\mathcal{O})/\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$  of order 2 is represented by an element  $b \in \mathcal{O}$  such that  $b^2 = m \neq 1$  is an integer dividing disc(B). Moreover,  $\mathcal{O}^{\langle b \rangle} = \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid b^{-1}xb = x\}$  is isomorphic to an order in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$  containing  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{m}]$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1, we may choose a representative  $b \in N_{B^{\times}}(\mathcal{O})$  lying in  $\mathcal{O}$  whose norm  $\operatorname{nrd}(b)$  divides disc(*B*). Since the element has order 2,  $m := b^2 = -\operatorname{nrd}(b)$  is an integer. We have  $m \neq 1$  since otherwise  $b^2 = 1$ ; hence,  $b = \pm 1 \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ , which is trivial in  $N_{B^{\times}}(\mathcal{O})/\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ . This implies  $\mathcal{O}^{\langle b \rangle} = \{x \in B \mid b^{-1}xb = x\}$  is an order in  $B^{\langle b \rangle} = \mathbb{Q}(b)$  containing  $\mathbb{Z}[b] \simeq \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{m}]$ , as claimed.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $G 
ightharpow N_{B^{\times}}(\mathcal{O})/\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$  be a subgroup isomorphic to  $D_2 = C_2 \times C_2$ . Then there exist elements  $i, j, k \in \mathcal{O}$  such that B has basis  $\{1, i, j, k\}$ , such that  $i^2 = m$ ,  $j^2 = n$  and  $k^2 = t$  all divide disc(B), such that ij = -ji and  $ij \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}k$ , and such that  $G = \{1, [i], [j], [k]\}$ . Moreover, t equals -mn up to squares.

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.2, we can pick representatives  $i, j, k \in \mathcal{O}$  of the nontrivial elements of G that each square to an integer dividing disc(B). Since G is commutative,  $ji = \lambda ij$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ . Comparing norms shows that  $\lambda = \pm 1$ . If  $\lambda = 1$ , then ij = ji, but this would imply that B is commutative: a contradiction. Therefore, ij = -ji. Finally, since  $[i][j] = [k], k \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}ij$ . Taking norms, we see that t equals -mn up to squares.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $G 
ightharpow N_{B^{\times}}(\mathcal{O})/\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$  be a subgroup isomorphic to  $D_4$ . Then there exists elements i, j 
ightharpow G such that B has basis  $\{1, i, j, ij\}$ , such that  $i^2 = -1$ ,  $j^2 = m$  divides disc(B) and ij = -ji, and such that  $G = \langle [1+i], [j] \rangle$ . Moreover,  $2 \mid \text{disc}(B)$ .

*Proof.* The fact that such  $i, j \in B$  exist follows from [Voi21, §32.5 and §32.6] (itself based on results of [CF00]). By  $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ -scaling j, we may assume that  $j^2 = m$  is a squarefree integer. Since  $1 + i, j \in N_{B^{\times}}(\mathcal{O})$ , Lemma 2.1 shows that  $i, j \in \mathcal{O}$  and  $m \mid \text{disc}(B)$  and  $\text{nrd}(1 + i) = 2 \mid \text{disc}(B)$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $G 
ightharpown N_{B^{\times}}(\mathcal{O})/\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$  be a subgroup isomorphic to  $D_3$  or  $D_6$ . Then there exist elements  $\omega, j \in \mathcal{O}$  such that B has basis  $\{1, \omega, j, \omega j\}$ , such that  $\omega^3 = 1$ ,  $j^2 = m \mid \operatorname{disc}(B)$  and  $\omega j = j\overline{\omega} = j(-1-\omega)$ , and such that  $G = \langle [1+\omega], [j] \rangle$  if  $G \simeq D_3$  and  $G = \langle [1-\omega], [j] \rangle$  if  $G \simeq D_6$ . Moreover, if  $G \simeq D_6$ , then  $3 \mid \operatorname{disc}(B)$ .

*Proof.* Identical to that of Lemma 2.4, again using [Voi21, §32.5 and §32.6] and Lemma 2.1.

# 2.3. Fixed point subgroups modulo N

For reasons similar to those of §2.2, we study the fixed points of *G*-actions on  $\mathcal{O}/N\mathcal{O}$  for subgroups  $G \subset \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{O})$  isomorphic to  $D_n$  for some  $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$  and integers  $N \ge 1$  of interest.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let G be a subgroup of Aut( $\mathcal{O}$ ) isomorphic to  $D_n$  for some  $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$ .

(a) Suppose that N is coprime to 2 and 3. Then  $(\mathcal{O}/N\mathcal{O})^G$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$  if  $G = D_1$  and isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  if  $G = D_2, D_3, D_4$  or  $D_6$ .

- (b) The group (O/3O)<sup>G</sup> is isomorphic to (Z/3Z)<sup>2</sup> if G = D<sub>1</sub>; isomorphic to Z/3Z if G = D<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>4</sub>, D<sub>6</sub>; and isomorphic to Z/3Z or (Z/3Z)<sup>2</sup> if G = D<sub>3</sub>.
- (c) We have

$$(\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O})^G \simeq \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2, (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3 \text{ or } (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4 & \text{ if } G = D_1, \\ (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2 \text{ or } (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3 & \text{ if } G = D_2, \\ (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2 & \text{ if } G = D_4, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{ if } G = D_3 \text{ or } D_6. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The reduction map  $r_N : \mathcal{O}^G \otimes \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathcal{O}/N\mathcal{O})^G$  is injective, and its cokernel is isomorphic to the *N*-torsion of the group cohomology  $H^1(G, \mathcal{O})$ . Indeed, this can be seen by taking *G*-fixed points of the exact sequence  $0 \to \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}/N \to 0$ . The group  $\mathcal{O}^G$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  if  $G = D_1$  and to  $\mathbb{Z}$  if  $G = D_2, D_3, D_4$  or  $D_6$ . Since the finite abelian group  $H^1(G, \mathcal{O})$  is killed by the order of *G*, Part (a) immediately follows. To prove (b) and (c), it therefore suffices to prove that  $H^1(G, \mathcal{O})[6]$  is a subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$  if  $G = D_1$ ; isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  or  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$  if  $G = D_2$ ; a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  if  $G = D_3$ ; isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  if  $G = D_4$ ; and trivial if  $G = D_6$ . Since  $H^1(G, \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq H^1(G, \mathcal{O}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$  for all primes *p* and since Aut $(\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$  has only finitely many subgroups isomorphic to *G* up to conjugacy, this is in principle a finite computation; we give a more detailed proof below.

<u>Case  $G = D_1$ .</u> Since  $G = D_1 = C_2$  has order 2,  $H^1(G, \mathcal{O})$  is 2-torsion and is isomorphic to the cokernel of  $r_2: \mathcal{O}^G \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to (\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O})^G$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $\mathcal{O}^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$ , and so this cokernel is either  $0, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  or  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ . It follows that  $H^1(G, \mathcal{O}) \simeq 0, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  or  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ .

<u>Case  $G = D_2$ .</u> By Lemma 2.3, there exist  $i, j, k \in \mathcal{O}$  such that  $i^2 = m, j^2 = n$  and  $k^2 = t$  are all integers dividing disc(*B*), such that ij = -ji and  $k \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}ij$  and such that  $G = \{1, [i], [j], [k]\}$ . Let  $S_i = \mathcal{O} \cap \mathbb{Q}(i), S_j = \mathcal{O} \cap \mathbb{Q}(j), S_k = \mathcal{O} \cap \mathbb{Q}(k)$ . Then  $S_i$  is an order in  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  containing  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , and similarly for  $S_j$  and  $S_k$ . Since -mn equals t up to squares, upon reordering  $\{i, j, k\}$ , we may assume that  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is maximal at 2. Therefore,  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{m}] \otimes (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = S_i \otimes (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \subset (\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O})$  is a subring on which G acts trivially. It follows that  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2 \subset (\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O})^G$ . We will now show that G acts nontrivially on  $(\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O})$ , so assume by contradiction that this action is trivial. By the classification of involutions on finite free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules, every such involution is a direct sum of involutions of the form  $x \mapsto x, x \mapsto -x$  and  $(x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$ . If  $G = \langle [i], [j] \rangle$  acts trivially on  $\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$ , then both  $[i], [j] \in Aut(\mathcal{O})$  are direct sums of involutions of the first two kinds. It follows that  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}1 \oplus \mathbb{Z} i \oplus \mathbb{Z} j \oplus \mathbb{Z} k$ . This implies that the discriminant of  $\mathcal{O}$  is  $\pm 4u$ , contradicting the fact that  $\mathcal{O}$  is maximal at 2. We conclude that  $(\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O})^G$  is  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$  or  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$  and since G is coprime to 3, this proves that  $H^1(G, \mathcal{O})[6]$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  or  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ .

<u>Case  $G = D_4$ .</u> Let  $i, j \in \mathcal{O}$  be elements satisfying the conclusion of Lemma 2.4, so  $G = \langle [1+i], [j] \rangle$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is maximal at 2, the map  $\mathbb{Z}[i] \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$  is injective. Since G acts trivially on the image of this map,  $(\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O})^G$  contains  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ . We need to show that  $(\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O})^G = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ . To prove this, it is enough to show that  $(\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O})^{\langle 1+i \rangle} = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}$  is ramified at 2, there exists a unique conjugacy class of embeddings  $\mathbb{Z}_2[i] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$  [Voi21, Proposition 30.5.3]. Therefore, it is enough to verify that  $(\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O})^{\langle 1+i \rangle} = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$  in a single example, for which this can be checked explicitly. Indeed, one may take  $B = \left(\frac{-1,6}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ , which has maximal order with  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis  $\{1, (1 + i + ij)/2, (1 - i + ij)/2, (j + ij)/2\}$ . Since #G is coprime to 3, we conclude that  $H^1(G, \mathcal{O})[6] = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

<u>Case:  $G = D_3$ ,  $D_6$ .</u> Let  $\omega$ ,  $j \in O$  be elements satisfying the conclusion of Lemma 2.5. Let  $C_n \leq D_n$  be the cyclic normal subgroup of order n for  $n \in \{3, 6\}$ . The low terms of the Lyndon–Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence give rise to the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(C_2, \mathcal{O}^{C_n}) \to H^1(G, \mathcal{O}) \to H^1(C_n, \mathcal{O})^{C_2} \to H^2(C_2, \mathcal{O}^{C_n}).$$
(2.1)

The subring  $\mathcal{O}^{C_n}$  equals  $\mathcal{O}^{\langle 1\pm\omega\rangle} = \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ , and  $C_2 = D_n/C_n$  acts on  $\mathcal{O}^{C_n}$  via conjugation  $\omega \mapsto \bar{\omega}$ . A cyclic group cohomology calculation shows that  $H^i(C_2, \mathbb{Z}[\omega])$  is trivial for all  $i \geq 1$ . Therefore,  $H^1(G, \mathcal{O}) \simeq H^1(C_n, \mathcal{O})^{C_2}$ . Assume  $G = D_6$ . Using the analogous exact sequence to (2.1) for the subgroup  $C_3 \leq C_6$ , we get  $H^1(C_6, \mathcal{O}) \simeq H^1(C_3, \mathcal{O})^{C_2}$ . Since  $C_2$  acts trivially on  $C_3 = \{1, g, g^2\}$  and acts as -1 on  $\{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid x+gx+g^2x=0\}$ , it will act as -1 on  $H^1(C_3, \mathcal{O}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^r$ , so  $H^1(C_3, \mathcal{O})^{C_2} = 0$  and so  $H^1(G, \mathcal{O}) \simeq H^1(C_6, \mathcal{O})^{C_2} \subset H^1(C_6, \mathcal{O}) \simeq H^1(C_3, \mathcal{O})^{C_2}$  is zero too in this case. It remains to consider the case  $G = D_3$ . Then  $H^1(G, \mathcal{O}) \simeq H^1(C_3, \mathcal{O})^{C_2}$ . Let  $g \in C_3$  be a generator, given by conjugating by  $1 + \omega$ . Let  $L = \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid x+gx+g^2x=0\}$ . Using the basis  $\{1, \omega, j, \omega\}$  of B, we see that  $L = \mathcal{O} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\omega) \cdot j$ . Using the explicit description of group cohomology of cyclic groups,  $H^1(C_3, \mathcal{O})$  is isomorphic to  $L/(1-g)\mathcal{O}$ . Since  $(1-g)\mathcal{O}$  contains  $(1-g)L = (1-\omega)L$ ,  $H^1(C_3, \mathcal{O})$  is a quotient of  $L/(1-\omega)L$ . Since  $(1-\omega)^2L = 3L$  and  $L/3L \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$ ,  $L/(1-\omega)L$  is of order 3. This shows that  $H^1(C_3, \mathcal{O}) = 0$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ , so  $H^1(D_3, \mathcal{O}) = 0$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ , as claimed.

**Remark 2.7.** All the possibilities of Theorem 2.6 do occur: whenever the group *G* and the integer *N* do not uniquely determine  $(\mathcal{O}/N\mathcal{O})^G$ , we give an example for each possibility.

- Case  $(G, N) = (D_1, 2)$ . The action of  $G = \langle [i] \rangle$  on a maximal order  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\left(\frac{m, n}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ , for (m, n) = (10, 3), (-1, 3), (5, 7) has  $(\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O})^G \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2, (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3, (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$ , respectively.
- Case  $(G, N) = (D_2, 2)$ . The action of  $G = \langle [i], [j] \rangle$  on a maximal order  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\left(\frac{m, n}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ , for (m, n) = (5, 7), (-1, 3) has  $(\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O})^G \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2, (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ , respectively.
- Case  $(G, N) = (D_3, 3)$ . The action of  $G = \langle [1 + \omega], [j] \rangle$  on a maximal order  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\left(\frac{m, n}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ , for (m, n) = (-3, 2), (-3, 15) has  $(\mathcal{O}/3\mathcal{O})^G \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}), (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$ , respectively.

Here we use the standard forms of dihedral action on  $\mathcal{O}$  from §2.2. See our associated GitHub repository<sup>1</sup> for a (short) Magma script that verifies these calculations.

The next three lemmas give some more precise information about subgroups  $G \subset \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{O})$  for which  $(\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O})^G \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ . In these lemmas, we will use the fact that if  $2 \mid \operatorname{disc}(B)$ , there exists a unique ring homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{F}_4$ ; see [Voi21, Theorem 13.3.11].

**Lemma 2.8.** Let  $b \in \mathcal{O} \cap N_{B^{\times}}(\mathcal{O})$  be an element with  $b^2 = m \mid \text{disc}(B)$  and  $m \neq 1$ . Write  $F \subset \mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$  for the subset centralized by the reduction of b in  $\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$ . Then  $F \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$  if and only if  $2 \mid \text{disc}(B)$  and  $m \equiv 3 \mod 4$ . In that case, F equals the subset of elements of  $\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$  whose image under the ring homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{F}_4$  lands in  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $F \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ . We first show that 2 | disc(*B*). If not, then *m* is odd by Lemma 2.2,  $\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O} \simeq \operatorname{Mat}_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$  and *F* is the fixed points of conjugating by an element of order dividing 2 in GL<sub>2</sub>( $\mathbb{F}_2$ ). Since there is only one involution in GL<sub>2</sub>( $\mathbb{F}_2$ ) up to conjugacy, which we may calculate has centralizer  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ , this shows that 2 | disc(*B*). We now show that 2 ∤ *m*. If 2 | *m*, then since *m* is squarefree, *b* is a 2-adic uniformizer of  $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Then there exists an unramified quadratic subring  $S \subset \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2\left[\frac{-1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right]$  such that  $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 = S + S \cdot b$  [Voi21, Theorem 13.3.11]. This shows that conjugation by *b* acts via  $x + yb \mapsto \bar{x} + \bar{y}b$ . This map has 4 fixed points; hence, we obtain a contradiction and *m* is odd. It follows that *F* is given by the fixed points of conjugating by an element of  $(\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O})^{\times}$ . This element is trivial if and only if  $b \in 1+2\mathcal{O}$ . Since  $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2$  consists of all integral elements of  $B \otimes \mathbb{Q}_2$  [Voi21, Proposition 13.3.4] and since  $b \in \mathcal{O}$ , this is equivalent to (b - 1)/2 being integral at 2, that is to say to  $m \equiv 1 \mod 4$ . This proves the forward direction of the lemma. For the other direction, note that  $(\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O})^{\times}$  (where  $\mathcal{O}$  is ramified at 2) has a unique involution up to conjugacy, which can be checked to have  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$  fixed points in the presentation (6.1). □

**Lemma 2.9.** Let  $b \in \mathcal{O} \cap N_{B^{\times}}(\mathcal{O})$  be an element with  $b^2 = m \mid \text{disc}(B)$  and  $m \neq 1$ . Suppose that the conjugation action of b on  $\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$  has fixed points  $\simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ . Then there exists no  $x \in \mathcal{O}/4\mathcal{O}$  with  $x \equiv 1 \mod 2\mathcal{O}$  and  $b^{-1}xbx = -1$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://github.com/ciaran-schembri/QM-Mazur

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x \in \mathcal{O}/4\mathcal{O}$  is such an element. Let y = bx. Since  $mb^{-1} = b$ , multiplying the equation  $b^{-1}xbx = -1$  by *m* shows that  $y^2 = -m$  in  $\mathcal{O}/4\mathcal{O}$ . By Lemma 2.8, 2 | disc(*B*) and  $m \equiv 3 \mod 4$ , so  $y^2 = 1$  in  $\mathcal{O}/4\mathcal{O}$ . Since  $x \equiv 1 \mod 2\mathcal{O}$ ,  $y = bx \equiv b \mod 2\mathcal{O}$ . We may therefore write y = b + 2z for some  $z \in \mathcal{O}/4\mathcal{O}$ . We compute, in  $\mathcal{O}/4\mathcal{O}$ , that

$$y^{2} = (b+2z)(b+2z) = b^{2} + 2(bz+zb) + 4z^{2} = m + 2(bz+zb) = 3 + 2(bz+zb).$$

Since  $y^2 = 1$ , this shows that 2(bz + zb) = 2. Write  $\overline{b}$  and  $\overline{z}$  for the mod 2 reductions of b and z. Then the above identity implies that

$$\bar{b}\bar{z} + \bar{z}\bar{b} = 1. \tag{2.2}$$

Since 2 is ramified in *B* and  $\mathcal{O}$  is maximal, there exists a surjective ring homomorphism  $\lambda : \mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{F}_4$ . Applying  $\lambda$  to (2.2) shows that  $\lambda(\bar{b})\lambda(\bar{z}) + \lambda(\bar{z})\lambda(\bar{b}) = \lambda(1) = 1$ . Since  $\mathbb{F}_4$  is commutative, the left-hand side of this equation also equals  $2\lambda(\bar{b})\lambda(\bar{z}) = 0$ , which is a contradiction.

Recall from Lemma 2.3 that a subgroup  $G \leq N_{B^{\times}}(\mathcal{O})$  isomorphic to  $C_2 \times C_2$  can be generated by elements  $i, j \in \mathcal{O}$  with ij = -ji,  $i^2 = m$ ,  $j^2 = n$  and  $m, n \mid \text{disc}(B)$ .

**Lemma 2.10.** Let  $G \subset N_{B^{\times}}(\mathcal{O})$  be a subgroup isomorphic to  $C_2 \times C_2$ . Then  $(\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O})^G \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$  if and only if (in the above notation)  $2 \mid \text{disc}(B)$  and  $m, n \equiv 3 \mod 4$ .

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $(\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O})^G \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ . Then the conjugation involutions [*i*] and [*j*] have both 2<sup>3</sup> or 2<sup>4</sup> fixed points on  $\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$ . At least one of them, say *j*, has 2<sup>3</sup> fixed points. By Lemma 2.8, 2 | disc(*B*) and  $n \equiv 3 \mod 4$ . If 2 | *m*, then *i* is a 2-adic uniformizer and the action of *i* on  $\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$  would have 2<sup>2</sup> fixed points (by an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.8). So *m* is odd. If  $m \equiv 1 \mod 4$ , then the 2-adic Hilbert symbol of (m, n) is trivial, contradicting the fact that 2 | disc(*B*) and  $B \simeq \left(\frac{m, n}{Q}\right)$ . We conclude that  $m \equiv 3 \mod 4$ . The converse follows from Lemma 2.8.

## 3. Galois actions, polarizations and endomorphisms

This section collects some preliminaries concerning the arithmetic of PQM surfaces. In particular, we study the Galois action on the endomorphism algebra, the set of polarizations, the torsion points and the interaction between these. The most important subsection is §3.2, where the endomorphism field of a PQM surface is introduced.

# 3.1. Abelian surfaces of GL<sub>2</sub>-type

Recall that an abelian surface A over a number field F is said to be of  $GL_2$ -type if  $End^0(A)$  is a quadratic field extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We will show that if A is geometrically simple and F admits a real place, then this field must be real quadratic. (The geometrically simple hypothesis is necessary; for example, the simple modular abelian surface  $J_1(13)$  satisfies  $End^0(J_1(13)) \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ .) This is well known over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (see [Rot08, Lemma 2.3]), which suffices for our purposes – but we also give an argument that works over any field contained in  $\mathbb{R}$  that might be of independent interest. (We thank Davide Lombardo for suggesting it.)

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $A/\mathbb{R}$  be an abelian surface. Then  $\operatorname{rk} \operatorname{NS}(A) \ge \operatorname{rk} \operatorname{NS}(A_{\mathbb{C}}) - 1$ .

*Proof.* There exists a two-dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space W, a lattice  $\Lambda \subset W_{\mathbb{C}} := W \otimes \mathbb{C}$  stable under the automorphism  $\sigma$  induced by complex conjugation on the second factor, and a complex analytic isomorphism  $A(\mathbb{C}) \simeq (W_{\mathbb{C}})/\Lambda$  that intertwines complex conjugation on  $A(\mathbb{C})$  with  $\sigma$ . Under this isomorphism,  $NS(A_{\mathbb{C}})$  can be identified with the set of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear alternating forms  $E : \Lambda \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{Z}$ with the property that the  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear extension  $E_{\mathbb{R}}$  of E to  $W_{\mathbb{C}}$  satisfies  $E_{\mathbb{R}}(iv, iw) = E_{\mathbb{R}}(v, w)$  for all  $v, w \in \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R} = W_{\mathbb{C}}$ . By [Sil89, Chapter IV, Theorem (3.4)], such an E lies in NS(A) if and only if the associated Hermitian form  $E_{\mathbb{R}}(iv, w) + iE_{\mathbb{R}}(v, w)$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued on  $W \times W$ , that is to say  $E_{\mathbb{R}}(W, W) = 0$ . Since the intersection  $\Lambda' = \Lambda \cap W$  is a lattice in W, the condition  $E_{\mathbb{R}}(W, W) = 0$  is equivalent to  $E(\Lambda', \Lambda') = 0$ . In conclusion,  $NS(A) = \ker(NS(A_{\mathbb{C}}) \to \operatorname{Hom}(\wedge^2(\Lambda'), \mathbb{Z}))$ , where the map sends E to its restriction to  $\Lambda' \times \Lambda'$ . Since the target of this map is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the lemma is proved.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $A/\mathbb{R}$  be a geometrically simple abelian surface. Then End(A) is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$  or an order in a real quadratic field.

*Proof.* By the classification of endomorphism algebras of simple complex abelian surfaces [BL04, Proposition 5.5.7, Exercise 9.10(1) and Exercise 9.10(4)], End<sup>0</sup>( $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ ) is isomorphic to either  $\mathbb{Q}$ , a real quadratic field, a non-split indefinite quaternion algebra or a quartic CM field. The proposition is clear in the first two cases, so we may assume that we are in one of the latter two cases.

Since  $\operatorname{End}^0(A)$  acts on the Q-homology of  $A(\mathbb{R})^\circ \simeq S^1 \times S^1$ , there is a (nonzero, hence injective) map  $\operatorname{End}^0(A) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ . Since  $\operatorname{End}^0(A_{\mathbb{C}})$  does not embed in  $\operatorname{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ ,  $\operatorname{End}^0(A) \neq \operatorname{End}^0(A_{\mathbb{C}})$  and so  $\operatorname{End}^0(A)$  is at most two-dimensional. It remains to exclude that  $\operatorname{End}^0(A)$  is an imaginary quadratic field, so assume for contradiction that this is the case. If  $\operatorname{End}^0(A_{\mathbb{C}})$  is a quaternion algebra, Lemma 3.1 shows that  $\operatorname{rk}(\operatorname{NS}(A)) \geq 3 - 1 = 2$ , contradicting the fact that  $\operatorname{End}^0(A)$  is imaginary quadratic [DR04, p. 9]. If  $\operatorname{End}(A_{\mathbb{C}})$  is a quartic CM field *F*, this CM field has at least two quadratic subfields (namely, its unique real quadratic subfield and  $\operatorname{End}^0(A)$ ), so it must be a biquadratic extension of Q. A counting argument then shows that every CM type of *F* is imprimitive. This implies [Lan83, Theorem 3.5] that  $A_{\mathbb{C}}$  is not simple. We again obtain a contradiction and have completed all cases of the proof.

# 3.2. The endomorphism field of a PQM surface

Let *F* be a field of characteristic zero and A/F a PQM surface. The absolute Galois group  $\operatorname{Gal}_F$  acts on  $\operatorname{End}(A_{\bar{F}})$  on the right by ring automorphisms via  $\phi^{\sigma}(a) = \phi(a^{\sigma^{-1}})^{\sigma}$  for  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}_F$ ,  $\phi \in \operatorname{End}(A_{\bar{F}})$  and  $a \in A(\bar{F})$ . The kernel of this action cuts out a Galois extension L/F over which all the endomorphisms of  $A_{\bar{F}}$  are defined. Following [GK17], we call *L* the endomorphism field of *A*. This determines an injective map  $\rho_{\operatorname{End}}$ :  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/F) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{End}(A_{\bar{F}}))$ . We recall the results of [DR04] studying this map which are relevant for our purposes. Write  $C_n$  (resp.  $D_n$ ) for the cyclic (resp. dihedral) group of order *n* (resp. 2n). Note the isomorphisms  $D_1 \simeq C_2$  and  $D_2 \simeq C_2 \times C_2$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** Let A/F be a PQM surface with endomorphism field L and let G = Gal(L/F). Then  $G \simeq C_n$  or  $D_n$  for some  $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$ . If F admits an embedding into  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $G \simeq D_n$  for some  $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$ .

*Proof.* The classification of finite subgroups of  $B^{\times}/\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$  shows that *G* is isomorphic to  $C_n$  or  $D_n$  for some  $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$  [DR04, Proposition 2.1]. It therefore suffices to exclude that *G* is isomorphic to  $C_1, C_3, C_4$  or  $C_6$  if there exists an embedding  $\iota: F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If *G* is isomorphic to one of these groups, then  $\text{End}^0(A)$  is isomorphic to *B* (if *G* is trivial) or an imaginary quadratic field [DR04, Theorem 3.4(C)]. This contradicts Proposition 3.2.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let A be a PQM surface over a number field F admitting a real place. Then A is of  $GL_2$ -type if and only if the endomorphism field L/F is a quadratic extension.

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.2, *A* is of GL<sub>2</sub>-type if and only if  $End(A) \neq \mathbb{Z}$ . By [DR04, Theorem 3.4(C)],  $End(A) \neq \mathbb{Z}$  if and only if *L* is a cyclic extension of *F*. By Proposition 3.3, *L/F* is cyclic if and only if it is a quadratic extension.

Assume now that A is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface and fix an isomorphism  $\operatorname{End}(A_{\overline{F}}) \simeq \mathcal{O}$ . By the Skolem– Noether theorem, every ring automorphism of  $\mathcal{O}$  is of the form  $x \mapsto b^{-1}xb$  for some  $b \in B^{\times}$ 

normalising  $\mathcal{O}$ , and *b* is uniquely determined up to  $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ -multiples. Therefore, Aut $(\mathcal{O}) \simeq N_{B^{\times}}(\mathcal{O})/\mathbb{Q}^{\times} \subset B^{\times}/\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ ; hence, the map Gal $(L/F) \rightarrow$  Aut $(\text{End}(A_{\bar{F}}))$  can be viewed as an injective homomorphism

$$\rho_{\text{End}} \colon \text{Gal}(L/F) \to \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}) \simeq N_{B^{\times}}(\mathcal{O})/\mathbb{Q}^{\times},$$
(3.1)

whose image is isomorphic to  $C_n$  or  $D_n$  for some  $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$  by Proposition 3.3.

**Remark 3.5.** The existence of a polarization of a certain type puts restrictions on the Galois group of the endomorphism field; see [DR04, Theorem 3.4]. In particular, that theorem shows that if an  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface A is principally polarized over F, then this Galois group is {1},  $C_2$  or  $C_2 \times C_2$ .

For future reference, we record the following result of Silverberg [Sil92, Proposition 2.2].

**Proposition 3.6** (Silverberg). Let  $N \ge 3$  be an integer and suppose that the Gal<sub>F</sub>-action on A[N] is trivial. Then L = F.

We also record the useful fact that the endomorphism field is preserved by quadratic twist.

**Lemma 3.7.** Let A/F be a PQM surface and M/F a quadratic extension. Let  $A^M$  be the quadratic twist of A along M/F. Then under the identification  $\operatorname{End}(A_{\overline{F}}) = \operatorname{End}((A^M)_{\overline{F}})$ ,  $\rho_{\operatorname{End},A} = \rho_{\operatorname{End},A^M}$ .

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that -1 is central in  $\text{End}(A_{\overline{F}})$ .

#### 3.3. Polarizations and positive involutions

Let *A* be an abelian surface over a field *F* of characteristic zero. Recall that a polarization is an ample class *L* in NS(*A*). Such a class gives rise to an isogeny  $\lambda_L : A \to A^{\vee}$ , and we frequently identify *L* with this isogeny. There exists unique positive integers  $d_1 \mid d_2$  such that  $\ker(\lambda_L)(\bar{F}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/d_1)^2 \times (\mathbb{Z}/d_2)^2$ ; the pair  $(d_1, d_2)$  is called the type of the polarization, and the integer deg $(L) = d_1 d_2$  is called its degree. We say two polarizations *L* and *L'* are  $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ -equivalent if there exist nonzero integers *m*, *n* such that mL = nL', and we call a  $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ -equivalence class of polarizations a  $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ -polarization. Every  $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ -polarization contains a unique polarization of type (1, d) for some  $d \ge 1$ , called a primitive polarization.

Recall that a positive involution of *B* is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear involution  $\iota: B \to B$  satisfying  $\iota(ab) = \iota(b)\iota(a)$ and  $\operatorname{trd}(a\iota(a)) \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  for all  $a, b \in B$ . By the Skolem–Noether theorem, every such involution is of the form  $b \mapsto \mu^{-1}\bar{b}\mu$ , where  $\bar{b} = \operatorname{trd}(b) - b$  denotes the canonical involution and  $\mu \in B^{\times}$  is an element with  $\mu^2 \in \mathbb{Q}_{<0}$ . Two such elements  $\mu, \mu' \in B^{\times}$  give rise to the same involution if and only if  $\mu$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ -multiple of  $\mu'$ .

To combine these two notions, suppose that  $\operatorname{End}(A) = \operatorname{End}(A_{\overline{F}}) \simeq \mathcal{O}$ ; let us fix such an isomorphism to identify  $\operatorname{End}(A)$  with  $\mathcal{O}$ . Given a polarization *L* of *A*, the Rosati involution on  $\operatorname{End}^0(A)$ , defined by  $f \mapsto \lambda_L^{-1} \circ f^{\vee} \circ \lambda_L$ , corresponds to a positive involution  $\iota_L$  of *B*.

**Proposition 3.8.** The assignment  $L \mapsto \iota_L$  induces a bijection between the set of  $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ -polarizations of A and the set of positive involutions of B. In addition, if L is a polarization and  $\mu \in B^{\times}$  is an element such that  $\iota_L$  is of the form  $b \mapsto \mu^{-1}\bar{b}\mu$ , then

$$\deg(L) \equiv \operatorname{disc}(B) \cdot \operatorname{nrd}(\mu) \mod \mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}.$$
(3.2)

*Proof.* This can be deduced from [DR04, Theorem 3.1] but can also be proved purely algebraically as follows. Choose an element  $v \in O$  with  $v^2 = -\text{disc}(B)$ . Then it is well known [Voi21, Lemma 43.6.23] that *A* has a unique principal polarization *M* such that  $\iota_M(b) = v^{-1}\bar{b}v$  for all  $b \in B$ . To determine all polarizations of *A*, consider the maps

$$(\mathrm{NS}(A)\otimes\mathbb{Q})\setminus\{0\}\xrightarrow{\alpha}\{x\in B^{\times}\mid \nu^{-1}\bar{x}\nu=x\}\xrightarrow{\beta}\{\mu\in B^{\times}\mid \bar{\mu}=-\mu\},\$$

where  $\alpha(L) = \lambda_M^{-1} \circ \lambda_L$  and  $\beta(x) = \nu x$ . Since  $L \mapsto \lambda_L$  induces a bijection NS(A)  $\otimes \mathbb{Q} \to \{f \in Hom(A, A^{\vee}) \mid f^{\vee} = f\}$ ,  $\alpha$  is a bijection. Moreover,  $\beta$  is a bijection by a direct computation. In addition,

one can also compute that the Rosati involution associated to a Neron–Severi class *L* is given by conjugation by  $\beta(\alpha(L))$ . Both  $(NS(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\{\mu \in B^{\times} \mid \overline{\mu} = -\mu\}$  have evident  $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ -actions, and their quotients are given by the set of  $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ -polarizations and the set of positive involutions on *B*, respectively. Combining these observations shows that  $L \mapsto \iota_L$  is indeed a bijection between the set of  $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ -polarizations and the set of positive involutions. To check (3.2), we compute that for  $\alpha(L) = x$  and  $\mu = vx$ : deg $(L) = \operatorname{nrd}(x) = \operatorname{nrd}(\mu)/\operatorname{nrd}(v) \equiv \operatorname{disc}(B) \cdot \operatorname{nrd}(\mu) \mod \mathbb{Q}^{\times 2}$ .

**Remark 3.9.** If we want to avoid choosing an isomorphism  $\text{End}(A) \simeq \mathcal{O}$ , we may rephrase Proposition 3.8 as saying that there is a bijection between  $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ -polarizations on A and positive involutions on the quaternion algebra  $\text{End}^0(A)$ .

Now suppose that A/F is an abelian surface with  $\operatorname{End}(A_{\overline{F}}) \simeq \mathcal{O}$ . Recall from §3.2 that  $\operatorname{Gal}_F$  acts on  $\operatorname{End}(A_{\overline{F}})$  by ring automorphisms. If *L* is a polarization on  $A_{\overline{F}}$ , the Rosati involution associated to *L* is of the form  $b \mapsto \mu^{-1}b\mu$  for some  $\mu \in \operatorname{End}^0(A_{\overline{F}})$ , uniquely determined up to  $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ -multiple. Therefore, the imaginary quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\mu) \subset \operatorname{End}^0(A_{\overline{F}})$  is independent of the choice of  $\mu$ .

**Corollary 3.10.** The map  $L \mapsto \mathbb{Q}(\mu)$  constructed above induces a bijection between  $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ -polarizations of  $A_{\bar{F}}$  and imaginary quadratic fields contained in  $\operatorname{End}^{0}(A_{\bar{F}})$ . A polarization descends to A if and only if the imaginary quadratic field is  $\operatorname{Gal}_{F}$ -normalized.

*Proof.* The bijection part immediately follows from Proposition 3.8, together with the fact that the set of positive involutions on  $\text{End}^0(A_{\bar{F}})$  is in bijection with the set of imaginary quadratic subfields of  $\text{End}^0(A_{\bar{F}})$ .

Since taking the Rosati involution is  $Gal_F$ -equivariant, this bijection preserves the Galois action on both sides. This induces a bijection on the  $Gal_F$ -fixed points on both sides, justifying the last sentence of the corollary.

# 3.4. The distinguished quadratic subring

If  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface of GL<sub>2</sub>-type, then the torsion groups A[n] are modules over S/nS, where S is the real quadratic ring End(A). If A is not of GL<sub>2</sub>-type, then End(A) =  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and so it may seem that there is no structure to exploit. However, we have seen in Corollary 3.10 that any polarization of A determines a Gal<sub>Q</sub>-stable imaginary quadratic subring  $S \subset \text{End}(A_{\overline{Q}})$ .

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface. If A is of  $GL_2$ -type, let  $M = End^0(A)$ . Otherwise, let  $M \subset End^0(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  be the imaginary quadratic field corresponding to the unique primitive polarization on A via Corollary 3.10. We call  $M \subset End^0(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  the distinguished quadratic subfield and  $S = M \cap End(A_{\overline$ 

The next proposition describes the distinguished quadratic subring more explicitly.

**Proposition 3.11.** Let  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface and let S be its distinguished quadratic subring, seen as a subring of  $\mathcal{O}$  using an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O} \simeq \operatorname{End}(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$ . Let G be the Galois group of the endomorphism field of A (as in §3.2).

- (a) S is isomorphic to an order of Q(√m) containing Z[√m] for some m ∈ Z≥2 dividing disc(B) if G = C<sub>2</sub>; to an order of Q(√-m) containing Z[√-m] for some m ∈ Z≥2 dividing disc(B) if G = D<sub>2</sub>; to Z[i] with i<sup>2</sup> = −1 if G = D<sub>4</sub>; and to Z[ω] with ω<sup>3</sup> = 1 if G = D<sub>3</sub> or D<sub>6</sub>.
- (b) *S* is an order in a quadratic field, maximal away from 2 and unramified away from 6disc(B).

*Proof.* The description of *S* in the  $C_2$  case follows from Lemma 2.2. If  $G \neq C_2$  (in other words, if *A* is not of GL<sub>2</sub>-type by Lemma 3.4), then Corollary 3.10 shows that *S* is the unique imaginary quadratic subring of End $(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  that is Gal<sub>Q</sub>-stable and that is optimally embedded (i.e.,  $(S \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathcal{O} = S$ ). So to prove (a), it suffices to find a subring of  $\mathcal{O}$  satisfying the stated conditions. This follows from the explicit description of the *G*-action given in §2.2. Part (b) immediately follows from the first part.

## 3.5. The enhanced Galois representation

Let A be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface over a field F of characteristic zero and fix an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O} \simeq \text{End}(A_{\bar{F}})$ so that  $\mathcal{O}$  acts on  $A_{\bar{F}}$  on the left. In §3.2, we have described how  $\text{Gal}_F$  acts on the endomorphism ring  $\mathcal{O}$ ; this action is encoded by the homomorphism  $\rho_{\text{End}}$ :  $\text{Gal}_F \to \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O})$  of Equation 3.1. However,  $\text{Gal}_F$ acts on the torsion points of  $A_{\bar{F}}$ . In this section, we formalize the interaction of these two  $\text{Gal}_F$ -actions using a homomorphism that we call the enhanced Galois representation. This basic definition might be of independent interest and will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.4, more specifically to exclude  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$  in the GL<sub>2</sub>-type case in Proposition 5.16.

Let  $I \subset \mathcal{O}$  be a  $\operatorname{Gal}_F$ -stable two-sided ideal – for example,  $I = N \cdot \mathcal{O}$  for some integer  $N \ge 1$ . The subgroup  $A[I](\bar{F}) \subset A(\bar{F})$  of points killed by I is a  $\operatorname{Gal}_F$ -module. Let  $\operatorname{GL}(A[I])$  be the group of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module automorphisms of  $A[I](\bar{F})$ , seen as acting on  $A[I](\bar{F})$  on the right. The  $\operatorname{Gal}_F$ -action on A[I] is encoded in a homomorphism  $\rho_I : \operatorname{Gal}_F \to \operatorname{GL}(A[I])$ . The left  $\mathcal{O}$ -action on  $A_{\bar{F}}$  induces an  $\mathcal{O}/I$ -action on  $A[I](\bar{F})$  such that

$$(a \cdot P)^{\sigma} = a^{\sigma} \cdot P^{\sigma} \tag{3.4}$$

for all  $P \in A[I](\bar{F})$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{O}$  and  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}_F$ . Let  $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(A[I])$  be the subgroup of pairs  $(\gamma, \varphi) \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{O}) \times \operatorname{GL}(A[I])$  such that  $(a \cdot P)^{\varphi} = a^{\gamma} \cdot P^{\varphi}$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{O}$  and  $P \in A[I](\bar{F})$ . The compatibility (3.4) implies that the product homomorphism  $\rho_{\operatorname{End}} \times \rho_I : \operatorname{Gal}_F \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{O}) \times \operatorname{GL}(A[I])$  lands in  $\operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(A[I])$ , so we obtain a homomorphism

$$\rho_I^{\circ} \colon \operatorname{Gal}_F \to \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(A[I]). \tag{3.5}$$

We now identity Aut<sup>°</sup>(A[I]) with an explicit semidirect product. Consider the group Aut( $\mathcal{O}$ )  $\ltimes (\mathcal{O}/I)^{\times}$ , where Aut( $\mathcal{O}$ ) acts on  $(\mathcal{O}/I)^{\times}$  via restricting the standard right Aut( $\mathcal{O}$ )-action on  $\mathcal{O}/I$  to  $(\mathcal{O}/I)^{\times}$ . Multiplication in this group is given by  $(\gamma_1, x_1) \cdot (\gamma_2, x_2) = (\gamma_1 \gamma_2, x_1^{\gamma_2} x_2)$ . The  $\mathcal{O}/I$ -module  $A[I](\bar{F})$  is free of rank 1 [Oht74]. Let  $Q \in A[I](\bar{F})$  be an  $\mathcal{O}/I$ -module generator. For every  $(\gamma, x) \in Aut(\mathcal{O}) \ltimes (\mathcal{O}/I)^{\times}$ , let  $\varphi_{(\gamma, x)}$  be the element of GL(A[I]) sending  $a \cdot Q$  to  $a^{\gamma}x \cdot Q$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{O}/I$ .

**Lemma 3.12.** The map  $(\gamma, x) \mapsto (\gamma, \varphi_{(\gamma, x)})$  induces an isomorphism  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{O}) \ltimes (\mathcal{O}/I)^{\times} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Aut}^{\circ}(A[I]).$ 

*Proof.* This is a formal verification. The inverse of this isomorphism is given by sending  $(\gamma, \varphi)$  to  $(\gamma, x)$ , where  $x \in (\mathcal{O}/I)^{\times}$  is the unique element with  $Q^{\varphi} = x \cdot Q$ .

Using Lemma 3.12, we may view the homomorphism (3.5) as a homomorphism

$$\rho_I^{\circ} \colon \operatorname{Gal}_F \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{O}) \ltimes (\mathcal{O}/I)^{\times}.$$
(3.6)

**Definition 3.7.** The homomorphism (3.5) – or, after a choice of  $\mathcal{O}/I$ -module generator of  $A[I](\bar{F})$ , the homomorphism (3.6) – is called the enhanced Galois representation associated to A and I.

Since Aut°(A[I]) is a subgroup of Aut( $\mathcal{O}$ ) × GL(A[I]), it comes equipped with projection homomorphisms  $\pi_1$ : Aut°(A[I]) → Aut( $\mathcal{O}$ ) and  $\pi_2$ : Aut°(A[I]) → GL(A[I]) satisfying  $\rho_{\text{End}} = \pi_1 \circ \rho_I^\circ$ and  $\rho_I = \pi_2 \circ \rho_I^\circ$ .

**Remark 3.13.** Suppose that  $\rho_{\text{End}}$  is trivial; in other words,  $\text{End}(A) = \text{End}(A_{\bar{F}}) \simeq \mathcal{O}$ . Then the homomorphism (3.6) lands in the subgroup  $\{1\} \ltimes (\mathcal{O}/I)^{\times}$  and hence simplifies to a homomorphism  $\text{Gal}_F \to (\mathcal{O}/I)^{\times}$ . This recovers the well-known description [Oht74] of the Galois representation  $\rho_I$  in this case.

We show that, usually, the image of  $\rho_I^\circ$  is isomorphic to the image of  $\rho_I$ , using the following well-known lemma.

**Lemma 3.14.** Let G be a finite subgroup of  $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  for some  $n \ge 1$  and let  $red_N : G \to GL_n(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$  be the restriction of the reduction map. Then  $red_N$  is injective if  $N \ge 3$ , and every element of the kernel of  $red_2$  has order 1 or 2.

*Proof.* This is a classical result of Minkowski [Min87]; see [SZ95, Theorem 4.1] for an accessible reference.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 3.15.** Suppose that  $I = N \cdot O$  for some integer  $N \ge 3$ . Then  $\pi_2$  is injective on the image  $\rho_I^\circ$ . Consequently, the image of  $\rho_I^\circ$  is isomorphic to the image of  $\rho_I$ .

*Proof.* Choose a  $\mathcal{O}/N$ -module generator  $Q \in A[N](\overline{F})$ . If  $(\gamma, \varphi) \in \ker(\pi_2)$ , then  $\varphi = \text{Id}$  and  $a \cdot Q = a^{\gamma} \cdot Q$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{O}/N$ . So  $a = a^{\gamma}$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{O}/N$ . Therefore,  $\gamma \in \ker(\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{O}) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{O}/N))$ . By Lemma 3.14, this kernel does not contain any nontrivial element of finite order. However, the image of  $\rho_{\text{End}}$  is finite (Proposition 3.3). We conclude that  $\ker(\pi_2) \cap \operatorname{image}(\rho_1^{\circ}) = \{1\}$ .

**Remark 3.16.** We can also define  $\ell$ -adic versions of the enhanced Galois representation: for every prime  $\ell$ , this is a group homomorphism  $\operatorname{Gal}_F \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{O}) \ltimes (\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})^{\times}$  encoding both the  $\operatorname{Gal}_F$ -action on  $\mathcal{O}$  and on the  $\ell$ -adic Tate module of A.

# 4. PQM surfaces over local and finite fields

We collect some results about PQM surfaces *A* over local and finite fields, especially the possible reduction types. The most important facts for our purposes are as follows: a PQM surface  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  of GL<sub>2</sub>-type has totally additive reduction at every bad prime (Corollary 4.3); the prime-to-*p* torsion in the totally additive case is controlled by the Néron component group (Lemma 4.10); and the latter, in turn, is controlled by the smallest field extension over which *A* acquires good reduction (Proposition 4.6).

For the remainder of this section, let *R* be a henselian discrete valuation ring with fraction field *F* of characteristic zero and perfect residue field *k* of characteristic  $p \ge 0$ .

# 4.1. Néron models of PQM surfaces

We first recall some notions in the theory of Néron models. Let A/F be an abelian variety with Néron model A/R. The special fiber  $A_k$  fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{A}_k^{\circ} \to \mathcal{A}_k \to \Phi \to 0,$$

where  $\Phi$  is the component group of  $A_k$ , a finite étale k-group scheme. The identity component  $A_k^0$  fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \to U \times T \to \mathcal{A}_k^0 \to B \to 0, \tag{4.1}$$

where *U* is a unipotent group, *T* is a torus and *B* is an abelian variety over *k*. The dimensions of *U*, *T* and *B*, which we denote by *u*, *t* and *b*, are called the unipotent, toric and abelian ranks of *A*, respectively. We have  $u + t + b = \dim A$ , and *A* has bad reduction if and only if  $b < \dim A$ . Similarly, *A* has potentially good reduction over *F* if and only if its toric rank is 0 over every finite extension of *F*.

**Lemma 4.1.** Suppose that A/F is an abelian surface such that  $\operatorname{End}^{0}(A_{\overline{F}})$  contains a non-split quaternion algebra. Then there exists a finite extension F'/F such that  $A_{F'}$  has good reduction. If k is finite, we may take F' to be a totally ramified extension of F.

*Proof.* The fact that *A* has potentially good reduction is well known; see, for example, [CX08, p. 536]. It follows from the fact that a non-split quaternion algebra does not embed in  $Mat_2(\mathbb{Q})$  and hence does not embed in  $End(T) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  for any torus T/k of dimension 1 or 2.

If k is finite, the last sentence of the lemma can be justified by taking a lift in  $\text{Gal}_F$  of the Frobenius in  $\text{Gal}_k$ , in a manner analogous to [ST68, p. 498].

**Proposition 4.2.** Suppose that A/F is an abelian surface such that  $\operatorname{End}^{0}(A_{\overline{F}})$  contains a non-split quaternion algebra. Suppose that A has bad reduction. Then,

(a) t = 0.

- (b) If  $\text{End}^{0}(A)$  contains a real quadratic field, then  $u = \dim A = 2$ .
- (c) If u = 1, then  $A_K$  has good reduction over any field extension K/F such that  $\text{End}^0(A_K)$  contains a real quadratic field.

*Proof.* (*a*) follows from the fact that *A* has potentially good reduction and the fact that the toric rank cannot decrease under extension of the base field [CX08, Proposition 2.4]. For (*b*), we only need to exclude the possibility that u = b = 1, so suppose by contradiction that it holds. Let  $E \subset$  End<sup>0</sup>(*A*) be a real quadratic subfield. Reducing endomorphisms in (4.1) gives a (nonzero, hence injective) map  $E \hookrightarrow \text{End}^0(B)$ . By assumption, *B* is an elliptic curve. However, this contradicts the fact that the endomorphism algebra of an elliptic curve (over any field) does not contain a real quadratic field. Finally, (*c*) follows from (*b*), since the abelian rank cannot decrease after base change [CX08, Proposition 2.4].

When  $u = \dim A$ , one says that A has totally additive reduction.

**Corollary 4.3.** Let  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  be a PQM surface and p a prime of bad reduction. Suppose that A is of  $GL_2$ -type. Then A has totally additive reduction at p.

*Proof.* This follows from Proposition 4.2(b) and the fact that End(A) is real quadratic by Proposition 3.2.

**Remark 4.4.** One can show that if  $p \ge 5$ , then the Prym variety of  $y^3 = x^4 + x^2 + p$  (which has PQM by [LS23]) has unipotent rank 1 over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . So the GL<sub>2</sub>-type hypothesis cannot be dropped in general in Corollary 4.3.

Finally, we state Raynaud's criterion for A/F to have semistable reduction, which in the case of a PQM surface is necessarily good by Proposition 4.2.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let A/F be a PQM surface and n an integer not divisible by the residue characteristic p, and suppose that all points in A[n] are defined over an unramified extension of F. Then

- (a) if n = 2, then A has good reduction over every ramified quadratic extension of F;
- (b) if  $n \ge 3$ , then A has good reduction over F.

*Proof.* See [SZ95, §7].

## 

## 4.2. The good reduction field and component group of a PQM surface

Let A/F be an abelian variety with potentially good reduction. If k is algebraically closed, there exists a smallest field extension M/F such that  $A_M$  has good reduction, called the good reduction field of A. This is a Galois extension, equal to F(A[N]) for every  $N \ge 3$  coprime to p [ST68, §2, Corollary 3]. It is relevant for us because it controls the size of the component group by the following result [ELL96, Theorem 1].

**Proposition 4.6.** Suppose that k is algebraically closed. Let A/F be an abelian variety with potentially good reduction and good reduction field M/F. Then the Néron component group  $\Phi$  is killed by [M : F].

The next lemma constrains the good reduction field of a PQM surface.

**Lemma 4.7.** Suppose that k is algebraically closed. Let A/F be a PQM surface with good reduction field M/F. Then [M : F] divides  $24^2$ . In particular, [M : F] is coprime to any prime  $\ell > 3$ .

*Proof.* Let *L* be the endomorphism field of A/F (Section 3.2). By the Néron–Ogg–Shafarevich criterion, all prime-to-*p* torsion is defined over *M*; hence,  $L \subset M$  by a result of Silverberg (Proposition 3.6). By Proposition 3.3, [L : F] divides 24. By [JM94, Proposition 4.2] and its proof (whose notation does not agree with ours), we have [M : L] | 24. We conclude that [M : F] = [M : L][L : F] divides  $24^2$ .

# **Lemma 4.8.** Let A/F be a PQM surface and let $\ell \ge 5$ . Then the order of $\Phi$ is not divisible by $\ell$ .

*Proof.* Since formation of Néron models commutes with unramified base change, it is enough to prove the lemma in the case where F has algebraically closed residue field. This then follows from Proposition 4.6 and Lemma 4.7.

We record the following technical lemma that will allow us to sometimes 'quadratic twist away' bad primes. This will be useful in the proof of Proposition 5.8.

**Lemma 4.9.** Suppose that  $p \neq 2$ . Let A/F be an abelian variety with totally additive reduction. Suppose that  $A_M$  has good reduction for some quadratic extension M/F. Then the quadratic twist  $A^M$  of A by M has good reduction.

*Proof.* Let  $I_F$  and  $I_M$  denote the inertia group of  $\operatorname{Gal}_F$  and  $\operatorname{Gal}_M$ , respectively. Fix a prime  $\ell \neq p$ . By the Néron–Ogg–Shafarevich criterion, the  $I_F$ -action on the  $\ell$ -adic Tate module  $T_\ell A$  factors through a faithful  $I_F/I_M$ -action and so acts via an element  $\sigma \in \operatorname{GL}(T_\ell A)$  of order 2. Since A has totally additive reduction,  $(T_\ell A)^{I_F} = 0$  and so  $\sigma = -1$ . Let  $\chi_M : \operatorname{Gal}_F \to \{\pm 1\}$  be the character corresponding to the extension M/F. Then  $T_\ell(A^M) \simeq T_\ell A \otimes \chi_M$  as  $\operatorname{Gal}_F$ -modules. Therefore,  $I_F$  acts trivially on  $T_\ell(A^M)$  and  $A^M$  has good reduction.

# 4.3. Component groups and torsion

The relevance of the component group is the following well-known fact; see, for example, [Lor93, Remark 1.3]. If G is an abelian group, write  $G^{(p)}$  for its subgroup of elements of finite order prime to p.

**Lemma 4.10.** If A/F is an abelian variety with totally additive reduction (i.e.,  $u = \dim A$ ), then  $A(F)_{\text{tors}}^{(p)}$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\Phi(k)^{(p)}$ , where  $\Phi$  denotes the component group of  $A_k$ .

Lorenzini has studied the component groups of general abelian surfaces with potentially good reduction and totally additive reduction, which leads to the following severe constraint on their torsion subgroups [Lor93, Corollary 3.25].

**Theorem 4.11** (Lorenzini). Let A/F be an abelian surface with totally additive and potentially good reduction. Then  $A(F)_{tors}^{(p)}$  is a subgroup of one of the following groups:

$$\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}, (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2, (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}.$$

We can say more if *A* has totally additive reduction over any proper subextension of the good reduction field. The following slight variant of [Lor93, Corollary 3.24] will be very useful in classifying torsion in the GL<sub>2</sub>-type case.

**Proposition 4.12.** Suppose that the residue field of *F* is algebraically closed. Let A/F be an abelian variety with bad and potentially good reduction. Let M/F be the good reduction field of *A*. Suppose that  $A_{F'}$  has totally additive reduction for every  $F \subset F' \subsetneq M$ . Suppose that the prime-to-*p* torsion subgroup  $A(F)_{tors}^{(p)}$  of A(F) is nontrivial. Then there exists a prime number  $\ell \neq p$  such that [M : F] is a power of  $\ell$  and  $A(F)_{tors}^{(p)} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^k$  for some  $k \ge 1$ .

*Proof.* Let G := Gal(M/F). For every  $F \subset F' \subsetneq M$ ,  $A(F)_{\text{tors}}^{(p)} \subset A(F')_{\text{tors}}^{(p)}$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of the component group of  $A_{F'}$  by Lemma 4.10, which is killed by [F : F'] by Proposition 4.6. By Galois theory,  $A(F)_{\text{tors}}^{(p)}$  is therefore killed by #H for every nontrivial subgroup  $H \leq G$ . The group  $A(F)_{\text{tors}}^{(p)}$  is nontrivial by assumption; let  $\ell$  be a prime dividing its order. We claim that this  $\ell$  satisfies the conclusions of the proposition. Indeed, by definition of  $A(F)_{\text{tors}}^{(p)}$ , we have  $\ell \neq p$ . Moreover, if #G is divisible by another prime  $\ell'$ , then by taking H a Sylow- $\ell'$  subgroup of G, we get a contradiction, so #G = [M : F] is a power of  $\ell$ . By taking H to be an order  $\ell$  subgroup of G, we see that  $A(F)_{\text{tors}}^{(p)}$  is killed by  $\ell$ , as desired.

In the general case (not necessarily totally additive reduction), we have the following well-known result when *F* is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , which follows from formal group law considerations [CX08, §2.5 and Proposition 3.1].

**Lemma 4.13.** Suppose that  $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is a finite extension of ramification degree e. Let A/F be an abelian variety with Néron model A/R. Let red:  $A(F) = A(R) \rightarrow A(k)$  be the reduction map.

(a) The restriction of red to prime-to-p part of  $A(F)_{tors}$  is injective.

(b) If in addition  $e , then red is injective on <math>A(F)_{tors}$ .

# 4.4. The conductor of a PQM surface

Recall that the conductor f(A) of an abelian variety  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  is a positive integer divisible exactly by the primes of bad reduction of A; see [BK94] for a precise definition and more information. We may write  $f(A) = \prod_{p} p^{f_{p}(A)}$ , where  $f_{p}(A)$  denotes the conductor exponent at a prime p.

**Lemma 4.14.** Let  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  be a PQM surface of GL<sub>2</sub>-type. Let p be a prime such that A has bad reduction at p but acquires good reduction over a tame extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Then  $\mathfrak{f}_p(A) = 4$ .

*Proof.* In that case,  $f_p(A)$  equals the tame conductor exponent at p, which is  $2 \times (\text{unipotent rank}) + (\text{toric rank})$ . This equals  $2 \times 2 + 0 = 4$  by Proposition 4.2.

**Proposition 4.15.** Let  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  be a PQM surface of GL<sub>2</sub>-type. Then the conductor of A is of the form  $2^{2i}3^{2j}N^4$ , where  $0 \le i \le 10, 0 \le j \le 5$ , and N is squarefree and coprime to 6.

*Proof.* By Lemmas 4.7 and 4.14,  $f_p(A) = 4$  for every bad prime  $p \ge 5$ . The bounds  $f_2(A) \le 20$  and  $f_3(A) \le 10$  follow from a general result of Brumer–Kramer [BK94, Theorem 6.2]. The fact that  $f_2(A)$  and  $f_3(A)$  are even follows from the fact that  $\text{End}^0(A)$  is a real quadratic field (Proposition 3.2) and [Ser87, (4.7.2)].

# 4.5. Finite fields

Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field of order  $p^r$ . We will use the following two statements, whose proof can be found in [Jor86, §2].

**Lemma 4.16.** Let A/k be an abelian surface such that  $\text{End}^0(A)$  contains the quaternion algebra B. Then the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius is of the form  $(T^2 + aT + q)^2$  for some integer  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $|a| \leq 2\sqrt{q}$ .

**Proposition 4.17.** Let A/k be an abelian surface such that  $\text{End}^0(A)$  contains the quaternion algebra *B*. If *r* is odd or  $p \nmid \text{disc}(B)$ , then *A* is isogenous to the square of an elliptic curve over *k*. If *r* is even and  $p \mid \text{disc}(B)$ ,  $A_{\bar{k}}$  is isogenous to the square of a supersingular elliptic curve over  $\bar{k}$ .

# 5. Proof of Theorem 1.4: PQM surfaces of GL<sub>2</sub>-type

Before proving Theorems 1.1–1.3, it is useful to first prove Theorem 1.4, which classifies torsion subgroups of  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM abelian surfaces A over  $\mathbb{Q}$  which are of GL<sub>2</sub>-type. At a certain point in the argument, we make use of the modularity of abelian surfaces of GL<sub>2</sub>-type, which we recall in §5.1 and classify PQM surfaces of GL<sub>2</sub>-type with good reduction outside 2 or 3. In §5.2, we deduce that a general  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface cannot have a full level 2-structure over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . In §5.3, we prove Theorem 1.4.

# 5.1. Abelian surfaces of GL<sub>2</sub>-type and modular forms

**Theorem 5.1.** Let A be an abelian surface such that  $\operatorname{End}^{0}(A)$  is a real quadratic field. Then the conductor of A is of the form  $N^{2}$  for some positive integer N, and there exists a unique Galois orbit  $[f_{A}] \subset S_{2}(\Gamma_{0}(N))$  having coefficient field  $K \simeq \operatorname{End}^{0}(A)$  whose local L-factors agree for each prime p:

$$L_p(A,T) = \prod_{\tau: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}} L_p(\tau(f_A),T) \in 1 + T\mathbb{Z}[T].$$
(5.1)

*Moreover, we have*  $[f_A] = [f_{A'}]$  *if and only if* A *is isogenous to* A' (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

*Proof.* As explained by Ribet [Rib04, Theorem (4.4)], the fact that *A* is of GL<sub>2</sub>-type over  $\mathbb{Q}$  implies that *A* is modular assuming Serre's modularity conjecture [Ser87, §4.7, Theorem 5], which was proven by Khare–Wintenberger [KW09]. Thus, the equality of *L*-series (5.1) holds for some newform  $f_A$ . Since End<sup>0</sup>(*A*) is real, the character of  $f_A$  is trivial [Rib76, Lemma (4.5.1)]. It follows from a theorem of Carayol [Car86, Theorem (A)] (local-global compatibility) that *A* has conductor equal to  $N^2$ , where *N* is the level of  $f_A$ . Finally, the fact that the Galois orbit of  $f_A$  characterizes *A* up to isogeny follows from the theorem of Faltings.

Recall that if  $f \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$  is a newform and  $\psi$  a primitive Dirichlet character, there exists a unique newform  $g = f \otimes \psi$ , the twist of f by  $\psi$ , whose q-expansion satisfies  $a_n(g) = a_n(f)\psi(n)$  for all n coprime to N and the conductor of  $\psi$ . If f = g, then g is called a self-twist. If f and g are Galois conjugate, g is called an inner twist.

**Proposition 5.2.** Let A be an abelian surface over  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\operatorname{End}^0(A) \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$  with  $m \ge 2$ . Then A has PQM if and only if all of the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $f_A$  has no self-twists; equivalently,  $f_A$  is not CM;
- (ii)  $f_A$  has a nontrivial inner twist by a Dirichlet character associated to a quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ ; and
- (iii) The quaternion algebra  $B_{d,m} := \left(\frac{d,m}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$  is a division algebra.

If all conditions (i)–(iii) hold, then, in fact,  $\operatorname{End}^0(A_{\overline{\mathbb{O}}}) \simeq B_{d,m}$ .

Proof. See Cremona [Cre92, §2].

This reduces the enumeration of isogeny classes of  $GL_2$ -type PQM surfaces A over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with fixed conductor to a computation in a space of modular forms.

**Corollary 5.3.** There are no PQM surfaces A over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of GL<sub>2</sub>-type with good reduction outside {2}.

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.15, it is enough to check that there is no eigenform corresponding to a PQM surface of level  $2^k$  for any  $k \le 10$ . This information is contained in the LMFDB [LMF23] or [GG09, Table 1].

**Corollary 5.4.** There is exactly one isogeny class of PQM surfaces A over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of GL<sub>2</sub>-type with good reduction outside {3}: it has conductor  $3^{10}$ , any abelian surface A in the isogeny class satisfies  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \leq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* The fact that there is exactly one such isogeny class again follows from Proposition 4.15 and information in the LMFDB or [GG09, Table 1]. The corresponding Galois orbit of weight two newforms has LMFDB label 243.2.a.d. From  $L_2(1) = 3$  and  $L_{13}(1) = 225$ , we conclude that  $#A(\mathbb{Q})_{tors} | 3$  for every *A* in this isogeny class. (In fact, the corresponding optimal quotient of  $J_0(243)$  has  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  torsion subgroup by considering the image of the cuspidal subgroup of  $J_0(243)$ .)

**Remark 5.5.** The isogeny class of Corollary 5.4 has minimal conductor among all PQM surfaces A of  $GL_2$ -type. It would be interesting to produce an explicit model over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; see also [LS23, Question 2].

For the purposes of proving Theorem 1.4, the modular form computations contained in Corollaries 5.3 and 5.4 will suffice. However, the next proposition, which might be of independent interest, goes further than these corollaries by computing modular forms of higher level.

**Proposition 5.6.** There are exactly 44 isogeny classes of PQM surfaces over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of GL<sub>2</sub>-type with good reduction outside {2, 3}.

*Proof.* Again, we use Propositions 4.15 and 5.2 to reduce the question to computing the number of Galois orbits of newforms in  $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$ , where  $N \mid 2^{10}3^5$ , with quadratic Hecke coefficient field, having an inner twist but no self-twist. However, here we need to do a new computation in a large dimensional space. The code is available at https://github.com/ciaran-schembri/QM-Mazur; we provide a few details to explain how we proceeded, referring to the book by Stein [Ste07] on modular symbols and, more broadly, [BBB21] for a survey of methods to compute modular forms.

We work with modular symbols, and we loop over all possible (imaginary) quadratic characters  $\psi$  supported at 2, 3, corresponding to the inner twist. For each character  $\psi$ , of conductor *d*:

- For a list of split primes  $p \ge 5$ , we inductively compute the kernels of  $T_p a$  where  $|a| \le 2\sqrt{p}$ .
- For a list of inert primes  $p \ge 5$ , we further inductively compute the kernels of  $T_p^2 db^2$ , where  $db^2 \le 4p$ .

The first bound holds since  $\psi(p) = 1$  so  $a_p(f)\psi(p) = \tau(a_p(f)) = a_p(f)$  so  $a_p(f) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and the Ramanujan–Petersson bound holds; the second bound holds since  $\psi(p) = -1$  now gives  $\tau(a_p(f)) = -a_p(f)$  so  $a_p(f) = \sqrt{d}b$  with again  $\sqrt{d}|b| \le 2\sqrt{p}$ . It is essential to compute the split primes first, and only compute the induced action of  $T_p$  on the kernels computed in the first step.

To simplify the linear algebra, we work modulo a large prime number q, checking that each Hecke matrix  $T_p$  (having entries in  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) has no denominator divisible by q. The corresponding decomposition gives us an 'upper bound': if we had the desired eigenspace for  $T_p$ , it reduces modulo q, but a priori some of these spaces could accidentally coincide or the dimension could go down (corresponding to a prime of norm q in the Hecke field). To certify the 'lower bound', we compute a small linear combination of Hecke operators supported at split primes and use the computed eigenvalues to recompute the kernel over  $\mathbb{Q}$  working with divisors  $N' \mid N$ , and when we find it, we compute the dimension of the oldspace for the form at level N' inside level N and confirm that it matches the dimension computed modulo q.

In fact, we find that  $N \mid 2^8 3^5$  or  $N \mid 2^{10} 3^4$ . (Indeed, a careful analysis of the possible endomorphism algebra can be used to show this a priori.)

To certify that the form is not PCM, we find a coefficient for an inert prime that is nonzero. To certify that the form has inner twist by  $\psi$ , we apply the bound [BBB21, Lemma 11.2.1(a)] on the level of the twist: in particular, we find that the twist has the same level whenever  $\operatorname{ord}_p(\operatorname{cond}(\psi))^2 \neq \operatorname{ord}_p(N)$  for p = 2, 3, and this condition happened to hold in all cases that arose for certification. (In general, we could also check up to the Sturm bound as in [BBB21, Theorem 11.2.4].) We similarly certify and discard the forms with PCM, using the LMFDB for this certification for a handful of cases when the level of the twist was different.

Finally, we compute the split PQM forms by identifying the quaternion algebra above using Proposition 5.2.

The complete data is available online (https://github.com/ciaran-schembri/QM-Mazur); we give a summary in Table 1, listing forms in a fixed level, up to (quadratic) twist.

For example, Table 1 says that up to twist, there are 3 newforms of level  $N = 20736 = 2^8 3^4$ , each having 4 Galois newform orbits for a total of 12 newform orbits.

**Corollary 5.7.** If A is a PQM abelian surface of GL<sub>2</sub>-type over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with good reduction outside  $\{2, 3\}$  and  $\#A(\mathbb{Q})_{tors}$  nontrivial, then A corresponds to either 243.2.a.d or 972.2.a.e. In particular,  $\#A(\mathbb{Q})_{tors} \leq 9$ .

*Proof.* Direct calculation as in Corollary 5.4.

#### 5.2. Full level 2-structure

Before imposing the GL<sub>2</sub>-type assumption in the next subsection, we show that  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surfaces cannot have full level 2-structure over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Proposition 5.8.** Let  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface. Then  $A(\mathbb{Q})[2] \neq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$ .

| Ν                   | $\psi$ | disc B | num | LMFDB labels             |
|---------------------|--------|--------|-----|--------------------------|
| $243 = 3^5$         | -3     | 6      | 1   | 243.2.a.d                |
| $972 = 2^2 3^5$     | -3     | 6      | 1   | 972.2.a.e                |
| $2592 = 2^5 3^4$    | -4     | 6      | 2   | 2592.2.a.l, 2592.2.a.p   |
| $2592 = 2^5 3^4$    | -4     | 6      | 2   | 2592.2.a.m, 2592.2.a.r   |
| $3888 = 2^4 3^5$    | -3     | 6      | 2   | 3888.2.a.b, 3888.2.a.t   |
| $5184 = 2^6 3^4$    | -4     | 6      | 2   | 5184.2.a.bl, 5184.2.a.bx |
| $5184 = 2^6 3^4$    | -4     | 6      | 2   | 5184.2.a.bk, 5184.2.a.bv |
| $15552 = 2^6 3^5$   | -3     | 6      | 2   |                          |
| $15552 = 2^6 3^5$   | -3     | 6      | 2   |                          |
| $20736 = 2^8 3^4$   | -4     | 6      | 4   |                          |
| $20736 = 2^8 3^4$   | -4     | 22     | 4   |                          |
| $20736 = 2^8 3^4$   | -8     | 10     | 4   |                          |
| $62208 = 2^8 3^5$   | -3     | 6      | 4   |                          |
| $62208 = 2^8 3^5$   | -3     | 6      | 4   |                          |
| $82944 = 2^{10}3^4$ | -24    | 6      | 4   |                          |
| $82944 = 2^{10}3^4$ | -24    | 6      | 4   |                          |

**Table 1.** Twist classes of modular forms corresponding to PQM abelian surfacesover  $\mathbb{Q}$  of GL2-type with good reduction outside  $\{2, 3\}$ 

*Proof.* Suppose  $A(\mathbb{Q})[2] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$ . Since A[2] is free of rank one as an  $\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$ -module and contains a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rational generator, we have  $A[2] \simeq \mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$  as  $\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules. By Theorem 2.6 and Proposition 3.3, this implies that the endomorphism field  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  is quadratic, so that A has GL<sub>2</sub>-type by Lemma 3.4.

Let *K* be a quadratic field ramified at all primes  $p \ge 3$  of bad reduction of *A* and unramified at all primes  $p \ge 3$  of good reduction. Corollary 4.3 and Lemmas 4.5(a), 4.9 and 3.7 show that the quadratic twist of *A* by *K* is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface of GL<sub>2</sub>-type with good reduction outside {2}. But by Corollary 5.3, no such surface exists.

## 5.3. Torsion classification in the GL<sub>2</sub>-type case

Now we assume  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  is a PQM surface of GL<sub>2</sub>-type. By Lemma 3.4, there exists a quadratic extension  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  (the endomorphism field) such that  $\text{End}(A_L) = \text{End}(A_{\overline{\Omega}})$ .

**Lemma 5.9.** If  $\ell$  is a prime such that  $A[\ell](\mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$ , then  $\ell \leq 7$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.1, there exists a finite extension L'/L that is totally ramified at 2 and such that  $A_{L'}$  has good reduction. Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a prime in L' above 2 and let k be its residue field. Since  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  is quadratic, k is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_2$  or  $\mathbb{F}_4$ . Therefore, the reduction of  $A_{L'}$  at  $\mathfrak{q}$  is an abelian surface B over k such that  $\operatorname{End}^0(B)$  contains  $\operatorname{End}^0(A_L)$ . By Lemma 4.13,  $B[\ell](k) \neq 0$  and so  $\ell$  divides  $\#B(\mathbb{F}_4)$ . However, Lemma 4.16 shows that the L-polynomial of  $B_{\mathbb{F}_4}$  is of the form  $(T^2 + aT + 4)^2$  with  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $|a| \leq 2\sqrt{4} = 4$ . Therefore,  $\ell$  divides  $(1 + a + 4)^2$ ; hence,  $\ell$  divides  $(1 + a + 4) \leq 9$ , hence  $\ell \leq 9$ .

**Lemma 5.10.** If  $\ell \ge 5$  is a prime such that  $A[\ell](\mathbb{Q}) \ne 0$ , then  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  has good reduction away from  $\ell$ .

*Proof.* Let *p* be a prime of bad reduction of *A*. Since *A* is of GL<sub>2</sub>-type, the algebra  $\text{End}^{0}(A)$  is a quadratic field; it is real quadratic by Proposition 3.2. Proposition 4.2(c) implies that *A* has totally additive reduction at *p*. By Lemmas 4.8 and 4.10, we must have  $p = \ell$ . We conclude that *A* has good reduction outside  $\{\ell\}$ .

**Proposition 5.11.** *If*  $\ell$  *is a prime such that*  $A[\ell](\mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$ *, then*  $\ell \in \{2, 3\}$ *.* 

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\ell \ge 5$ . By Proposition 3.2, the quadratic extension  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  is imaginary quadratic. Moreover, by a result of Silverberg [Sil92, Theorem 4.2], the surface A has bad reduction at all primes ramifying in L. By Lemma 5.10, L is therefore only ramified at  $\ell$ . If  $\ell = 5$ , this is already a contradiction since there are no imaginary quadratic fields ramified only at 5. If  $\ell = 7$ , then we conclude that

 $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$ . Since 2 splits in *L*, this means that the residue field in the proof of Lemma 5.9 is equal to  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Continuing with the proof there, we deduce the stronger inequality  $|a| \le 2\sqrt{2}$ , and we find that  $\ell$  divides 1 + a + 2 < 6, which is a contradiction.

**Remark 5.12.** We can also deduce Proposition 5.11 from Lemma 5.10 by invoking modularity (Proposition 5.2), the fact that such an abelian surface must have conductor  $\ell^4$  (Proposition 4.15) and the fact that there are no PQM eigenforms in  $S_2(\Gamma_0(25))$  or  $S_2(\Gamma_0(49))$ . We also note that Schoof has proven that there are no abelian varieties with everywhere good reduction over  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_\ell)$  for various small  $\ell$ , including 5 and 7 [Sch03].

**Proposition 5.13.** *Either*  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \subset (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$  or  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \subset (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.11,  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  is a group of order  $2^i 3^j$ . We may assume that  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \neq 0$ ; let  $\ell \in \{2, 3\}$  be such that  $A[\ell](\mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$ .

Suppose there exists a prime  $p \ge 5$  of bad reduction. Then *A* has totally additive reduction over every finite extension  $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$  over which it has bad reduction by Proposition 4.2. Therefore, the assumptions of Proposition 4.12 apply for  $F = \mathbb{Q}_p^{nr}$  (the maximal unramified extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ), and so  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{tors} = A(\mathbb{Q})_{tors}^{(p)} \subset A(\mathbb{Q}_p^{nr})_{tors}^{(p)} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^k$  for some  $1 \le k \le 4$ . If  $\ell = 2$ , then  $k \le 3$  by Proposition 5.8. If  $\ell = 3$ , then  $k \le 2$ , since  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{tors}^{(2)} \hookrightarrow A_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$  for some abelian surface  $A_2/\mathbb{F}_2$  (using Lemmas 4.1 and 4.13) and  $\#A_2(\mathbb{F}_2) \le 25$  for all such surfaces. We conclude  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{tors} \subset (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$  or  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{tors} \subset (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$ , as desired.

It remains to consider the case that *A* has good reduction outside  $\{2, 3\}$ . A computation with modular forms of level dividing  $2^{10} \cdot 3^5$  shows that  $\#A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \mid 9$  for such surfaces by Corollary 5.7, but we give an argument that only involves computing modular forms of much smaller level. We may assume *A* has bad reduction at both of these primes by Corollaries 5.3 and 5.4. If  $A[2](\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ , then Proposition 4.12 shows again that  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} = A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}^{(2)} \subset A(\mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{nr}})_{\text{tors}}^{(2)} \subset (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$ . Similarly,  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \subset (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$  if  $A[3](\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ . Thus, it remains to rule out the possibility that  $A(\mathbb{Q})$  contains a point of order 6. In that case, Proposition 4.12 shows that the extensions  $M_2/\mathbb{Q}_2^{\text{nr}}$  and  $M_3/\mathbb{Q}_3^{\text{nr}}$  over which *A* attains good reduction have degrees that are powers of 3 and 2, respectively, and hence are tamely ramified. Hence, *A* has conductor  $2^43^4$  by Lemma 4.14 and corresponds to an eigenform of level  $2^23^2 = 36$ , by Theorem 5.1. However, there are no PQM eigenforms of level 36 [GG09, Table 1].

Next we constrain the torsion even further and show that  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$  does not occur. For this, we combine a cute fact from linear algebra with a purely local proposition that makes use of the enhanced Galois representation of §3.5.

**Lemma 5.14.** Let k be a field and  $V \subset \mathcal{O}_k := \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k$  a 3-dimensional k-subspace. Then V contains an  $\mathcal{O}_k$ -module generator of  $\mathcal{O}_k$ .

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{O}_k$  is a division algebra, every nonzero element of *V* is an  $\mathcal{O}_k$ -generator. If the characteristic of *k* divides disc(*B*), the lemma follows from Lemma 6.3 and the fact that the ideal *J* described there is 2-dimensional. It suffices to consider the case when  $\mathcal{O}_k \simeq \operatorname{Mat}_2(k)$  and to prove that in this case, *V* contains an invertible matrix. (This is well known; we give a quick proof here.) Suppose otherwise. If *k* admits a quadratic field extension k', then embedding  $k' \subset \operatorname{Mat}_2(k)$ , we compute  $\dim(V + k') = \dim V + \dim k' - \dim(V \cap k') = 3 + 2 - 0 = 5$ , which is a contradiction. In general, the subspace *V* is defined over a subfield k'' of *k* which is finitely generated over its prime field. The previous argument then applies over k''.

Recall that  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{nr}$  denotes the maximal unramified extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

**Proposition 5.15.** Let p be an odd prime, F a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{nr}$  and A/F an  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface with  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3 \subset A[2](F)$ . Then A acquires good reduction over every quadratic extension of F.

*Proof.* If  $A[2](F) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$ , this immediately follows from Raynaud's criterion (Lemma 4.5(a)), so assume that  $A[2](F) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ . By Lemma 5.14, there exists an *F*-rational  $\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$ -generator  $P \in A[2](F)$ , and hence,  $A[2] \simeq \mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$  as  $\operatorname{Gal}_F$ -modules.

Let L/F be the endomorphism field of  $A_F$  and let M/F be the smallest field over which  $A_F$  acquires good reduction. By the Néron-Ogg-Shafarevich criterion, M = F(A[4]). By Proposition 3.6,  $L \subset M$ . Since  $A[2] \simeq \mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$  as  $\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules,  $F(A[2]) \subset L$ . We therefore have a chain of inclusions  $F \subset F(A[2]) \subset L \subset M = F(A[4])$ . Since  $A[2](\mathbb{Q}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ , F(A[2])/F is a  $(2, 2, \ldots, 2)$ -extension. The same is true for F(A[4])/F(A[2]). Since p is odd and the residue field is algebraically closed, both these extensions are cyclic, so at most quadratic. Therefore, F(A[2])/F is a quadratic extension. If  $L \neq F(A[2])$ , then L/F would be cyclic of order 4, and there would be an order 4 element  $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{O})$  whose fixed points on  $\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$  is  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ . A calculation similar to the proof of the  $D_4$  case in Theorem 2.6 shows that this is not possible. We conclude that L = F(A[2]) and that M/L is at most quadratic.

To prove the proposition, it suffices to prove that M/F is quadratic, so assume by contradiction that this is not the case. Then M/L and L/F are both quadratic, and  $Gal(M/F) = \{1, g, g^2, g^3\}$  is cyclic of order 4.

Consider the mod 4 Galois representation  $\rho: \operatorname{Gal}_F \to \operatorname{GL}(A[4])$ , which factors through  $\operatorname{Gal}_F \to \operatorname{Gal}(M/F)$ . Let  $Q \in A[4](M)$  be a lift of the  $\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$ -generator  $P \in A[2](F)$ . Then Q is an  $\mathcal{O}/4\mathcal{O}$ -generator for A[4], and hence, by the enhanced Galois representation construction, we know that  $\rho \simeq \rho_4^\circ$  lands in  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/F) \ltimes (\mathcal{O}/4\mathcal{O})^{\times}$  (see §3.5 and Proposition 3.15). The situation can be summarized as follows:

The horizontal maps are the enhanced Galois representations for  $L \mod 4$ ,  $F \mod 4$  and  $F \mod 2$ , respectively. Write  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/F) = \{1, \sigma\}$ . Since P is F-rational, the bottom map sends  $\sigma$  to  $(\sigma, 1)$ . By commutativity of the bottom square,  $\rho_4^{\circ}(g) = (\sigma, x)$ , where  $x \in (\mathcal{O}/4\mathcal{O})$  satisfies  $x \equiv 1 \mod 2\mathcal{O}$ . Since  $A_L$  has bad and hence totally additive reduction by Proposition 4.2, the nontrivial element of  $\operatorname{Gal}(M/L)$  maps to -1 in  $(\mathcal{O}/4\mathcal{O})^{\times}$ . (In fact, the generator of  $\operatorname{Gal}(M/L)$  even maps to -1 in  $\operatorname{GL}(T_2A)$  by an argument identical to the proof of Lemma 4.9.) By the commutativity of the top diagram,  $(\sigma, x)^2 = (1, -1)$ . The involution  $\sigma$  acts on  $(\mathcal{O}/4\mathcal{O})^{\times}$  by conjugating by an element  $b \in \mathcal{O} \cap N_{B^{\times}}(\mathcal{O})$  whose fixed points on  $\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$  are  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ . Therefore,  $(\sigma, x)^2 = (1, -1)$  is equivalent to  $b^{-1}xbx = -1$ . By Lemma 2.9, no such x exists, obtaining the desired contradiction.

**Proposition 5.16.** Let  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface of  $GL_2$ -type. Then  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3 \notin A(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ .

*Proof.* Let *K* be a quadratic field ramified at all primes  $p \ge 3$  of bad reduction of *A* and unramified at all primes  $p \ge 3$  of good reduction. Corollary 4.3, Proposition 5.15 and Lemmas 4.9 and 3.7 show that the quadratic twist of *A* by *K* is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface of GL<sub>2</sub>-type with good reduction outside {2}. But no such  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface exists by Corollary 5.3.

We are finally ready to prove our classification result for torsion subgroups of O-PQM surfaces of GL<sub>2</sub>-type.

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* By Propositions 5.13 and 5.16, we have ruled out all groups aside from those listed in the theorem. It remains to exhibit infinitely many abelian surfaces  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  of  $GL_2$ -type with torsion subgroups isomorphic to each of the groups

$$\{0\}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2, (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2.$$

Let  $\mathcal{O}_6$  be the maximal quaternion order of discriminant 6 (unique up to isomorphism). In [LS23, §9], one-parameter families of GL<sub>2</sub>-type  $\mathcal{O}_6$ -PQM surfaces with generic torsion subgroups  $\{0\}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$  are given among Prym surfaces of bielliptic Picard curves. In Proposition 5.17 below, we give a one-parameter family of GL<sub>2</sub>-type  $\mathcal{O}_6$ -PQM Jacobians *J* with  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2 \subset J(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors.}}$ 

To state the next result, we define the rational functions

$$j(T) = \frac{(-64T^{20} + 256T^{16} - 384T^{12} + 256T^8 - 64T^4)}{(T^{24} + 42T^{20} + 591T^{16} + 2828T^{12} + 591T^8 + 42T^4 + 1)};$$
  

$$J_2(T) = 12(j+1);$$
  

$$J_4(T) = 6(j^2 + j + 1);$$
  

$$J_6(T) = 4(j^3 - 2j^2 + 1);$$
  

$$J_8(T) = (J_2J_6 - J_4^2)/4;$$
  

$$J_{10}(T) = j^3.$$

**Proposition 5.17.** For all but finitely many  $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ , there exists a genus two curve  $C_t/\mathbb{Q}$  with Igusa invariants  $(J_2(t) : J_4(t) : J_6(t) : J_8(t) : J_{10}(t))$ , whose Jacobian  $J_t/\mathbb{Q}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_6$ -PQM surface of GL<sub>2</sub>-type and satisfies  $J_t(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \supset (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ .

*Proof.* In [BG08, p.742], the authors have an expression for Igusa-Clebsch invariants (which we have translated to Igusa invariants) of genus 2 curves defining  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surfaces for every value of a parameter j (which is a coordinate on the full Atkin-Lehner quotient of the discriminant 6 Shimura curve). The field of moduli for  $k_{R_3}$ , in their notation, is  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-27 - 16j^{-1}})$ , and the obstruction for these genus 2 curves to be defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is given by the Mestre obstruction  $\left(\frac{-6j,-2(27j+16)}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ . A short computation for the family j(T) shows that  $-27 - 16j^{-1}$  is a square in  $\mathbb{Q}(T)^{\times}$ , and hence,  $k_{R_3} = \mathbb{Q}$  for all non-singular specializations. Furthermore, one checks that the Mestre obstruction also vanishes for all such t. Thus, the Igusa invariants in the statement of the proposition give an infinite family of  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM Jacobians  $J/\mathbb{Q}$  of  $GL_2$ -type with  $End^0(J) \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ . (Only finitely many  $j \in \mathbb{Q}$  correspond to CM points [BG08, §5, Table 1], so J is geometrically simple for all but finitely many  $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ .)

Using Magma, one can write down an explicit sextic polynomial  $f_T(x)$  such that  $C_t$  has model  $y^2 = f_t(x)$ . The coefficients of  $f_T(x)$  are too large to include here, but they can be found on our associated GitHub repository.<sup>2</sup> We find that there is a factorization

$$f_T(x) = q_{1,T}(x)q_{2,T}(x)q_{3,T}(x),$$

where each  $q_{i,T}$  is a quadratic polynomial in  $\mathbb{Q}(T)[x]$ . From this, we see that for all but finitely many t, the group  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$  is a subgroup of  $J_t(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ . Indeed,  $J_t = \text{Pic}_0(C_t)$ , and for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , the divisor class  $(\alpha, 0) - (\alpha', 0)$ , where  $q_{i,t}(x) = (x - \alpha)(x - \alpha')$ , is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and has order 2. In future work, we will explain how the special family j(T) was found using the arithmetic of Shimura curves.

# 6. Proof of Theorem 1.1: Reduction to GL<sub>2</sub>-type

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. By Theorem 1.4, it is enough to prove the following:

**Theorem 6.1.** Let  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface and let  $\ell \ge 5$  be a prime number such that  $A[\ell](\mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$ . *Then* A *is of*  $GL_2$ *-type.* 

Theorem 6.1 follows from combining Propositions 6.8 and 6.10 below. The proofs consist mostly of careful semi-linear algebra over noncommutative rings, combined with a small drop of global arithmetic input.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>https://github.com/ciaran-schembri/QM-Mazur

## 6.1. Linear algebra

Let  $\ell$  be a prime and  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell} := \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ . If  $\ell \nmid \operatorname{disc}(B)$ , then  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell} \simeq \operatorname{Mat}_2(\mathbb{F}_{\ell})$ , since  $\mathcal{O}$  is maximal. If  $\ell \mid \operatorname{disc}(B)$ , then  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell}$  is isomorphic to the nonsemisimple algebra [Jor86, §4]

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \alpha^{\ell} \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2} \right\} \subset \operatorname{Mat}_2(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}).$$
(6.1)

In both cases, we will describe all left ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell}$ . Equivalently, given a left  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell}$ -module M, free of rank one, we will describe all its (left)  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell}$ -submodules.

First, we suppose that  $\ell \nmid \operatorname{disc}(B)$ ; fix an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell} \simeq \operatorname{Mat}_2(\mathbb{F}_{\ell})$  and a free rank one left  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell}$ -module *M*. Let  $e_1, e_2, w$  be the elements of  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell}$  corresponding to the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively. Then  $e_1, e_2$  are idempotents satisfying  $e_1e_2 = 0$ ,  $e_1 + e_2 = 1$  and  $e_1w = we_2$ . Set  $M_i = \ker(e_i : M \to M) \subset M$ . Then  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ , and w induces mutually inverse bijections  $M_1 \to M_2$  and  $M_2 \to M_1$ . Given an  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell}$ -submodule  $N \subset M$ , define  $N_i := N \cap M_i$ . Since N is  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell}$ -stable,  $N = N_1 \oplus N_2$  and  $w(N_1) = N_2$ .

**Lemma 6.2** (Unramified case). The map  $N \mapsto (N_1, N_2)$  induces a bijection between left  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell}$ -submodules of M and pairs of  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ -subspaces  $(N_1 \subset M_1, N_2 \subset M_2)$  satisfying  $w(N_1) = N_2$ .

*Proof.* This is elementary, using the fact that  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell}$  is generated (as a ring) by  $e_1, e_2$  and w.

Next, suppose that  $\ell$  divides disc(*B*) and fix an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell}$  and the ring described in (6.1). The set of strictly upper triangular matrices is a two-sided ideal  $J \subset \mathcal{O}_{\ell}$  that satisfies  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell}/J \simeq \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ . The following lemma is easily verified [Jor86, §4].

**Lemma 6.3** (Ramified case). The only proper left ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell}$  is J. Consequently, the only proper  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell}$ -submodule of M is  $M[J] = \{m \in M \mid j \cdot m = 0 \text{ for all } j \in J\}$ .

# 6.2. The subgroup generated by a torsion point

Let  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface and  $\ell$  be a prime number. Let  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell} := \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{F}_{\ell}$  and  $M := A[\ell](\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ . Then M is a free  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell}$ -module of rank one, and  $\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  acts on  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell}$  by ring automorphisms (as studied in §3.2) and on M by  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ -linear automorphisms. These actions satisfy  $(a \cdot m)^{\sigma} = a^{\sigma} \cdot m^{\sigma}$  for all  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}, a \in \mathcal{O}_{\ell}$  and  $m \in M$ .

**Lemma 6.4.** Suppose that the Gal<sub>0</sub>-modules  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell}$  and M are isomorphic. Then  $\ell \leq 3$ .

*Proof.* This follows by comparing determinants. On one hand, the  $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -action on  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell}$  has determinant 1. Indeed, the determinant of left/right multiplication by  $b \in B$  acting on B is the square of the reduced norm, so conjugation has determinant 1. On the other hand, the determinant of the  $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -action on M is the square of the mod  $\ell$  cyclotomic character  $\bar{\chi}_{\ell}$ . This implies that  $\bar{\chi}_{\ell}^2 = 1$ , so  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\ell} + \zeta_{\ell}^{-1}) = \mathbb{Q}$ , so  $\ell \leq 3$ .

**Remark 6.5.** When  $\ell = 3$ , we know of no examples of  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surfaces over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell} \simeq M$  as Gal<sub>0</sub>-modules. Such examples do exist for  $\ell = 2$ ; see [LS23, Corollary 7.5].

**Lemma 6.6.** If  $m \in M^{\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}}$  is nonzero and  $\ell \geq 5$ , then  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell} \cdot m \subset M$  has order  $\ell^2$ .

*Proof.* By Lemmas 6.2 and 6.3, it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell} \cdot m \neq M$ . But if  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell} \cdot m = M$ , then  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell} \to M, x \mapsto x \cdot m$  is an isomorphism, contradicting Lemma 6.4.

To analyze the case  $\ell \mid \operatorname{disc}(B)$ , we use the following theorem attributed to Ohta.

**Theorem 6.7.** Let *F* be a number field and let A/F be an abelian variety with  $End(A) \simeq \mathcal{O}$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{O}$  is ramified at a prime  $\ell$  and let  $J \subset \mathcal{O}$  be the maximal ideal above  $\ell$ . Then the composition of the Galois representation  $\operatorname{Gal}_F \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}} A[J] \simeq \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}^{\times}$  with the norm  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}^{\times} \to \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{\times}$  is equal to the mod  $\ell$  cyclotomic character  $\operatorname{Gal}_F \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mu_\ell) \simeq \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{\times}$ .

*Proof.* See [Jor86, Proposition 4.6].

**Proposition 6.8.** If  $\ell \mid \text{disc}(B)$  and  $M^{\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}} \neq 0$ , then  $\ell \leq 3$ .

*Proof.* Choose a nonzero  $m \in M^{\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}}$  and suppose that  $\ell \geq 5$ . By the previous lemma,  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell} \cdot m$  is a proper submodule of M. Therefore,  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell} \cdot m = M[J]$  by Lemma 6.3. Let  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  be the endomorphism field of A. Then the  $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -action on M restricts to a  $\text{Gal}_{L}$ -action on M[J] through elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}^{\times}$  (after choosing an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell}/J \simeq \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ ), giving a homomorphism  $\epsilon : \text{Gal}_L \to \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}^{\times}$ . Since m is  $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -invariant, the  $\text{Gal}_L$ -action on M[J] is trivial, so  $\epsilon$  is trivial. However, the composition  $N_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}/\mathbb{F}_{\ell}} \circ \epsilon : \text{Gal}_L \to \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{\times}$  equals the mod  $\ell$  cyclotomic character  $\bar{\chi}_{\ell}$ , by Theorem 6.7. It follows that  $\bar{\chi}_{\ell}|_{\text{Gal}_L} = 1$ , or in other words,  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\ell}) \subset L$ . Thus,  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  surjects onto  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\ell})/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/(\ell-1)\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  is dihedral (Proposition 3.3), every nontrivial cyclic quotient of  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  has order 2, and we conclude that  $\ell \leq 3$ .

We now treat the unramified case, using the following key linear-algebraic lemma, which we call the 'torus trick'.

**Lemma 6.9.** Suppose that  $\ell \nmid \operatorname{disc}(B)$ . Let  $S \subset \mathcal{O}_{\ell}$  be a 2-dimensional semisimple commutative  $\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -stable subalgebra such that  $S \cdot m = \mathcal{O}_{\ell} \cdot m$  for some nonzero  $m \in M^{\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ . Then every  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  acting trivially on S also acts trivially on  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  be an element acting trivially on *S* and let  $m \in M^{\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}} \setminus \{0\}$  be an element such that  $S \cdot m = \mathcal{O}_{\ell} \cdot m$ . Let  $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}$ . It suffices to prove that  $\sigma$  acts trivially on  $\mathcal{O}_k := \mathcal{O}_{\ell} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}} k$ . The assumptions imply that  $S_k \simeq k \times k$ , and we may fix an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_k \simeq \text{Mat}_2(k)$  of *k*-algebras such that  $S_k$  is identified with the subalgebra of diagonal matrices of  $\text{Mat}_2(k)$ . Lemma 6.2 and the fact that  $S_k$  is 2-dimensional shows that  $\dim_k(S_k \cdot m) = \dim_k(\mathcal{O}_k \cdot m) = 2$ . Let  $I = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_k \mid x \cdot m = 0\}$  be the annihilator of *m*, an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_k$  of dimension 2. Using the analogue of Lemma 6.2 over *k*, such an ideal is necessarily of the form

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} ax & bx \\ ay & by \end{pmatrix} \mid x, y \in k \right\}$$

for some  $a, b \in k$  which are not both zero. The assumption that  $S \cdot m = \mathcal{O}_{\ell} \cdot m$  implies that  $S_k \cap I = 0$ . It follows that a and b must be nonzero and  $\mathcal{O}_k = S_k \oplus I$  as  $\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules. Let  $N \subset \mathcal{O}_k$  be the subspace normalising but not centralising  $S_k$ . Then the above calculation also shows that  $N \cap I = 0$ . Moreover, N is  $\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -stable since S is. The relation  $\mathcal{O}_k = S_k \oplus I$  shows that  $\sigma(x) - x \in I$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{O}_k$ . It follows that  $\sigma(x) - x \in I \cap N = 0$  for all  $x \in N$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_k$  is spanned by  $S_k$  and N, the claim follows.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 6.10.** Suppose that  $\ell \nmid \operatorname{disc}(B)$  and  $M^{\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}} \neq 0$  and  $\ell \geq 5$ . Then A is of  $\operatorname{GL}_2$ -type.

*Proof.* We apply the torus trick using the distinguished quadratic subring  $S \,\subset\, \mathcal{O}$  of A (Definition 3.3). Write  $S_{\ell} = S \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ . Then  $S_{\ell} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\ell}$  is a commutative semisimple subalgebra since S is unramified at  $\ell$  by Proposition 3.11. Suppose that A is not of  $GL_2$ -type. Then  $Gal_{\mathbb{Q}}$  acts nontrivially on S since  $End(A) = \mathbb{Z}$ ; let  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  be the quadratic extension splitting this action. We claim that the  $Gal_K$ -action on  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell}$  is trivial. Indeed, let  $m \in M^{Gal_{\mathbb{Q}}}$  be a nonzero element. By Lemma 6.9, it suffices to prove that  $S_{\ell} \cdot m = \mathcal{O}_{\ell} \cdot m$ . But the set  $\{x \in S_{\ell} \mid x \cdot m = 0\}$  is a proper  $Gal_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -invariant ideal of  $S_{\ell}$ . Since the only such ideal is 0 (using the fact that the  $Gal_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -action on S is nontrivial and  $\ell \neq 2$ ), the map  $S \cdot m \to \mathcal{O} \cdot m$ is injective and hence by dimension reasons (and Lemma 6.6) it must be surjective. This proves that the  $Gal_K$ -action on  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell}$  is trivial. By Lemma 3.14, this even implies that that  $Gal_K$ -action on  $\mathcal{O}$  is trivial. We conclude that the quadratic field K is the endomorphism field of A; hence, A is of  $GL_2$ -type by Lemma 3.4, contradiction.

## 7. Proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3: Eliminating groups of order $2^i 3^j$

Let  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface. By Theorem 1.1, we have  $\#A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} = 2^i 3^j$  for some  $i, j \ge 0$ . Since A has potentially good reduction, local methods show that  $2^i 3^j \le 72$  [CX08, Theorem 1.4]. In this section, we will improve this bound and constrain the group structure of  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  as much as possible using the  $\mathcal{O}$ -action on  $A_{\overline{\mathbb{O}}}$ . We may assume A is not of GL<sub>2</sub>-type since we have already proven Theorem 1.4.

For each prime p, there exists a totally ramified extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$  such that  $A_K$  has good reduction (Lemma 4.1). The special fiber of the Néron model of  $A_K$  is an abelian surface over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  which we denote by  $A_p$ . We call  $A_p$  the good reduction of A at p, though it is only uniquely determined up to twists (since a different choice of totally ramified extension K' would give rise to a possibly non-isomorphic twist of  $A_p$ ).

Lemma 4.13 shows that the prime-to-*p* subgroup of  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  injects into  $A_p(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Moreover, End $(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}) \subset \text{End}(A_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p})$ ; hence,  $A_p$  is  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -isogenous to the square of an elliptic curve  $E/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  by Proposition 4.17, so its isogeny class is rather constrained. This leads to the following slight strengthening of [CX08, Theorem 1.4] in our case:

**Proposition 7.1.** We have  $\#A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} = 2^i 3^j$  for some  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and  $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Moreover,  $\#A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \le 48$ .

*Proof.* By the above remarks, to bound the prime-to-2 (resp. prime-to-3) torsion, it is enough to bound  $X(\mathbb{F}_2)[3^{\infty}]$  (resp.  $X(\mathbb{F}_3)[2^{\infty}]$ ), as X varies over all abelian surfaces over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  (resp.  $\mathbb{F}_3$ ) that are geometrically isogenous to the square of an elliptic curve. For this, it is enough to compute max<sub>X</sub> gcd( $f_X(1), 3^{100}$ ) (resp. max<sub>X</sub> gcd( $f_X(1), 2^{100}$ )), where  $f_X$  is the L-polynomial of X and the maximum is over all the aforementioned isogeny classes. This computation is easily done with the help of the LMFDB's database of isogeny classes of abelian varieties over finite fields [LMF23], and the conclusion is the first sentence of the proposition.

The second sentence is equivalent to the claim that  $\#A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  cannot equal 144 nor 72. We cannot have 144 since  $\#A_5(\mathbb{F}_5) \leq 100$ , and we cannot have 72 since the only isogeny class of abelian surfaces  $X/\mathbb{F}_5$  with 72 |  $\#X(\mathbb{F}_5)$  (which has LMFDB label 2.5.f<sub>q</sub>) is not geometrically isogenous to a square of an elliptic curve.

The remainder of the proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 will be similar (but more difficult) to that of Proposition 7.1, using the good reduction model  $A_p$  at various primes p and the O-action. In what follows, we will freely use the Honda-Tate computations conveniently recorded in the LMFDB [LMF23], so the careful reader will want to follow along in a web browser. We use the LMFDB's method of labeling isogeny classes (e.g., 2.5.d<sub>e</sub> is an isogeny class of abelian surfaces over  $\mathbb{F}_5$  with label  $d_e$ ).

# 7.1. Torsion constraints arising from the endomorphism field

Before analyzing specific groups, we state the following useful proposition, which uses techniques similar to the proof of Theorem 6.1, including the torus trick.

**Proposition 7.2.** Let G be the Galois group of the endomorphism field  $L/\mathbb{Q}$ .

- (a) If  $G \simeq D_3$  or  $D_6$ , then  $A[2](\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . If in addition  $A[2](\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $A[2] \simeq \mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$  as  $\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{O}}$ -modules or  $2 \mid \operatorname{disc}(B)$ .
- (b) If  $G \simeq D_2$  or  $D_4$ , then  $A[3](\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ . If in addition  $A[3](\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $A[3] \simeq \mathcal{O}/3\mathcal{O}$  as  $\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules or  $3 \mid \operatorname{disc}(B)$ .

Proof.

(a) Let S ⊂ O be the distinguished quadratic subring of A (Definition 3.3). By Proposition 3.11, S ≃ Z[ω], where ω<sup>2</sup> + ω + 1 = 0. Let K/Q be the quadratic field trivializing the Galois action on S, so End(A<sub>K</sub>) = S. Let S<sub>2</sub> := S ⊗ F<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> := O ⊗ F<sub>2</sub>. If A[2] ≃ O<sub>2</sub> as Gal<sub>Q</sub>-modules, then A[2](Q) ≃ (O/2O)<sup>Gal<sub>Q</sub></sup> is isomorphic to Z/2Z by Theorem 2.6, so indeed, A[2](Q) ⊂ Z/2Z in this case. We may therefore assume that A[2](Q) ≠ O<sub>2</sub> in what follows. It suffices to show that if there exists a nonzero  $m \in A[2](\mathbb{Q})$ , then  $A[2](\mathbb{Q})$  has order 2. By the classification of  $\mathcal{O}_2$ -submodules of A[2] of §6.1 and the fact that  $\mathcal{O}_2$  is not isomorphic to A[2], the submodule  $\mathcal{O}_2 \cdot m \subset A[2]$  has order 4. Since  $S_2 \simeq \mathbb{F}_4$  has no  $\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -stable nonzero proper ideals, the map  $S_2 \to A[2], x \mapsto x \cdot m$  is injective; hence,  $S_2 \cdot m \subset \mathcal{O}_2 \cdot m$  has order 4 too. Therefore,  $S_2 \cdot m = \mathcal{O}_2 \cdot m$ . Suppose first that  $2 \nmid \operatorname{disc}(B)$ . We can then apply Lemma 6.9 to conclude that  $\operatorname{Gal}_K$  acts trivially on  $\mathcal{O}_2$ . Since  $\operatorname{Gal}_K$  acts on  $\mathcal{O}_2$  through  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/K) \simeq C_3$  or  $C_6$ , this contradicts Lemma 3.14 and proves the second claim of (a). It remains to consider the case  $2 \mid \operatorname{disc}(B)$ . In that case, there exists a unique proper nonzero left ideal J of  $\mathcal{O}_2$ , and A[J] is the unique nonzero proper  $\mathcal{O}_2$ -submodule of A[2] (Lemma 6.3). It follows that  $S_2 \cdot m = \mathcal{O}_2 \cdot m = A[J]$ . Since  $A[2] \neq \mathcal{O}_2$  as  $\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules, no element of  $A[2](\mathbb{Q})$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_2$ -generator, so  $A[2](\mathbb{Q}) = A[J](\mathbb{Q})$ . However, the equality  $S_2 \cdot m = A[J]$  shows that  $S_2 \simeq A[J]$  as  $\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules. Since  $\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  acts nontrivially on  $S_2 = \mathbb{F}_4$ ,  $A[J](\mathbb{Q}) = A[2](\mathbb{Q})$  has order 2.

(b) The argument is very similar to the proof of (a), using that in the D<sub>4</sub> case, the distinguished quadratic subring Z[i] is unramified at 3. In the D<sub>2</sub> case, the distinguished quadratic subring might be ramified at 3, but by Lemma 2.3, there exist three squarefree integers m, n, t and embeddings of Z[√m], Z[√n] and Z[√l] into Gal<sub>Q</sub> whose image is Gal<sub>Q</sub>-stable. Since t = -mn up to squares, at least one of these three subrings is unramified at 3, and the argument of (a) can be carried out using this subring.

# 7.2. Groups of order 48

**Lemma 7.3.** Let *E* be an elliptic curve over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ , and assume either that *E* is ordinary or that n = 1. Then any abelian surface  $X/\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  isogenous to  $E^2$  is isomorphic to a product of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\pi \in \text{End}(E)$  be the Frobenius. Replacing *E* by an isogenous elliptic curve, we may assume that  $\text{End}(E) = \mathbb{Z}[\pi]$  [JKP18, §7.2-7.3]. By [JKP18, Theorem 1.1], the functor  $X \mapsto \text{Hom}(X, E)$  is an equivalence between the category of abelian varieties isogenous to a power of *E* and isomorphism classes of finitely generated torsion-free End(E)-modules. Since End(E) is an order in a quadratic field, any finitely generated torsion-free End(E)-module is a direct sum of rank 1 modules [JKP18, Theorem 3.2], so the lemma follows.

**Lemma 7.4.** If  $G \subset A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  is a subgroup of order 16, then G is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^2$  or  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* There is a unique isogeny class of abelian surfaces *X* over  $\mathbb{F}_3$  with 16 |  $\#X(\mathbb{F}_3)$  – namely, the square of the elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{F}_3$  with  $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(E) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$  and  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_3) = 4$ . By Lemma 7.3,  $A_p$  is isomorphic to a product of two elliptic curves both of which have four  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -rational points. Since such an elliptic curve has its group of  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -points isomorphic to either  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$  or  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ ,  $A_p(\mathbb{F}_3)$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^2$  or  $(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$  or  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$ . By Proposition 5.8, the latter cannot happen. The lemma now follows since  $A(\mathbb{Q})[16] \hookrightarrow A_p(\mathbb{F}_3)$ .

# **Proposition 7.5.** $#A(\mathbb{Q})_{tors} < 48.$

*Proof.* By Proposition 7.1, it is enough to show that  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \neq 48$ . Assume for the sake of contradiction that  $\#A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} = 48$ . The reduction  $A_5/\mathbb{F}_5$  must then be in the isogeny class  $2.5.d_e$ . We see that  $\operatorname{End}^0((A_p)_{\mathbb{F}_{5^n}})$  contains a quaternion algebra if and only if 3 divides *n*. Therefore, the Galois group of the endomorphism field of *A* has order divisible by 3, and so by Proposition 3.3 must be  $D_3$  or  $D_6$ . Proposition 7.2 then implies  $A[2](\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , contradicting the fact that  $A[2](\mathbb{Q})$  has size  $\geq 4$  (Lemma 7.4).

# 7.3. Groups of order 36

**Lemma 7.6.** If 36  $| #A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ , then  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z})^2$ .

*Proof.* Over  $\mathbb{F}_5$  there is exactly one isogeny class of abelian surface X with 36 |  $\#X(\mathbb{F}_5)$  and whose geometric endomorphism algebra contains a quaternion algebra – namely,  $2.5.a_k$  – which is isogenous to the square of an elliptic curve. Thus, the reduction  $A_5$  is isomorphic to a product of two elliptic curves (Lemma 7.3). Every elliptic curve in this isogeny class has  $E(\mathbb{F}_5) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ ; hence,  $A_5(\mathbb{F}_5) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z})^2$ .

# **Proposition 7.7.** $#A(\mathbb{Q})_{tors} < 36.$

*Proof.* By Proposition 7.5 and Proposition 7.1, it is enough to show that  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  does not have order 36. By Lemma 7.6, such an A would have  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z})^2$ . By Proposition 7.2, A cannot have endomorphism field  $D_n$  for every  $n \in \{2, 3, 4, 6\}$ , so A has  $GL_2$ -type, which we have also already ruled out.

It follows that  $#A(\mathbb{Q})_{tors} \le 24$ . Before we show that this inequality is strict, we rule out the existence of rational points of order 9 and 8.

# 7.4. Rational points of order 9

# **Proposition 7.8.** $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ contains no elements of order 9.

*Proof.* Suppose  $A(\mathbb{Q})$  has a point of order 9. Then the reduction  $A_2/\mathbb{F}_2$  must live in the isogeny class 2.2.a<sub>e</sub> or 2.2.b<sub>b</sub>. The latter has commutative geometric endomorphism algebra and so cannot be the reduction of a  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface by Proposition 4.17. The former is the isogeny class of the square of an elliptic curve *E* over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  with  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_2) = 3$ , so by Lemma 7.3, we have  $A_2(\mathbb{F}_2) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$ .

# 7.5. Rational points of order 8

## **Proposition 7.9.** $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ contains no elements of order 8.

*Proof.* Suppose otherwise. The reduction  $A_3/\mathbb{F}_3$  must be in the isogeny class  $2.3.a_{ac}$ , which is simple with endomorphism algebra  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{-2})$ . (It cannot be in the isogeny class  $2.3.a_g$  by the proof of Lemma 7.4.) Since  $\#A_3(\mathbb{F}_3) = 8$ , we must have  $A_3(\mathbb{F}_3) = \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$ . This eliminates the possibility that  $A(\mathbb{Q})$  contains a prime-to-3 subgroup any larger than  $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$ . Note also that  $\#A_3(\mathbb{F}_9) = 64$  and A is isomorphic to a product of ordinary elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{F}_9$  by Lemma 7.3, at least one of which has  $E(\mathbb{F}_9) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$ . It follows that the  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -dimension of  $A_3[2](\mathbb{F}_9)$  is at most 3, and in particular, not all 2-torsion points are defined over  $\mathbb{F}_9$ . However, all endomorphisms of  $(A_3)_{\mathbb{F}_3}$  are defined over  $\mathbb{F}_9$ , so we conclude by Lemmas 6.2 and 6.3 that the  $\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$ -module generated by any  $\mathbb{F}_9$ -rational point of order 2 has order 4.

Suppose first that 2 divides disc(*B*). Then the aforementioned  $\mathcal{O}$ -module must be A[J], where *J* is the ideal in  $\mathcal{O}$  such that  $J^2 = 2\mathcal{O}$  (see §6.1). Let  $t \in J$  be any element not in  $2\mathcal{O}$ . Then over  $\mathbb{F}_9$ , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to A_3[J] \to A_3[2] \to A_3[J] \to 0$$

with the last map being multiplication by t. Let  $P \in A_3[4](\mathbb{F}_9)$  be a point of order 4. Without loss of generality, we may assume Q = tP has order 2 (if not, just replace P by tP) and  $Q \notin A_3[J]$ . Then we have seen that  $\mathcal{O} \cdot Q \neq A_3[2]$ , so  $\mathcal{O} \cdot Q = A_3[J]$ , but this contradicts  $Q \notin A_3[J]$ .

Now suppose that 2 does not divide disc(*B*) so that  $\mathcal{O} \simeq \operatorname{Mat}_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ . Let  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  be the endomorphism field. If  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq D_2$ , then at least one of the quadratic subfields of *L* is not inert at 3. So  $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_3}(A_3)$ must contain a quadratic order S in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  or  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$  or in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$ . But we saw in Lemma 2.2 that *S* contains  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{m}]$  with *m* squarefree. So S is  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  or  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$  or  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$ . In all cases, there exists  $t \in S$  such that  $t^2S = 2S$ , and so we have an endomorphism (defined over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ ) which behaves like  $\sqrt{2}$  on  $A_3[2]$ . But we also have a rational point *P* of order 4. Without loss of generality, the orders of tP and  $t^2P$  are both 2. But  $t^2P \neq tP$ , so dim $\mathbb{F}_2 A_3[2](\mathbb{F}_3) > 1$ , which contradicts  $A_3(\mathbb{F}_3) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$ . The case  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) = D_4$  does not happen when disc(*B*) is odd by Lemma 2.4, so we consider the case where Gal( $L/\mathbb{Q}$ ) is  $D_3$  or  $D_6$ . By Proposition 7.2(a),  $A[2] \simeq \mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$  as Gal<sub>Q</sub>-modules. But then  $A_3[2] \simeq \mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$  as Gal<sub>F3</sub>-modules, contradicting the fact that  $A_3[2](\mathbb{F}_3)$  contains no  $\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$ -generator.

We are left to consider the case  $Gal(L/\mathbb{Q}) = D_1 = C_2$  (i.e., the GL<sub>2</sub>-type case), which we have already treated in Proposition 5.13.

## 7.6. Groups of order 24

If  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  has order 24, then by Proposition 7.9, the group structure is either  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3 \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ . We show below that in fact neither can occur. First, we gather some facts common to both cases.

**Lemma 7.10.** Suppose  $#A(\mathbb{Q})_{tors} = 24$ , and let  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  be the endomorphism field of A. Then

(a)  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  is isomorphic to  $D_2$  or  $D_4$ ,

(b)  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3) \subset L$ , and

(c) if  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \neq D_4$  then A has unipotent rank 1 over  $\mathbb{Q}_3$  (in the terminology of §4.1).

*Proof.* Since A is not of GL<sub>2</sub>-type, Proposition 7.2 implies that  $Gal(L/\mathbb{Q})$  is isomorphic to  $D_2$  or  $D_4$ , proving (a).

Checking isogeny classes over  $\mathbb{F}_5$ , we see that the reduction  $A_5$  is in the isogeny class  $2.5a_{ac}$ ; the isogeny class  $2.5d_e$  is ruled out since it only acquires QM over  $\mathbb{F}_{5^3}$ , which is not compatible with (*a*). The fact that  $\#A_5(\mathbb{F}_{25})[3^{\infty}] = 9$  shows that the point of order 3 in  $A(\mathbb{Q})$  is not an  $\mathcal{O}$ -module generator of A[3] (since the  $\mathcal{O}$ -action on  $A_5$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_{25}$ ). By Proposition 7.2, we deduce that the quaternion algebra *B* is ramified at 3. Since  $A[3](\mathbb{Q})$  has a rational point, it follows from Theorem 6.7 that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3) \subset L$ , proving (*b*).

Since 3 ramifies in *L*, *A* has bad reduction over  $\mathbb{Q}_3$  by Proposition 3.6. If  $A[2](\mathbb{Q}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ , then *A* achieves good reduction over every ramified quadratic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_3$  by Proposition 5.15. If  $A/\mathbb{Q}_3$  has totally additive reduction, then the quadratic twist of *A* by  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ , say, will have good reduction at 3 by Lemma 4.9. But quadratic twisting does not change the endomorphism field by Lemma 3.7, so any quadratic twist of *A* must have endomorphism field which contains  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$  and hence must have bad reduction at 3. We conclude that *A* must have unipotent rank 1 over  $\mathbb{Q}_3$  by Proposition 4.2.

If  $A[2](\mathbb{Q}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$  and  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \neq D_4$ , then  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq D_2$  and so  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  is a biquadratic field containing  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$ . It follows that *A* has all of its endomorphisms defined over  $\mathbb{Q}_3^{\operatorname{nr}}(\zeta_3)$ . If *A* still has bad reduction over  $\mathbb{Q}_3(\zeta_3)$ , then it must have totally additive bad reduction (since it has QM after enlarging the residue field) by Proposition 4.2, and we obtain a contradiction with Proposition 4.12 and the fact that *A* has a point of order 4. Thus, *A* attains good reduction over  $\mathbb{Q}_3(\zeta_3)$ , and arguing as above, we conclude that *A* has unipotent rank 1 over  $\mathbb{Q}_3$ .

# **Proposition 7.11.** $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \neq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3 \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Assume otherwise, for the sake of contradiction. Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 5.14 show that the endomorphism field  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  has Galois group  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq D_2$ .

First, assume there exists a prime p > 3 of bad reduction for A. By Theorem 4.11, A must have unipotent rank 1 over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and hence, p must ramify in L by Proposition 4.2. Next, recall that there are three Gal<sub>Q</sub>-stable quadratic subfields of B, one of which is imaginary. Let  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$  and  $L_3$  be the corresponding quadratic subfields of L, labeled so that  $B^{\text{Gal}_{L_1}}$  is imaginary quadratic. Since L is biquadratic, exactly one of the  $L_i$  must be unramified over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Since A has unipotent rank 1, it must be  $L_1$  (by Proposition 4.2). But by Lemma 7.10(b), we have  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3) \subset L$ , and  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$  is also unramified at p, so  $L_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ . Now,  $A/\mathbb{Q}_3$  has unipotent rank 1 by Lemma 7.10(c). As above, Proposition 4.2 implies that the unique sub-extension  $L_i$  unramified at 3 must be  $L_1$ . This contradicts  $L_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ .

Thus, it remains to consider the possibility that A has good reduction outside  $\{2, 3\}$ . This forces the endomorphism field to be unramified outside  $\{2, 3\}$ . Moreover, A has unipotent rank 1 reduction over  $\mathbb{Q}_3$ ,

so *L* must contain an imaginary quadratic subfield that is unramified at 3. Hence, *L* is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}, i)$  or  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}, \sqrt{-2})$ . We also know that  $B^{\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})}}$  is a real quadratic field, and  $L_1$  is either  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  or  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ .

Over  $\mathbb{F}_7$ , there are two possible isogeny classes:  $2.7a_{ac}$  and  $2.7i_{be}$ . Since 7 is inert in  $L_1$ , L does not split completely at 7. The isogeny class is therefore not  $2.7i_{be}$  since all its endomorphisms are defined over  $\mathbb{F}_7$ ; hence, the isogeny class is  $2.7a_{ac}$ . Thus,  $\operatorname{End}^0(A_7) \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}) \times \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ . Since 7 splits in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ , we see that  $B^{\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\sqrt{-3})} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ , which shows that  $L_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ , contradicting what was said above.

## **Proposition 7.12.** $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \neq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}).$

*Proof.* Assume otherwise, for the sake of contradiction. We separate cases according to the size of  $G = \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ . First suppose  $G \simeq D_4$ , so that the distinguished subring *S* of Definition §3.3 is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Then  $2 \mid \text{disc}(B)$  by Lemma 2.4. Since *B* is ramified at 2 and 3 and  $A(\mathbb{Q})$  contains points of order 4 and 3, we see that *L* contains both  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$ , by Theorem 6.7. Over one of these two quadratic subfields, the  $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -action on  $S = \mathbb{Z}[i]$  trivializes. Indeed, the  $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -action on  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  cannot be trivialized by the third quadratic subfield  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$  of *L*, by Proposition 3.2. Looking over  $\mathbb{F}_5$ , we see that  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  could only trivialize a ring isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$ . Looking over  $\mathbb{F}_7$ , we see that  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$  could only trivialize a ring isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$ . So neither trivialize  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , and we have a contradiction.

So we may now assume that  $G \simeq D_2$ . Arguing as above, we may also assume that L does not contain  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ . We know A has unipotent rank 1 reduction over  $\mathbb{Q}_3$  by Lemma 7.10(c). It also has unipotent rank 1 reduction at all bad primes p > 3, by Theorem 4.11. By Proposition 4.2, the imaginary quadratic subfield  $L_1 \subset L$  that trivializes the distinguished imaginary quadratic subring of  $\mathcal{O}$  is unramified outside {2}. Since  $L_1 \neq \mathbb{Q}(i)$ , we must have  $L_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ , but this field does not embed in B (which is ramified at 3), giving a contradiction.

As a corollary, we are now able to finish the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Propositions 7.9, 7.11 and 7.12 show that  $#A(\mathbb{Q})_{tors} < 24$ . Hence,  $#A(\mathbb{Q})_{tors} \le 18$ .

By the results of this section and the previous one, the group  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  has order  $2^i 3^j \leq 18$  and does not contain any subgroup of the form  $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$ , or  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$ . We deduce the following result, which is equivalent to Theorem 1.3.

**Theorem 7.13.** Let  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  be an abelian surface such that  $\operatorname{End}(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  is a maximal order in a non-split quaternion algebra. Then  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\operatorname{tors}} = A[12](\mathbb{Q})$  and  $\#A(\mathbb{Q})_{\operatorname{tors}} \leq 18$ . Moreover,  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\operatorname{tors}}$  does not contain a subgroup isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$ . In other words,  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{\operatorname{tors}}$  is isomorphic to one of the groups

$$\begin{aligned} \{1\}, \mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/3, \mathbb{Z}/4, (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2, \mathbb{Z}/6, (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2, \\ \mathbb{Z}/12, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}, (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^2, \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Not all of the groups above are known to be realized as  $A(\mathbb{Q})_{tors}$  for some  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surface  $A/\mathbb{Q}$ . However, all groups that have been realized (including the largest one of order 18) have been realized in the family of bielliptic Picard Prym surfaces [LS23]. It would be interesting to systematically analyze rational points on Shimura curves of small discriminant and with small level structure, to try to find more examples. It would also be interesting to see which groups can be realized by Jacobians, which is the topic we turn to next.

## 8. Proof of Theorem 1.6: PQM Jacobians

In this section, we consider  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM surfaces  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  equipped with a principal polarization. Since A is geometrically simple, there exists an isomorphism of polarized surfaces  $A \simeq \text{Jac}(C)$ , where C is a smooth projective genus two curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  [Sek82, Theorem 3.1]. To emphasize this, we use the letter J

| $J(\mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{tors}}$ | D  | $\operatorname{End}(J)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ | $J = \operatorname{Jac}(C : y^2 = f(x))$   |
|---------------------------------|----|--------------------------------------|--|
| $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$      | 10 | Q                                    | $y^2 = -145855x^6 - 729275x^5 + 2187825x^3 - 1312695x$                             |
| $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$    | 6  | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$               | $y^2 = -180x^6 - 159x^5 + 894x^4 + 1691x^3 + 246x^2 - 672x + 80$                   |
| $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$      | 15 | Q                                    | $y^2 = 17095x^6 + 345930x^5 + 602160x^4 + 234260x^3 - 43680x^2 - 540930x - 634465$ |
| $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$    | 6  | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$               | $y^2 = -15x^6 - 270x^5 + 315x^4 - 270x^3 - 45x^2 + 270x + 105$                     |
| $(\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z})$      | 6  | Q                                    | $y^2 = 5x^6 + 21x^5 - 63x^4 - 49x^3 + 294x^2 - 343$                                |

*Table 2.*  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM *Jacobians J* / $\mathbb{Q}$  *with torsion* 

instead of A. The goal of this section to prove some additional constraints on the torsion group  $J(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  (i.e., we prove Theorem 1.6).

**Lemma 8.1.** Let *M* be the imaginary quadratic subfield of  $\operatorname{End}^0(J_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  corresponding to a principal polarization on *J* under Corollary 3.10. Then  $M \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ , where  $D = \operatorname{disc}(B)$ .

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of the relation (3.2) of Proposition 3.8.

**Lemma 8.2.** The endomorphism field  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  has Galois group  $D_1 = C_2$  or  $D_2 = C_2 \times C_2$ .

Proof. See [DR04, Theorem 3.4 A(1)].

**Proposition 8.3.**  $#J(\mathbb{Q})_{tors} < 18.$ 

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.3, we need only exclude  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$ . By Proposition 7.2(b) and Lemma 8.2, the endomorphism field of *J* would be a *C*<sub>2</sub>-extension. In other words, *J* is of GL<sub>2</sub>-type, but this contradicts Theorem 1.4.

Finally, we rule out the group  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$  from appearing in  $J[2](\mathbb{Q})$ . We have already proven this when *J* is of GL<sub>2</sub>-type (Proposition 5.16), so it remains to consider the case Gal $(L/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq C_2 \times C_2$ . We deduce this from the following more general result.

**Proposition 8.4.** Suppose that  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -PQM, has  $C_2 \times C_2$  endomorphism field and has  $A[2](\mathbb{Q}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ . Let *d* be the degree of the unique primitive polarization of *A*. Then 2 | disc(*B*), and there exists an integer  $m \equiv 1 \mod 4$  such that disc(*B*) and dm agree up to squares. In particular, *d* is even and *A* is not a Jacobian.

*Proof.* Let  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  be the endomorphism field of A with Galois group G. By Lemma 5.14, there exists a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rational  $\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$ -generator  $P \in A[2](\mathbb{Q})$ ; hence,  $A[2] \simeq \mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$  as  $\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules. Therefore, the *G*-action on  $\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$  has  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$  fixed points. By Lemma 2.10,  $2 \mid \operatorname{disc}(B)$  and there exist positive integers m, n with  $m \equiv 1 \mod 4$  and  $n \equiv 3 \mod 4$  such that the three  $\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -stable quadratic subfields of *B* are  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{mn})$ . Under Corollary 3.10, the unique primitive polarization of *A* corresponds to the subfield  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$ , and the relation (3.2) of Proposition 3.8 shows that  $d\operatorname{disc}(B)$  and *m* agree up to squares. In other words,  $\operatorname{disc}(B)$  and dm agree up to squares. Since  $\operatorname{disc}(B)$  is even and squarefree and *m* is odd, *d* must be even too.

*Proof of Theorem 1.6.* Combine Theorem 1.3 and Propositions 8.3 and 8.4.

In Table 2, we give some examples of Jacobians with nontrivial torsion subgroups and  $\mathcal{O}_D$ -PQM, where  $\mathcal{O}_D$  is a maximal quaternion order of discriminant D. These were found by computing the relevant covers of Shimura curves of level 1 and their full Atkin-Lehner quotients and then substituting into the Igusa-Clebsch invariants in [LY20, Appendix B]. The torsion and endomorphism data can be independently verified using MAGMA.<sup>3</sup>

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Davide Lombardo for interesting discussions related to this project and the anonymous referee for their careful reading of the paper.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>https://github.com/ciaran-schembri/QM-Mazur

Competing interests. The authors have no competing interest to declare.

**Funding Statement.** Schembri and Voight were supported by a Simons Collaboration Grant (550029, to JV). Shnidman was funded by the European Research Council (ERC, CurveArithmetic, 101078157).

Data Availability Statement. Code can be found in the GitHub repository: https://github.com/ciaran-schembri/QM-Mazur.

#### References

- [BBB21] A. J. Best, J. Bober, A. R. Booker, E. Costa, J. E. Cremona, M. Derickx, M. Lee, D. Lowry-Duda, D. Roe, A. V. Sutherland and J. Voight, 'Computing classical modular forms', in *Arithmetic Geometry, Number Theory, and Computation (Simons Symp.)* (Springer, Cham, 2021), 131–213.
- [BG08] S. Baba and H. Granath, 'Genus 2 curves with quaternionic multiplication', Canad. J. Math. 60(4) (2008), 734–757.
- [BK94] A. Brumer and K. Kramer, 'The conductor of an abelian variety', Compos. Math. 92(2) (1994), 227-248.
- [BL04] C. Birkenhake and H. Lange, *Complex Abelian Varieties* (Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]) vol. 302, second edn. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004).
- [Car86] H. Carayol, 'Sur les représentations *l*-adiques associées aux formes modulaires de Hilbert', Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 19(3) (1986), 409–468.
- [CF00] T. Chinburg and E. Friedman, 'The finite subgroups of maximal arithmetic Kleinian groups', Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **50**(6) (2001), 1765–1798.
- [Cla03] P. L. Clark, 'Rational points on Atkin-Lehner quotients of Shimura curves', PhD thesis, Harvard University, 2003.
- [Cre92] J. E. Cremona, 'Abelian varieties with extra twist, cusp forms, and elliptic curves over imaginary quadratic fields', J. London Math. Soc. (2) 45(3) (1992), 404–416.
- [CX08] P. L. Clark and X. Xarles, 'Local bounds for torsion points on abelian varieties', Canad. J. Math. 60(3) (2008), 532–555.
- [DR04] L. V. Dieulefait and V. Rotger, 'The arithmetic of QM-abelian surfaces through their Galois representations', *J. Algebra* **281**(1) (2004), 124–143.
- [ELL96] B. Edixhoven, Q. Liu and D. Lorenzini, 'The *p*-part of the group of components of a Néron model', J. Algebraic Geom. 5(4) (1996), 801–813.
- [GG09] J. González and J. Guàrdia, 'Genus two curves with quaternionic multiplication and modular Jacobian', Math. Comp. 78(265) (2009), 575–589.
- [GK17] R. Guralnick and K. S. Kedlaya, 'Endomorphism fields of abelian varieties', Res. Number Theory 3(Paper No. 22) (2017), 10.
- [JKP18] B. W. Jordan, A. G. Keeton, B. Poonen, E. M. Rains, N. Shepherd-Barron and J. T. Tate, 'Abelian varieties isogenous to a power of an elliptic curve', *Compos. Math.* 154(5) (2018), 934–959.
- [JM94] B. W. Jordan and D. R. Morrison, 'On the Néron models of abelian surfaces with quaternionic multiplication', J. Reine Angew. Math. 447 (1994), 1–22.
- [Jor86] B. W. Jordan, 'Points on Shimura curves rational over number fields', J. Reine Angew. Math. 371 (1986), 92-114.
- [Kub76] D. S. Kubert, 'Universal bounds on the torsion of elliptic curves', Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 33(2) (1976), 193–237.
- [KW09] C. Khare and J.-P. Wintenberger, 'Serre's modularity conjecture. I', Invent. Math. 178(3) (2009), 485–504.
- [Lan83] S. Lang, Complex Multiplication (Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]) vol. 255 (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983).
- [LMF23] The LMFDB Collaboration, 'The L-functions and modular forms database', https://www.lmfdb.org, 2023. [Online; accessed 21 February 2023].
- [Lor93] D. J. Lorenzini, 'On the group of components of a Néron model', J. Reine Angew. Math. 445 (1993), 109-160.
- [LS23] J. Laga and A. Shnidman, 'The geometry and arithmetic of bielliptic Picard curves', Preprint, 2023.
- [LY20] Y.-H. Lin and Y. Yang, 'Quaternionic loci in Siegel's modular threefold', Math. Z. 295(1-2) (2020), 775-819.
- [Maz77] B. Mazur, 'Modular curves and the Eisenstein ideal', Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 47 (1978), 33–186. With an appendix by Mazur and M. Rapoport.
- [Min87] H. Minkowski, 'Zur Theorie der positiven quadratischen Formen', J. Reine Angew. Math. 101 (1887), 196–202.
- [Oht74] M. Ohta, 'On *l*-adic representations of Galois groups obtained from certain two-dimensional abelian varieties', J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 21 (1974), 299–308.
- [Rib76] K. A. Ribet, 'Galois action on division points of Abelian varieties with real multiplications', Amer. J. Math. 98(3) (1976), 751–804.
- [Rib04] K. A. Ribet, 'Abelian varieties over Q and modular forms', in Modular Curves and Abelian Varieties (Progr. Math.) vol. 224 (Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004), 241–261.
- [Rot08] V. Rotger, 'Which quaternion algebras act on a modular abelian variety?', Math. Res. Lett. 15(2) (2008), 251-263.
- [Sch03] R. Schoof, 'Abelian varieties over cyclotomic fields with good reduction everywhere', *Math. Ann.* **325**(3) (2003), 413–448.
- [Sek82] T. Sekiguchi, 'On the fields of rationality for curves and for their Jacobian varieties', *Nagoya Math. J.* 88 (1982), 197–212.

- [Ser87] J.-P. Serre, 'Sur les représentations modulaires de degré 2 de Gal $(\overline{Q}/Q)$ ', Duke Math. J. 54(1) (1987), 179–230.
- [Sil89] R. Silhol, Real Algebraic Surfaces (Lecture Notes in Mathematics) vol. 1392 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989).
- [Sil92] A. Silverberg, 'Fields of definition for homomorphisms of abelian varieties', J. Pure Appl. Algebra 77(3) (1992), 253–262.
- [ST68] J.-P. Serre and J. Tate, 'Good reduction of abelian varieties', Ann. of Math. (2) 88 (1968), 492–517.
- [Ste07] W. Stein, Modular Forms, a Computational Approach (Graduate Studies in Mathematics) vol. 79 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007). With an appendix by Paul E. Gunnells.
- [SZ95] A. Silverberg and Y. G. Zarhin, 'Semistable reduction and torsion subgroups of abelian varieties', Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 45(2) (1995), 403–420.
- [Voi21] J. Voight, Quaternion Algebras (Graduate Texts in Mathematics) vol. 288 (Springer, Cham, 2021).