

drug-induced prolongation of QT intervals with little risk of arrhythmia (Day *et al*, 1990). This suggests that QTc prolongation in itself is not necessarily a good indicator of risk for sudden death.

QTc dispersion is an ECG-derived measure of the difference between the longest and the shortest QTc interval on the 12-lead ECG (Slyven *et al*, 1984). While a degree of variability between leads is normal, increased QTc dispersion is an indication of more extreme variability in ventricular repolarisation. Such extreme variability could be regarded as an index of the risk of arrhythmia. QTc dispersion is gaining recognition as a predictor of sudden cardiac death in conditions as varied as idiopathic long QT syndromes (Jervell-Lange-Nielsen syndrome and Romano-Ward syndrome), diabetes mellitus, peripheral vascular disease, congestive heart failure and coronary artery disease (Campbell, 1996). Measurements taken years beforehand correlate with a patient's risk of sudden death. Warner *et al* (1996) measured QT dispersion in their in-patient survey but could not find a significant association between larger QT dispersion and antipsychotic doses in excess of 2000 mg chlorpromazine equivalent per day. (It should be noted that only 16 of their patients were in this group.) As sudden death is relatively infrequent in a population on high-dose antipsychotics, measurement of QTc dispersion may give a clearer indication of which patients are at greater risk than reliance on QTc prolongation alone.

Campbell, R. (1996) Commentary: QT dispersion may reflect vulnerability to ventricular fibrillation. *British Medical Journal*, **312**, 878–879.

Day, C. P., McComb, J. M. & Campbell, R. W. F. (1990) QT dispersion: an indication of arrhythmia risk in patients with long QT intervals. *British Heart Journal*, **63**, 342–344.

Slyven, J. C., Horacek, B. M., Spencer, C. A., et al (1984) QT interval variability on the body surface. *Journal of Electrocardiology*, **17**, 179–188.

Thompson, C. (1994) The use of high-dose antipsychotic medication. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **164**, 448–458.

Warner, J. P., Barnes, T. R. E. & Henry, J. A. (1996) Electrocardiographic changes in patients receiving neuroleptic medication. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, **93**, 311–313.

J. M. Barber Department of Psychiatry, Southern General Hospital, 1345 Govan Road, Glasgow G51 4TF

Ethnicity and clozapine metabolism

Sir: Chong & Remington (1998) have pointed out the very important influence of ethnicity on clozapine metabolism. In a recently held National Psychiatric Conference in Pakistan, a number of psychiatrists suggested that in their clinical experience their patients responded to relatively smaller doses of clozapine. This may be due to the fact that our patients attain higher plasma levels at relatively lower doses, as suggested by Chang *et al* (1993) and Chong *et al* (1997). These findings have serious implications about side-effects such as seizures, drowsiness and weight gain, etc., which are dose-related. The cost factor is equally important as in most developing countries the patients and their families have to bear the cost. If these findings can be supported by more empirical data, a costly drug such as clozapine could be made available to a larger number of patients.

However, this problem cannot be addressed by comparative studies between various ethnic groups, as suggested by Chong & Remington (1998). These studies are needed to highlight the differences pointed out by the authors, but the real need is to study the pharmacokinetics of a new drug in a particular population before recommending therapeutic doses or plasma levels in that population. It may prove very difficult, if not impossible, to get proper controls for such cross-ethnic studies in view both of differences in individual variables (e.g. body weight, height, etc.) inherent in various ethnic groups, and other extraneous factors (e.g. environmental temperature affecting body and drug metabolism). At present, dosages and plasma levels for monitoring drugs such as lithium are thought to be universally similar, mostly on the basis of data obtained in European and American populations. Professionals working in populations which have different biological parameters (which can affect drug metabolism differently) have a responsibility to ask the pharmaceutical industry to provide relevant information before experimenting with these drugs in human subjects.

Chang, W. H., Cheln, C. P., Lin, S. K., et al (1993) Elevated clozapine concentrations in Chinese patients. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, **9**, 1175–1185.

Chong, S. A. & Remington, G. (1998) Ethnicity and clozapine metabolism (letter). *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **172**, 97.

—, **Tan, C. H., Khoo, Y. H., et al (1997)** Clinical evaluation and plasma clozapine concentrations in Chinese patients with schizophrenia. *Therapeutic Drug Monitoring*, **19**, 219–223.

S. Farooq Department of Psychiatry, Postgraduate Medical Institute, Lady Reading Hospital, Peshawar, Pakistan

Comprehensiveness of systematic review

Sir: Adams *et al* (1998) are right to take us to task for not describing our literature search parameters as clearly as we should (Lawrie & Abukmeil, 1998), but are wrong to suggest that our search strategy would have missed a sizeable number of articles of considerable or direct interest.

For the record, we searched the October 1996 Silver Platter edition of Medline using the search terms 'MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING/all subheadings' and 'SCHIZOPHRENIA/all subheadings'. Articles of potential interest were then examined for suitability. As we stated (Lawrie & Abukmeil, 1998) those articles giving complete or near-complete raw volumes of one or more brain regions were included, while area studies and volumetric studies of the corpus callosum and basal ganglia were excluded as were a large number of irrelevant papers (see below). In other words, as we stated, "40 relevant volumetric MRI studies were identified" – not 40 studies in total. To demonstrate, a search on current Ovid software using our search strategy identified 333 potentially relevant studies published by June 1996, of which only 36 met inclusion criteria. The rest reported: areas (32), irrelevant volumes (22), findings in children (2) or the elderly (7), qualitative appearances (23), magnetic resonance spectroscopy (12), functional imaging (11), methodological issues (11), conference abstracts (10), uncontrolled studies/case reports (36), letters (22), review articles (51) and other matters (58).

It is far from clear that a more sophisticated search would be worth the extra effort. Adams *et al* allude to possible Medline publication bias through language, but our review included seven papers from German, Italian and Japanese research groups who had reported in English. It would, however, be interesting to establish whether a more elaborate search would identify more papers and perhaps even alter the results of our review for a pre-specified

region (e.g. the left amygdalo-hippocampus). Dr Adams and I have therefore agreed to do this. If more than five additional studies are found, then I will give Clive Adams a bottle of Glendronach malt whisky; if fewer than five, I get a bottle. We will let the journal editors know the outcome of our efforts with a view to updating readers.

Adams, C. E., Thornley, B. & Jay, C. (1998) Systematic does not necessarily mean comprehensive (letter). *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **172**, 450–451.

Lawrie, S. M. & Abukmeil, S. S. (1998) Brain abnormality in schizophrenia. A systematic and qualitative review of volumetric magnetic resonance imaging studies. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **172**, 110–120.

S. M. Lawrie Edinburgh University Department of Psychiatry, Royal Edinburgh Hospital, Edinburgh EH10 5HF

Qualitative research – a rejoinder

Sir: In a valuable editorial, Buston *et al* (1998) make the case for qualitative research in psychiatry, the approach they advocate being in essence that of the field-working sociologist or anthropologist. However, qualitative research is not restricted, as they assume, to the phenomena of perceived meaning. Charles Darwin's inquiries on the Galápagos Islands (his observations, for example, of the Islands' finches) were by any standards qualitative. Psychiatry, too, in common with other human sciences, suffers methodological awkwardnesses which the authors do not make explicit. One is especially pressing: it is unclear under what circumstances, if any, claims can rationally be made about interactions between variables within an individual's life on the strength of evidence about interactions between variables within samples or groups.

A descriptive or statistical analysis of the properties Darwin's 13 kinds of finch had in common would have represented their idiosyncracies – the detailed differences on which a momentous advance in biological theory was to depend – as noise within a classificatory system.

How, then, is psychiatry to be distinguished from journalism or false science?

Our contention is that the answer lies in a heuristic 'logic'. This is 'conversational', and has the properties of an argument or debate. Within it, psychiatry grows, as particle physics grows, from rejoinders which take the form "Yes, but . . ."; from the challenge implicit in anomalies and exceptions to the currently agreed rule.

Where samples are necessary, these can of course be built up quite quickly by means of the detailed study of one patient at a time. There are advantages, too, in samples which are large enough to permit the development of new devices – the open-ended Relationship Episode Questionnaire (Hale & Hudson, 1992), for example – but small enough to allow each individual to be seen in the round. The preeminent advantage of small- to medium-sized samples is that they allow the two sorts of evidence – about interactions within samples and interactions within individuals – to answer one another back.

Buston, K., Parry-Jones, W., Livingston, M., et al (1998) Qualitative research. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **172**, 197–199.

Hale, R. & Hudson, L. (1992) The Tavistock Study of Young Doctors. *British Journal of Hospital Medicine*, **47**, 452–464.

L. Hudson, R. Hale Portman Clinic, Tavistock and Portman Clinics NHS Trust, 8 Fitzjohns Avenue, London NW3 5NA

Active placebos in antidepressant trials

Sir: We would like to respond to some of the issues raised in Healy's (1998) commentary on our meta-analysis of antidepressant trials using active placebos (Moncrieff *et al*, 1998). In particular, we feel it is important to clarify that in randomised controlled trials intention-to-treat analysis is preferred for the estimation of effect because of the potential for bias inherent in analysis of 'completers' or 'compliers'. Random allocation is employed to obtain groups which do not differ systematically from each other. Drop-out and non-compliance cannot be assumed to be random processes and hence

the groups remaining may differ in ways unrelated to the treatment effect. The protection against bias afforded by randomisation no longer holds. There is evidence which confirms that people who comply *per se* have a better prognosis than those who do not (Fuller *et al*, 1986; O'Sullivan *et al*, 1991). Any degree of unblinding may make people more likely to comply with one form of treatment than with another and hence introduce a source of bias.

We concur with Healy's scepticism of the concept of specific treatments for depression. We feel that our study, with its implication that treatment effects of antidepressants may be overestimated, is a further indication of the weakness of this approach. However, it may be very difficult to evaluate substances on the basis of the 'therapeutic principle' thesis advanced by Healy. Any substance with noticeable physiological effects may act as an active placebo by suggesting that the patient is on an active and, therefore, helpful substance. How this effect can be distinguished from physiological effects that are genuinely helpful to patients is difficult to say and may call for different forms of evaluation.

We would like to inform readers that this review was conducted under the auspices of the Cochrane Collaboration Depression, Anxiety and Neurosis group and that it will be available on the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, where it will be periodically updated.

Fuller, R. K., Branche, L. & Brightwell, D. R. (1986) Disulfiram treatment of alcoholism: a Veterans Administration cooperative study. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, **256**, 1449–1455.

Healy, D. (1998) Commentary: Meta-analysis of trials comparing antidepressants with active placebos. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **172**, 232–234.

Moncrieff, J., Wessely, S. & Hardy, R. (1998) Meta-analysis of trials comparing antidepressants with active placebos. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **172**, 227–231.

O'Sullivan, G., Noshivani, H., Marks, I., et al (1991) Six year follow up after exposure and clomipramine therapy for obsessive compulsive disorder. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, **52**, 150–155.

J. Moncrieff, S. Wessely Department of Psychiatry, Section of Epidemiology and General Practice, Institute of Psychiatry, De Crespigny Park, Denmark Hill, London SE5 8AF