

A NOTE ON BLASCHKE PRODUCTS WITH ZEROES IN A NONTANGENTIAL REGION

BY
MIROLJUB JEVTIĆ

ABSTRACT. We show that if B is a Blaschke product with nontangential zero set $\{z_k\}$ and $0 < p < 1, 1/2 < \alpha p < 1$, then the condition $\sup_{0 < r < 1} (1 - r) M_p(r, D^{1+\alpha}B) < \infty$ is equivalent to the condition $\{(1 - |z_k|)^{(1/p) - \alpha k}\} \in \ell^\infty$.

1. **Introduction.** Let f be holomorphic in the open unit disc U (abbreviated $f \in H(U)$). For any $p, 0 < p \leq \infty$, we define

$$M_p(r, f) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1, 0 < p < \infty$$

$$M_\infty(r, f) = \sup_\theta |f(re^{i\theta})|, \quad 0 \leq r < 1.$$

The Hardy space $H^p, 0 < p \leq \infty$ consists of all functions $f \in H(U)$ for which

$$\|f\|_p = \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} M_p(r, f)$$

is finite.

If $f(z) = \sum a_k z^k$ is holomorphic in U and $\alpha > 0$, following Flett ([4]), we define the fractional derivative of order α by

$$(D^\alpha f)(z) = \sum (k + 1)^\alpha a_k z^k$$

If $0 < p < \infty$ and $\alpha > 0$, then a function $f \in H(U)$ is said to belong to the space $\Lambda^{p,\alpha}$ if

$$\|f\|_{p,\alpha} = \sup_{0 < r < 1} (1 - r) M_p(r, D^{1+\alpha}f) < \infty.$$

If $\{z_k\}$ is a sequence of complex numbers in U for which $\sum(1 - |z_k|) < \infty$, then the Blaschke product

$$B(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|z_k|}{z_k} \frac{z_k - z}{1 - \bar{z}_k z}$$

Received by the editors October 7, 1986, and, in final revised form, April 28, 1988.

AMS Subject Classification (1980): Primary 30D50.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1986.

converges uniformly on compact subsets of U and has $\{z_k\}$ as its zero set. See [3].

In this note we deal with Blaschke products whose zeroes $\{z_k\}$ lie in a fixed nontangential region $G = \{z \in U: |1 - z| < C(1 - |z|)\}$ for some $C > 1$. The class of all such infinite Blaschke products will be denoted by \mathcal{B} .

In [6], Theorem 3, J. Verbitski proved that if $B \in \mathcal{B}$ with zeroes $\{z_k\}$ then the condition $B \in \Lambda^{p,\alpha}$ is equivalent to the condition $\{(1 - |z_k|)^{(1/p)-\alpha} k^\alpha\} \in \ell^\infty$, under the assumptions $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1/2 < \alpha p < 1$. In this note we extend this result to the case $0 < p < 1$.

In [7] J. Verbitski showed that if $1 \leq p < \infty$ then $\mathcal{B} \subset \Lambda^{p,1/2p}$. We improve this by showing that

$$H^\infty \cap \Lambda^{p,1/2p} \subset H^\infty \cap \Lambda^{q,1/2q}, \text{ for all } p \leq q,$$

and $\mathcal{B} \subset \Lambda^{p,1/2p}$ for all $0 < p < \infty$.

2. As stated in the Introduction we want to extend the results of [6] and [7] to the case $0 < p < 1$. Here and elsewhere the quantity $1 - |z_k|$ will be denoted by d_k .

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $B \in \mathcal{B}$, with zeroes $\{z_k\}$. If $0 < p < 1$ and $1/2 < \alpha p < 1$ then $\{d_k^{(1/p)-\alpha} k^\alpha\} \in \ell^\infty$ if and only if $B \in \Lambda^{p,\alpha}$.*

PROOF. Let n be the positive integer such that $1/(n + 1) \leq p < 1/n$. Note that $\alpha < 1/p \leq 1/(1 - np)$ and hence the condition $M_p(r, D^{1+\alpha}B) = 0((1 - r)^{-1})$ is equivalent to the condition $M_p(r, D^{1+\alpha np}B) = 0((1 - r)^{-1-\alpha np+\alpha})$ ([4], Theorem 6). Since B is bounded.

$$|(D^{1+\alpha np}B)(z)| \leq C(1 - |z|)^{-1-\alpha np}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{1/n}(r, D^{1+\alpha np}B) &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |(D^{1+\alpha np}B)(re^{it})|^{1/n} dt\right)^n \\ &\leq C(1 - r)^{-(1+\alpha np)(1-np)} M_p^{np}(r, D^{1+\alpha np}B) \\ &\leq C(1 - r)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Then the successive application of the above argument proves that

$$M_1(r, D^{1+\alpha p}B) = 0((1 - r)^{-1}).$$

By a result of J. Verbitski cited in the introduction

$$d_k = 0(k^{\alpha p/(\alpha p-1)}).$$

To prove the converse, we may suppose that

$$d_k \leq k^{\alpha p/(\alpha p-1)}, \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let $n \geq 2$ be the positive integer such that $1/n < p \leq 1/(n - 1)$. An easy calculation shows that

$$M_p(r, D^n B) \leq CM_p(r, B^{(n)}).$$

In [4] it is proved that the condition

$$M_p(r, D^n B) = 0 \ (1 - r)^{\alpha - n}$$

is equivalent to

$$M_p(r, D^{1+\alpha} B) = 0 \ (1 - r)^{-1}$$

(Note that $\alpha < 1/p < n$). So the theorem will be proved if we show that

$$M_p(r, B^{(n)})^p = 0 \ (1 - r)^{\alpha p - np}.$$

Since B is bounded,

$$(2.1) \quad |B^{(n)}(z)| = 0 \ (1 - |z|)^{-n}.$$

From Lemma 3.4 of [5] and Lemma 3 of [1] (see also [2]) it follows that

$$(2.2) \quad |B^{(n)}(z)| \leq C \sum \prod_{j=2}^{n+1} (g_j(z))^{\alpha_j}$$

where the sum is over the (finite) set of all n -tuples $(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$ of non-negative integers such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n j\alpha_{j+1} = n$$

and

$$g_j(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - |z_k|^2}{|1 - |z_k||z|^j}.$$

(Here we have used the fact that $\{z_k\} \subset G$.) Using (2.1) and (2.2) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad M_p^p(r, B^{(n)}) &\leq C \int_0^{2\pi} \min \left\{ (1 - r)^{-np}, \sum \prod_{j=2}^{n+1} (g_j(re^{it}))^{\alpha_j p} \right\} dt \\ &\leq C \int_{|t| \leq (1-r)^{\alpha p}} (1 - r)^{-np} dt \\ &\quad + C \int_{|t| > (1-r)^{\alpha p}} \left(\sum \prod_{j=2}^{n+1} (g_j(re^{it}))^{\alpha_j p} \right) dt \\ &\leq C(1 - r)^{\alpha p - np} + C \sum \int_{|t| > (1-r)^{\alpha p}} \left(\prod_{j=2}^{n+1} (g_j(re^{it}))^{\alpha_j p} \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

We will show that each term of the finite sum on the right hand side of (2.3) is also $0((1 - r)^{\alpha p - np})$. For $j = 2, 3, \dots, n + 1$ we let $\beta_j = n/(j - 1)\alpha_j$. Then $\sum_{j=2}^{n+1} 1/\beta_j = 1$ so it follows from Holder's inequality that

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{|t| > (1-r)^{\alpha p}} \left(\prod_{j=2}^{n+1} (g_j(re^{it}))^{\alpha_j p} \right) dt \leq \prod_{j=2}^{n+1} \left(\int_{|t| > (1-r)^{\alpha p}} (g_j(re^{it}))^{\alpha_j \beta_j p} dt \right)^{1/\beta_j}.$$

Next we estimate the factors of the product on the right hand side of (2.4).

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{|t| > (1-r)^{\alpha p}} (g_j(re^{it}))^{\alpha_j \beta_j p} dt \right)^{1/\beta_j} \\ & \leq C \left(\int_{|t| > (1-r)^{\alpha p}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_k}{(d_k^2 + t^2)^{j/2}} \right)^{\alpha_j \beta_j p} dt \right)^{1/\beta_j} \\ & \leq C \left(\int_{|t| > (1-r)^{\alpha p}} \left(\sum_{E_j(t)} \frac{d_k}{(d_k^2 + t^2)^{j/2}} + \sum_{E'_j(t)} \frac{d_k}{(d_k^2 + t^2)^{j/2}} \right)^{\alpha_j \beta_j p} dt \right)^{1/\beta_j} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$E_j(t) = \{k: k \text{ is an integer, } \sqrt{j-1} \leq tk^{\alpha p/(1-\alpha p)}\}$$

and

$$E'_j(t) = \{k: k \text{ is an integer, } \sqrt{j-1} > tk^{\alpha p/(1-\alpha p)}\}.$$

To deal with the sum over $E_j(t)$ we note that the function $f_j(x) = x/(x^2 + t^2)^{j/2}$ is increasing in the interval $[0, t/\sqrt{j-1}]$.

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{E_j(t)} \frac{d_k}{(d_k^2 + t^2)^{j/2}} & \leq \sum_{E_j(t)} k^{\alpha p/(\alpha p - 1)} (k^{2\alpha p/(\alpha p - 1)} + t^2)^{-j/2} \leq t^{-j} \sum_{E_j(t)} k^{\alpha p/(\alpha p - 1)} \\ & = t^{-j} \sum_{k=n_j}^{\infty} k^{\alpha p/(\alpha p - 1)} \leq Ct^{-j} n_j^{(1-2\alpha p)/(1-\alpha p)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $n_j = \min E_j(t)$. (Here we have used the fact that $\alpha p > 1/2$). From $\sqrt{j-1} \leq tn_j^{\alpha p/(1-\alpha p)}$ we see that $n_j^{(1-2\alpha p)/(1-\alpha p)} \leq Ct^{(2\alpha p - 1)/\alpha p}$. Combining this with (2.6) we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{E_j(t)} \frac{d_k}{(d_k^2 + t^2)^{j/2}} \leq Ct^{2-j-(1/\alpha p)}.$$

Now we deal with the sum over $E'_j(t)$.

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{E'_j(t)} \frac{d_k}{(d_k^2 + t^2)^{j/2}} & \leq \max_{0 < x < \infty} f_j(x) \sum_{E'_j(t)} 1 \\ & \leq Ct^{1-j} \sum_{E'_j(t)} 1 \leq Ct^{2-j-1/(\alpha p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we substitute (2.7) and (2.8) into (2.5) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.9) \quad & \left(\int_{|t| > (1-r)^{\alpha p}} (g_j(re^{it}))^{\alpha_j \beta_j p} dt \right)^{1/\beta_j} \\
 & \leq C \left(\int_{|t| > (1-r)^{\alpha p}} t^{(2-j-(1/\alpha p))\alpha_j \beta_j p} dt \right)^{1/\beta_j} \\
 & \leq C(1-r)^{\alpha p[(2-j-(1/\alpha p))\alpha_j \beta_j p + 1]\beta_j^{-1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.9) and (2.4) we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{|t| > (1-r)^{\alpha p}} \left(\prod_{j=2}^{n+1} (g_j(re^{it}))^{\alpha_j p} \right) dt \\
 & \leq C(1-r)^{\alpha p \cdot \sum_{j=2}^{n+1} [(2-j-(1/\alpha p))\alpha_j \beta_j p + 1]\beta_j^{-1}} \\
 & \leq C(1-r)^{\alpha p - p \cdot \sum_{j=2}^{n+1} [1+(j-2)\alpha p]\alpha_j} \\
 & \leq C(1-r)^{\alpha p - p \cdot \sum_{j=2}^{n+1} (j-1)\alpha_j} = C(1-r)^{\alpha p - np}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of the theorem.

LEMMA 2.2. *If $0 < p \leq q < \infty$, then $H^\infty \cap \Lambda^{p,1/2p} \subset H^\infty \cap \Lambda^{q,1/2q}$.*

PROOF. Suppose $f \in H^\infty \cap \Lambda^{p,1/2p}$. First assume $(1/2p) - (1/2q) < 1$. Since f is bounded,

$$(2.10) \quad |(D^{1+(1/2q)}f)(z)| \leq C(1-|z|)^{-1-(1/2q)}.$$

From $f \in \Lambda^{p,1/2p}$ it follows

$$(2.11) \quad M_p(r, D^{1+(1/2q)}f) = 0((1-r)^{-1+(1/2p)-(1/2q)}) \quad ([4], \text{Theorem 6}).$$

Using (2.10) and (2.11) we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_q^q(r, D^{1+(1/2q)}f) & \leq C(1-r)^{(-1-(1/2q))(q-p)} M_p^p(r, D^{1+(1/2q)}f) \\
 & \leq C(1-r)^{-q},
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $f \in \Lambda^{q,1/2q}$. Then the successive application of the above argument proves the lemma.

THEOREM 2.3. $\mathcal{B} \subset \Lambda^{p,1/2p}$, for all $0 < p < \infty$.

PROOF. Let $B \in \mathcal{B}$. In view of Lemma 2.2 it is sufficient to show that

$$(2.12) \quad M_{p_n}(r, D^{1+(1/2p_n)}B) = 0((1-r)^{-1})$$

for a sequence p_n going to zero. We take $p_n = 1/2(n-1)$, $n \geq 3$.

Then (2.12) becomes $M_{p_n}(r, D^n B) = 0((1-r)^{-1})$. So the theorem will be proved if we show that

$$(2.13) \quad M_{p_n}(r, B^{(n)}) = O((1-r)^{-1}).$$

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.1.

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} M_{p_n}^{p_n}(r, B^{(n)}) &\leq C \int_{|t| \leq \sqrt{1-r}} (1-r)^{-np_n} dt \\ &+ C \int_{|t| > \sqrt{1-r}} \left(\sum \prod_{j=2}^{n+1} (g_j(re^{it}))^{\alpha_j p_n} \right) dt \\ &\leq C(1-r)^{-p_n} + C \sum \int_{|t| > \sqrt{1-r}} \left(\prod_{j=2}^{n+1} (g_j(re^{it}))^{\alpha_j p_n} \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate

$$\begin{aligned} g_j(re^{it}) &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_k}{(d_k^2 + t^2)^{j/2}} \\ &\leq C \left(t^{-j} \left(\sum_{d_k \leq t} d_k \right) + \sum_{d_k > t} d_k^{1-j} \right) \leq Ct^{-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this we find that

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{|t| > \sqrt{1-r}} \left(\prod_{j=2}^{n+1} (g_j(re^{it}))^{\alpha_j p_n} \right) dt \\ &\leq C \int_{|t| > \sqrt{1-r}} t^{-(\sum_{j=2}^{n+1} \alpha_j p_n)} dt \\ &\leq C \int_{|t| > \sqrt{1-r}} t^{-2np_n} dt \leq C(1-r)^{-p_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.14) and (2.15) we obtain (2.13).

REFERENCES

1. P. Ahern, D. Clark, *On inner functions with H^p derivative*, Michigan Math. J. **21** (1974), pp. 115-127.
2. P. Ahern, M. Jevtić, *Mean Modulus and the Fractional Derivative of an Inner Function*, Complex Variables **3** (1984), pp. 431-445.
3. P. Duren, *Theory of H^p spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
4. T. Flett, *The dual of an inequality of Hardy and Littlewood and some related inequalities*, J. Math. Analysis and Appl. **38** (1972), pp. 746-765.
5. H. Kim, *Derivatives of Blaschke products*, Pacific J. Math. **114** (1984), pp. 175-190.
6. J. Verbitski, *On Taylor coefficients and L^p -continuity moduli of Blaschke products*, LOMI, Leningrad Seminar Notes **107** (1982), pp. 27-35.
7. ———, *Inner functions, Besov spaces and multipliers*, DAN SSSR, 276 (1984), No. 1, pp. 11-14. Soviet Math. Doklady, Vol. **29**, No. 3 (1984), AMS translation.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53706