

INDEX

Abbreviations used in the index

CIL (customary international law)
CLCS (Commission on the Limits of the Continental Shelf)
CSC (Geneva Convention on the Continental Shelf, 1958)
EEZ (exclusive economic zone)
ICC (International Criminal Court)
ICCPR (International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966)
ICJ (International Court of Justice/ICJ Statute)
ILC (International Law Commission)
ITLOS (International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea)
ITLOS ROC (ITLOS Rules of Court)
MLC (Maritime Labour Convention, 2006)
PCIJ (Permanent Court of International Justice/PCIJ Statute)
TSC (Convention on the Territorial Sea and the Contiguous Zone, 1958)
UNCLOS (United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, 1982)
UNGA (United Nations General Assembly)
VCLT (Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 1969)
VCSST (Vienna Convention on Succession of States in respect of Treaties, 1978)

acquiescence

requirements/definition/classification as 152
consistency of conduct 152-6
silence or inaction 155-6
tacit agreement, differences from 157-8

aggression (including UNGA 3314 (XXIX) (definition of aggression) and *jus ad bellum* considerations)

definition (UNGA 3314 (XXIX), para. 1) 249-50

Austria (1955- (Second Republic))

sovereign status in international law 300-2
UN membership status 300-2

baselines (general)

as basis for determining breadth of continental shelf/EEZ and for identifying basepoints
for equidistance/median line distinguished 99-103, 112-14

basepoints (general)

islands as 68-70, 102
low-tide elevations as 99-100

Case Concerning the Detention of Three Ukrainian Naval Vessels (Ukraine v. Russian Federation) (Order on Provisional Measures)

background and overview
court judgment 531-2
facts 529-31
separate declarations 532-3

***Case Concerning the Detention of Three Ukrainian Naval Vessels (Ukraine v. Russian Federation)* (Order on Provisional Measures) (cont.)**

- principal ruling
 - background and facts 535-8, 541-2
 - court findings 558-9
 - definition 554, 556
 - detention of vessels
 - grounds for 549-50
 - use of force during 550
 - military activities
 - applicability of UNCLOS exclusion 545-53
 - denial of passage, grounds for 549-50
 - law-enforcement activities, compared with 546-8
 - non-appearance of Russian Federation/effect of non-appearance
 - bar on proceedings, whether 540-1
 - whether Ukraine at disadvantage 540-1
 - prima facie* jurisdiction
 - exchange of views requirement, satisfaction of 550-3
 - existence of dispute concerning interpretation/application of UNCLOS 543-5
 - military activities exclusion (UNCLOS 298(1)(b)) 545-50
 - Tribunal power to prescribe 542-3
 - prima facie* jurisdiction—jurisprudence
 - Land and Maritime Boundary between Cameroon and Nigeria* 544
 - submissions of the Parties (Ukraine) 538-40
 - urgency of situation
 - deprivation of liberty of crew, humanitarian concerns 556
 - plausibility of rights asserted 553-4
 - real and imminent risk of irreparable prejudice 554-6
 - warships
 - immunity of crew 554
 - immunity under UNCLOS 290(5) 553-4
- separate opinions and declarations
 - Gao J
 - immunity of warships 583-4, 588-9
 - military activities exception 584-91
 - overview 534
 - Jesus J
 - military activities
 - interpretation of 574-8
 - UNCLOS exception, applicability 576-8
 - overview 533
 - Kittichaisaree J
 - crew, status and immunity of 565-9
 - jurisprudence
 - Arctic Sunrise* 564, 571-2
 - law of naval warfare, applicability of 568-9
 - military activities *versus* law enforcement 560
 - obligations to exchange views 561-5
 - overview 532-3
 - plausibility of rights 565-8
 - prima facie* jurisdiction 559-60, 570
 - provisional measures granted, sufficiency of 570-2

- Kolodkin J (dissenting)
 - military activities, interpretation of 594-8
 - overview 534-5
 - prima facie* jurisdiction, military activities exception 592-4
 - Lijnzaad J
 - military activities, interpretation of 574
 - overview 533
 - prima facie* jurisdiction 572-4
 - Lucky J
 - non-appearance of Russian Federation, effects of 580-2
 - overview 533
 - suspension of proceedings, validity of 581-2
 - coastal facade**
 - continental shelf delimitation
 - coastal concavity/convexity 68-73, 94-6, 104-14, 127-9, 136-48
 - coasts (for purposes of maritime delimitation)**
 - coastal concavity/convexity 68-73, 94-6, 104-14, 127-9, 136-48
 - continental shelf (definitions/concept)**
 - outer continental shelf/area beyond 200 nautical miles (UNCLOS 76(4))
 - coastal State's right to delineate (UNCLOS 76(4)(a)) 130-1
 - outer limit (CSC 1/UNCLOS 76(1)) 130-1
 - continental shelf delimitation (CSC 6/UNCLOS 83)**
 - applicable law 116-17
 - delineation of outer limits (UNCLOS 76) and delimitation (UNCLOS 83)
 - distinguished 136
 - proportionality 116-17
 - continental shelf delimitation (UNCLOS 76)**
 - beyond 200 nautical miles 79
 - CIL, treaties and other international instruments reflecting (examples)**
 - Montevideo Convention on the Rights and Duties of States (1933) 270-95
 - "capacity to enter" criterion 278-85
 - criticism of 269-70, 272-3
 - customary law, and 270-3
 - "defined territory" criterion 273-4, 292-3
 - "government" criterion 274-8
 - importance of 294-5
 - interpretation of statehood in jurisprudence and interstate institutional practice 285-94
 - "permanent population" criterion 273
 - reservations, use of 271-3
- Dispute Concerning the Detention of Ukrainian Naval Vessels and Servicemen (Ukraine v. Russian Federation) (Award on the Preliminary Objections by Russian Federation)***
- background
 - dispute overview 621-2
 - events of 24-25 November 2018 622-8
 - facts 600-2
 - introduction 609-11
 - objections, summary of 602-4
 - procedural history
 - constitution of Arbitral Tribunal 614

Dispute Concerning the Detention of Ukrainian Naval Vessels and Servicemen (Ukraine v. Russian Federation) (Award on the Preliminary Objections by Russian Federation) (cont.)

- hearing concerning preliminary objections of Russian Federation 617-18
- initial procedural decisions 614
- institution of proceedings 611-12
- provisional measures phase 612-13
- submission of preliminary objections of Russian Federation 616-17
- submission of Ukraine's memorial 614-16
- court conclusions 602, 690-1
- immunity of warships (UNCLOS 32)
 - analysis of Arbitral Tribunal 669-70
 - applicability under CIL 659-61, 668-9
 - applicability under general international law 663
 - high seas immunity (UNCLOS 95), differences from 658-9
 - hot pursuit, conditions for (UNCLOS 111), and 661-3, 665-6
 - jurisdiction, scope of 657-61, 663, 669
 - object and purpose of UNCLOS 666-9
 - position of Russian Federation 657-63
 - position of Ukraine 663-9
 - Territorial Sea Convention *travaux préparatoires* 658-9
 - territorial seas, applicability to 658-9, 662-3, 666-7, 669-70
- jurisdiction of court
 - alleged aggravation of dispute (UNCLOS 279)
 - analysis of Arbitral Tribunal 678-9
 - applicability, scope of 678-9
 - overview 603-4
 - position of Russian Federation 675-6
 - position of Ukraine 676-8
- conclusions 690-1
- exchange of views requirement, non-compliance with (UNCLOS 283)
 - adequacy of communications 682-6, 688-9
 - analysis of Arbitral Tribunal 688-9
 - exhaustion of means for agreement 686-7
 - overview 604
 - position of Russian Federation 681-3
 - position of Ukraine 683-8
 - scope of obligation 679, 683-4
 - time limits 682-3, 685-6
- extra-conventional immunities 656-7
- general provisions 603, 656
- immunity of warships 648-50, 657-70
- ITLOS provisional measures, breaches of (UNCLOS 290(6) and 296)
 - analysis of Arbitral Tribunal 673-4
 - overview 603
 - position of Russian Federation 671-2
 - position of Ukraine 672-3
 - prompt compliance obligation 672-4
- jurisdiction of court—jurisprudence
 - Arctic Sunrise* 687
 - LaGrand* 671, 673-4
 - South China Sea* 675-6

- military activities exception (UNCLOS 298(1)(b))
 - Arbitral Tribunal analysis 650-6
 - “covert” 647-8
 - “disputes concerning” 640-2, 650-1
 - law enforcement activities, and
 - arrest and detention, as 648-9, 651
 - elements and phases of incident, interpretations during 653-6
 - events following arrest 651-6
 - jurisdiction over warships under domestic law, and 648-50
 - lawfulness of 638-9
 - objective valuation of 651
 - scope of 631-4
 - whether mutually exclusive 631, 638-40, 650
 - limitations of 639-42
 - “military activities” 650-1
 - object and purpose of UNCLOS 642-3
 - overview 602-3, 629
 - position of Russian Federation 629-38
 - position of Ukraine 639-50
 - relevant circumstances 634-8, 645-7
 - right to exclude disputes concerning military activities 629
- military activities exception (UNCLOS 298(1)(b))—jurisprudence
 - Coastal State Rights* 641, 650-1
 - Fisheries (Spain v. Canada)* 632
 - South China Sea* 643
- requests of the parties
 - Russian Federation 621
 - Ukraine 621

EEZ (UNCLOS 56) (rights, jurisdiction and duties of coastal State)

“due regard” to rights and duties of other States/conformity with the Convention (UNCLOS 56(2))

- jurisprudence
 - M/V “Norstar”* 465
 - M/V “Saiga” (No 2)* 465
 - M/V “Virginia”* 465

exclusive nature/flag State jurisdiction 437-9, 496-7
 regulation of the refuelling of vessels (bunkering)
 freedom of navigation (UNCLOS 58) and 436-40

EEZ (UNCLOS 58) (rights and duties of other States)

freedom of navigation (UNCLOS 58)
 refuelling/bunkering of vessels, and 436-40

equidistance (median line)/special circumstances rule (TSC 12(1)/CSC 6/UNCLOS 15/UNCLOS 74(1)/UNCLOS 83(1))

CIL 98-9
 low-water line 99-100
 States with opposite or adjacent coasts 99-100

Faroes

ICC jurisdiction 366

flag State

duties (UNCLOS 94)
 right to redress, and 467-9

freedom of navigation/commerce

EEZs and (UNCLOS 58 and 87)
 refuelling/bunkering of vessels 436-40
 real and imminent risk of irreparable prejudice 442, 444

Gaza Strip

key events in chronological order
 1993 (Oslo I Accord) 346-9
 1995 (Interim Agreement on the West Bank and the Gaza Strip (Oslo II Accord))
 347-9

General Assembly Resolutions, in number/date order

2625 (XXV) (Declaration concerning Principles of Friendly Relations and Cooperation
 among States) 220
 3314 (XXIX) (Definition of aggression) 249-50
 3411 (XXX) (Policies of apartheid of the government of South Africa) 275
 67/19 (Status of Palestine in the UN) 167, 170, 172-3, 184-5, 190, 209-12, 219,
 295-335
 72/160 (Right of the Palestinian people to self-determination) 223

Geneva Convention (Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War) (GC IV) (1949)

PLO/Palestine, and 368, 370-4
 special agreements rule 368, 370-7

Greenland

ICC jurisdiction 366

Holy See

international legal personality 298-9
 UN Permanent Observer status 298-300

hot pursuit (including HSC 23 and UNCLOS 111)

requirements (UNCLOS 111(1)) 661-3
 immunity of warships, conflict with 663

ICC (jurisdiction, admissibility and applicable law) (ICC Part 2)

territorial autonomies 366

ILC, including Draft Articles/reports on

immunity of State officials from criminal jurisdiction
 definitions 567
 military officials 568
 recognition of immunity 568
 scope of immunity *ratione materiae* 567-8

international organizations, Member States

status within
 non-member observer/pre-sovereign states 297-304

ITLOS

jurisdiction
 non-appearance of parties, effects of 580-1
 provisional measures, power to prescribe 542

Kenya

domestic law

Maritime Zones Act 1989 29-30, 33, 159

Territorial Waters Act 1972 29, 33

maritime delimitation dispute with Somalia (see *Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean (Somalia v. Kenya)*)**Kerch Strait, confrontation between warships:** see *Case Concerning the Detention of Three Ukrainian Naval Vessels (Ukraine v. Russian Federation)* (Order on Provisional Measures)**low-tide elevations**

basepoints, as 99-100

The M/T “San Padre Pio” (Switzerland v. Nigeria) (Order on Provisional Measures)

background

court conclusions, overview 415-17

facts 413-15

separate opinions and declarations 417-19

Order on Removal of Case from List (29 December 2021) 419-20, 525-7

principal ruling

background 420-3

court conclusions 448-50

facts 425-8

prima facie jurisdiction 428-34

applicability of UNCLOS 290(5) 428-9

determination of violations of instruments outside UNCLOS 430-1

exchange of views requirements 432-4

existence of dispute concerning interpretation or application of UNCLOS 429-32

limitations of UNCLOS 56(2) 428-9

rights and duties of states under ICCPR and MLC 430, 433-4

prima facie jurisdiction—jurisprudence*Land and Maritime Boundary between Cameroon and Nigeria* 431

provisional measures to be prescribed 445-8

assurances of presence of Master/officers in criminal proceedings 447

bond/financial security 446-7

implementation, reporting requirements 448

measures different in whole or in part from those requested 445

submissions of the parties

Nigeria 425

Switzerland 423-5

urgency of situation

plausibility of rights asserted by applicant 435-40

basis for exercise of jurisdiction in home state EEZ 436-7

breach of duties of states under ICCPR and MLC 437-9

flag State jurisdiction 437-40

freedom of navigation/bunkering in EEZ 435-40

real and imminent risk of irreparable prejudice 440-5

deprivation of rights of detained Master/officers 442-5

freedom of navigation 442, 444

The M/T “San Padre Pio” (Switzerland v. Nigeria) (Order on Provisional Measures)
(cont.)

- monetary compensation, availability of 443
- piratical attacks, and 441-2, 444
- separate opinions and declarations
 - Bouguetaia J (dissenting)
 - adequacy of provisional measures 524-5
 - detention of Master/officers
 - assurances of presence of Master/officers in criminal proceedings 522-5
 - humanitarian obligations 521-2
 - overview 419
 - Cabello and Chadha JJ
 - adequacy of provisional measures 450-2
 - assurances of presence of Master/officers in criminal proceedings 451
 - overview 417
 - Gao J (dissenting)
 - overview 419
 - provisional measures
 - adequacy of 519-21
 - freedom of navigation, right to exercise 519-20
 - plausibility of rights claimed of both parties 510-12
 - risk of irreparable prejudice 513-18
 - state obligations to address maritime crime and piracy 520
 - provisional measures—jurisprudence
 - “*Enrica Lexie*” 510
 - M/V “Virginia G”* 511
 - Heidar J
 - adequacy of provisional measures 457-9
 - overview 417
 - Kateka J (dissenting)
 - overview 418-19
 - provisional measures
 - assurances, adequacy of 502-4
 - bonds and prompt release mechanism 500-2
 - comparison of UNCLOS 290(5) and UNCLOS 290(1) approaches 500
 - real and imminent risk of irreparable prejudice, urgency requirement 504-7
 - provisional measures—jurisprudence
 - “*Arctic Sunrise*” 501-2
 - “*Enrica Lexie*” 503
 - M/V “Saiga”* 501-2
 - Kittichaisaree J
 - adequacy of provisional measures 453-4
 - obligation to cooperate in good faith in implementation of PMs 454
 - overview 417
 - plausibility of rights violation claims under ICCPR and MLC 453
 - ship-to-ship transfers and offshore bunkering, differences in UNCLOS provisions 452
 - Kolodkin J
 - adequacy of provisional measures 455-7
 - evidence of risk of irreparable prejudice 454-6
 - overview 417
 - plausibility of rights of parties 456

- Lucky J (dissenting)
 international law and municipal law conflicts 489-90
 overview 418
 provisional measures
 coastal State *versus* flag State rights, and 496-7
 evidence, assessment of 492-6
 prima facie jurisdiction to prescribe 490-1
 urgency requirement 496-9
 provisional measures—jurisprudence
 “*Arctic Sunrise*” 491
 “*Enrica Lexie*” 491-2
- Murphy, judge ad hoc
 overview 417-18
 plausibility of rights 461-9
 applicability of other rules of international law 468-9
 coastal State sovereign rights 462-3
 compatibility of rights with other Convention provisions 462-3
 freedom of navigation and exclusive jurisdiction over flag vessels 462-6
 right to seek redress for violations of ICCPR and MLC 466-9
 ship-to-ship transfers/bunkering 463-5
 provisional measures
 adequacy and appropriateness 473-8
 bond/financial security 474
 preservation of state rights to regulate criminal proceedings 475-7
 release of Master/officers 474-6
 provisional measures—jurisprudence
 “*Enrica Lexie*” 475
 risk of imminent and irreparable prejudice
 actions that lead to 469-70
 assessment of likelihood 470-3
 urgency requirement 469
- Petrig, judge ad hoc
 overview 418
 provisional measures
 bond, reasonableness of 480-1
 jurisprudence 482-4
 protection of rights of all parties 480-1
 responsibility *versus* obligation of State to ensure availability for criminal proceedings 481-4
 real and imminent risk of irreparable prejudice
 duty to avoid adverse consequences in the exercise of the powers of enforcement (UNCLOS 225) 478-80
- maritime delimitation/boundaries, methods**
 comparison of 116-20, 124
 parallels of latitude
 acquiescence to, compared with tacit agreement 24-5, 27-8, 157-8
 radial projection 118-20, 123
- maritime delimitation/boundaries (general)**
 applicable principles, comparison 116-20, 124
 criteria
 equitable principles/relevant circumstances 124-7
 disproportionality test 52, 73, 127-9

maritime delimitation/boundaries (general) (cont.)

freedom of navigation 113

overlapping claims 113-14

pacta tertiis nec nocent nec prosunt 115

Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean (Somalia v. Kenya)

case overview

background and facts 2-3

court findings 3-6

separate and dissenting opinions 6-8

court judgment

acquiescence of Somalia to maritime boundary following parallel of latitude 21-39

conduct or omission 23-34

court conclusions 36-9

documentary evidence, inadequacy of 25-6

failure to respond to/reject position of first state 21, 23-5, 34-5

fishery activities 38

Kenyan Proclamations 1979/ 2005, response to 21-2, 35-6

Kenyan Submission to CLCS 2009, response to 21, 25, 36

Memorandum of Understanding between Kenya and Somalia 2009 23-4, 35-6

oil concessions 38-9

Somali civil war, relevance of 36

Somali domestic law 23, 25, 34-5

tacit agreement, difference from 24-5, 27

alleged violation by Kenya of international obligations

activities prior to dispute 81, 84-5

obligation not to jeopardize or hamper agreement 84-6

offshore drilling 86-7

transitional period, interpretation 86

alleged violation by Kenya of international obligations—jurisprudence

Delimitation of the Maritime Boundary in the Atlantic Ocean (Ghana/Côte d'Ivoire) 85-6

Territorial and Maritime Dispute in the Caribbean Sea (Nicaragua v. Honduras) 85

background and overview of proceedings 9-14

conclusions 88-9

delimitation of EEZ and continental shelf beyond 200 nautical miles 73-81

applicable law 79

jurisdiction of court 74, 76

overlapping claims 80

regional context and cut-off effect 76-7

delimitation of EEZ and continental shelf beyond 200 nautical miles—jurisprudence

Bangladesh/Myanmar 78-9

Territorial and Maritime Dispute in the Caribbean Sea (Nicaragua v. Honduras) 77

delimitation of EEZ and continental shelf within 200 nautical miles

applicable law 49-50

disproportionality test 52, 73

equitable solution, principles for achievement of 71

provisional equidistance line

access to natural resources 66

basepoint selection 60-2

coastal concavity 68-73

conduct establishing *de facto* maritime boundary 66-7

cut-off effect 67-73

internal conflict and security 65-6

- Kenya–Tanzania maritime boundary, influences of 68, 70
 - non-geographical factors 65-7
 - whether need for adjustment 62-73
- relevant areas 55-9, 73
- relevant circumstances 52
- relevant coasts 54-5, 73
- three-stage methodology 49-54
- delimitation of EEZ and continental shelf within 200 nautical miles—jurisprudence
 - Bangladesh/Myanmar* 69
 - Bangladesh v. India* 69
 - Black Sea (Romania v. Ukraine)* 50, 52
 - Cameroon v. Nigeria* 68-9
 - Continental Shelf (Libyan Arab Jamahiriya/Malta)* 67-8, 71
 - Guinea/Guinea-Bissau* 69-70
 - Gulf of Maine* 66
 - North Sea Continental Shelf* 69
 - Territorial and Maritime Dispute in the Caribbean Sea (Nicaragua v. Honduras)* 53-4, 71
- delimitation of maritime boundaries
 - 1927/1933 Treaty as basis for 2-3, 18-19, 40, 44-5, 49
 - acquiescence, failure to respond as 34-5
 - applicable law 39-40
 - base points, choice of 42, 44-9
 - conduct indicating agreement 27, 34-5
 - delimitation of territorial sea 41-50
 - form of agreement 26-7
 - inconsistency of claims 33-4
 - Kenya–Tanzania border 32-3
 - Kenyan domestic law 29-30, 32-3
 - Kenyan Proclamations 1979/2005 21-2, 28-32, 35
 - land boundary terminus point 40-1, 44
 - median line basis 29, 35, 42, 44, 47-9
 - Memorandum of Understanding between Kenya and Somalia 2009 23-4, 32, 35-6
 - Notes Verbales 31, 33
 - provisional equidistance line basis 40-1, 45-7
 - small islands, effects of 46
 - Somalia–Yemen border 35
 - Somalian domestic law 23, 25, 34-5
 - Submission to CLCS 2009 23, 25, 31-3, 36
 - tacit agreement 24-5, 27-8
 - third party role in 26
 - threshold for proof 27-8
 - UNCLOS basis for agreement on 26-7, 30, 41-2, 45
- delimitation of maritime boundaries—jurisprudence
 - Peru v. Chile* 26
- domestic law—Kenya
 - Maritime Zones Act 1989 29-30, 33
 - Proclamation 1979 21-2, 28-30
 - Proclamation 2005 21-2, 30-2
 - Territorial Waters Act 1972 29, 33
- domestic law—Somalia
 - Law on the Somali Territorial Sea and Ports 1972 23, 34-5
 - Maritime Law 1988 23, 25, 35
 - Mining Code 1988 35

- Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean (Somalia v. Kenya)*** (cont.)
- geographical and historical background to dispute 18-19
 - submissions of the parties
 - Kenya 16-18
 - overview of positions 19-21
 - Somalia 14-16
 - separate and dissenting opinions and declarations
 - Abraham J 92-6
 - cut-off effect of coastal concavity 94-6
 - overview 6
 - provisional equidistance line adjustment 93-6
 - Donoghue, President
 - delimitation of maritime boundary beyond 200 nautical miles, limitations on equitable assessment 89-92
 - overview 6
 - Guillaume, judge ad hoc
 - 1927/1933 Treaty relevance to maritime delimitation 161-4
 - acquiescence/tacit agreement to maritime delimitation along parallel of latitude 157-61
 - jurisprudence
 - Gulf of Maine* 158
 - Pedra Branca/Pulau Batu Puteh* 157
 - overview 7
 - Robinson J (partly concurring/dissenting)
 - 1927/1933 Treaty, judicial interpretation and application 149-53
 - acquiescence, consistency of conduct 153-6
 - cut-off effect of coastal concavity 136-48
 - jurisprudence
 - Bangladesh/Myanmar* 130-1, 135-8
 - Cameroon v. Nigeria* 139-40, 148
 - Continental Shelf (Tunisia/Libyan Arab Jamahiriya)* 138
 - Costa Rica v. Nicaragua* 137
 - France–Canada Maritime Delimitation* 135
 - Ghana/Côte d'Ivoire* 130-1, 137, 138-9
 - Guinea/Guinea–Bissau* 137-9
 - Nicaragua v. Colombia* 131
 - North Sea Continental Shelf* 137-8, 148
 - Pedra Branca/Pulau Batu Puteh* 154-5
 - maritime delimitation beyond 200 nautical miles, State entitlement 130-4
 - overview 7
 - rights of international community 135-6
 - rights to natural resources 135-6
 - scientific evidence of continental shelf 130-2
 - Xue J
 - cut-off effect of coastal concavity 127-9
 - disproportionality test 127-9
 - judicial inconsistencies 124
 - jurisprudence
 - Bangladesh v. India* 123
 - Cameroon v. Nigeria* 117
 - Continental Shelf (Tunisia/Libyan Arab Jamahiriya)* 118
 - Costa Rica v. Nicaragua* 118-19
 - Ghana/Côte d'Ivoire* 119-20

- Greenland and Jan Mayen (Denmark v. Norway)* 125
- North Sea Continental Shelf* 117, 126, 129
- Romania v. Ukraine* 117, 128
- maritime delimitation, comparison of applicable principles 116-19, 124
- overview 7
- relevant circumstances 124-9
- relevant coasts/areas 120, 123-5, 127-8
- state succession influences on boundaries 151
- Yusuf J
 - 1927/1933 Treaty, relevance 102-3
 - base point selection inconsistencies 99-102
 - compensation for surrender of maritime area (*pacta tertiis nec nocent nec prosunt* principle) 115
 - cut-off effect of coastal concavity 104-14
 - geographical approach to equidistance line establishment 104-7
 - jurisprudence
 - Bangladesh/Myanmar* 109
 - Bangladesh v. India* 109-12
 - Cameroon v. Nigeria* 104, 107
 - Continental Shelf (Tunisia/Libyan Arab Jamahiriya)* 107
 - Costa Rica v. Nicaragua* 102, 107
 - Eritrea/Yemen* 98
 - Ghana/Côte d'Ivoire* 108
 - Guinea/Guinea-Bissau* 108, 112
 - Nicaragua v. Colombia* 102, 106-7
 - North Sea Continental Shelf* 106, 109, 111
 - Qatar v. Bahrain* 98
 - jurisprudential inconsistencies 107-14
 - median line in territorial sea, establishment 98-103
 - overview 6
 - three-stage methodology, criticism of interpretation 97, 104-5, 114-15
- minorities**
 - special status areas 365-6
- non-encroachment principle/avoidance of cut-off (maritime delimitation)**
 - equidistance line 113
- Palestine (post-1998 proclamation of the State of)**
 - accession to international treaties under “all States formula” 210-11, 362-3
 - Covid-19 pandemic, applicable legal regime 364
 - diplomatic representation 361
 - membership of international organizations 361-4
 - right to self-determination, recognition of 170, 210, 219-24, 316
 - UN observer status 298
 - UNGA 67/19
 - voting on adoption 304-8
- prompt release of vessels and crews on posting of reasonable bond or financial security (UNCLOS 73(3))**
 - UNCLOS 292 (prompt release) proceedings, relevance 501-2
- proportionality**
 - disproportionality test, maritime delimitation/boundaries 52, 73, 127-9

provisional measures (ICJ 41/PCIJ 41)

comparison with UNCLOS 279, 675-6

provisional measures (UNCLOS 290)

admissibility/requirements

irreparable damage, classification as 555-6

measures requested/ordered

avoidance of irreparable prejudice 554-6

comparison of UNCLOS 290(1) and UNCLOS 290(5) approaches 500

plausibility of rights asserted 553-4

posting of bond 446-7, 480-1, 500-2

Tribunal's right to prescribe measures different from those requested (ITLOS ROC 89(5)) 445

prima facie jurisdiction (UNCLOS 290(5)) 428-9, 500, 542-3

risk of imminent and irreparable prejudice 440-5, 504-5

purpose (UNCLOS 290(1)) 557

preservation of the respective rights of the parties 510-13

Tribunal's right to prescribe

measures different in whole or in part from those requested (ITLOS ROC 89(5)) 445

Russian Federation

Criminal Code, by article

322 (illegal crossing of state border) 544, 581-2

Security Council Resolutions

2334 (2016) (Situation in the Middle East, including the Palestinian question) 223, 311, 315

self-determination, right of

doctrine and practice

as general principle of international law 220-1

entitlement of specific "people"

Palestinian people 219-24, 316

UNGA 2625 (XXV) provisions 220-1

ships, jurisdiction

flag State 437-40, 496-7

Situation in the State of Palestine (Decision on the "Prosecution Request Pursuant to Article 19(3) for a Ruling on the Court's Territorial Jurisdiction in Palestine")

background and overview

court ruling 168-71

facts 166-8

separate and dissenting opinions

Kovács J 171-4

Perrin de Brichambaut J 174

principal ruling

legal basis for Article 19(3) request

challenge, grounds for 202, 204

context of Article 19(3) 201

generally 199-201

ordinary meaning of Article 19(3) 201-5

reasonable basis to believe crimes committed within jurisdiction of court 206

- Rome Statute, object and purpose 205-7
- situation or once case arises from situation 200-2
- merits
 - consideration of Palestine as a State 207-18
 - accession of Palestine to Rome statute 212-18
 - accession of states to treaties under “all States” formula 210-12
 - challenges to automatic entry into force of Rome Statute 214-15
 - context of Article 12(2)(a) 209-12
 - Court jurisdiction, territorial parameters 215-17
 - Court jurisdiction in situations of uncertainty 211-12
 - object and purpose of Rome Statute 215-17
 - ordinary meaning of Article 12(2)(a) 208-9
 - UNGA 67/19, relevance of 210-12, 219
 - delineation of territory of Palestine for purpose of defining ICC jurisdiction 218-24
 - human rights, interpretation of law consistency with 220
 - right to self-determination and sovereignty 219-24
 - UNGA 67/19, relevance of 219
 - final considerations 226-7
 - Oslo Accords, relevance to territorial jurisdiction 224-6
 - overview 207
 - “State on the territory of which the conduct in question occurred”
 - interpretation of statehood under general international law, and 215-17
 - ordinary meaning 208-9
 - principle of effectiveness, and 215-16
- overview 168-71
- preliminary issues
 - criminal jurisdiction *versus* territory of states 198-9
 - participation of Israel in proceedings 197-8
 - political/non-justiciable nature of issue 195-7
- procedural history 175-82
- submissions and observations
 - on behalf of *amici curiae* 193-5
 - on behalf of Palestine 187-8
 - on behalf of victims 188-93
 - requests of Prosecutor 182-6
- separate and dissenting opinions
 - Kovács J (dissenting)
 - introduction 230
 - legitimacy and importance of international law for assessing impact of international legal documents 263-9
 - limitations of Majority ruling 265-6, 269-70
 - “only in case of lacuna” approach 266-9
 - VCLT requirements 267-8
 - legitimacy and importance of international law for assessing impact of international legal documents—jurisprudence
 - Al Bashir* 266-7
 - Katanga* 268
 - Lubanga* 266
 - Oslo Accords
 - agreements of international law, as 345-60
 - doctrinal interpretations 353-4
 - internationalization 348-51
 - jurisprudential practice in Israel, and 351-2

Situation in the State of Palestine (Decision on the “Prosecution Request Pursuant to Article 19(3) for a Ruling on the Court’s Territorial Jurisdiction in Palestine” (cont.)

- jurisprudential practice in Palestinian Authority 352-3
- presidential statements and political responses 354-60
- text and wording 345-9
- UN practice, and 349-51
- Wye River Memorandum (1998) 350-1
- comparison/similarity of competences with other self-administration regimes 365-7
- denunciation of 354-5, 357-8
 - UN General Assembly response to 357-9
- geographical border definition 367
- importance of Article 21(1)(b), and 345
- non-consideration, reasons for 367-80
 - conflicting provisions 377-9
 - Geneva Convention special agreements rule 368, 370-7
 - right to self-determination, violation of 368-9
 - treaties excluded from VCLT coverage 369-70
- Presidential Statement of 19 May 2020, and 354-60
- references in Prosecutor’s request 343-5
- relevance in relation to statehood question 360-5
- Statement by Foreign Minister Malki of 4 June 2020 354-8
- unilateral declarations regarding, legal validity of 356-7
- overview 171-4
- Palestinian occupation, challenging legality of
 - ICJ Advisory Opinion* 341, 343
 - in integrum restitio*, historical approaches 338-41
 - likely impact on political resolution 337-43
 - sovereignty of reversionary or pre-prior state 338-9
 - state sovereignty as “people’s sovereignty” 342
 - value of UN resolutions 337-8
- Prosecutor’s investigative competence, geographical scope 380-4
 - hierarchy of norms 380-3
 - Oslo Accords, and 381-3
- Prosecutor’s investigative competence, geographical scope—jurisprudence
 - Lac Lanoux* 384
 - Monetary Gold* 383-4
 - Robingya* 380, 384
- statehood, interpretation of
 - methodology and reasoning
 - “all States formula” versus “Vienna formula” 238-40, 246-59, 362-3
 - auto-normative and hetero-normative competence 232-3
 - court competence to determine matters of statehood 238-46
 - effectiveness principle, and 250-2
 - equality of states rule applicability 234-5
 - hierarchy of norms 254, 263-4
 - introduction 230-1
 - Kompetenz-Kompetenz* principle 244
 - legal value of UN resolutions on 231-4
 - majority decision errors, analysis of 240-1
 - in merito* assessment of “the territory of the state,” competence for 238-46
 - “non-member observer State” 237

- object and purpose of Statute, compatibility with 251-3
- ordinary meaning *versus* special meaning 253-9
- practical utility of Majority decision 259-62
- presumptions of statehood, assessment of 234-8
- reasonable grounds to believe, application of standard 262
- State of registration of vessels 243-4
- “State on the territory of which” 255-9
- “State Parties to this Statute” *versus* “States are Parties to this Statute” 248-9, 259
- “territory of another State” 255
- “territory of the State” 250
- VCLT interpretation rules 246-59
- methodology and reasoning—jurisprudence
 - Al Bashir* 252
 - Katanga* 254
 - Kony* 251
 - Robingya* 248-9
 - Ruto/Sang* 252
- Montevideo criteria
 - “capacity to enter” criterion 278-85
 - criticism of 269-70, 272-3
 - customary law, and 270-3
 - “defined territory” criterion 273-4, 292-3
 - “government” criterion 274-8
 - importance of 294-5
 - interpretation of statehood in jurisprudence and interstate institutional practice 285-94
 - “permanent population” criterion 273
 - reservations, use of 271-3
- Montevideo criteria—jurisprudence
 - Albanian Borders* 286-7
 - Customs Regime Between Germany and Austria* 285-6
 - Meerauge Lake* 276-7, 280, 287-8
- Palestinian participation in the ICC post-1995 335-7
- Palestinian occupation, challenging legality of
 - likely impact of challenges on political resolution 337-43
- perceptions of Palestinian statehood
 - future terms, in 317-34
 - League of Arab States and Organization of Islamic Cooperation 328-9, 333
 - presidential and ministerial statements 322-7
 - statements of leading Palestinian personalities on State of Palestine 385-90
 - State’s administrative and judicial functionality, relevance of 242
 - sub-state entities, rights and powers of 243, 364-5
- UN documentary approach to treatment of Palestinian statehood, overview 391-405
- UN practices
 - regarding participation by “pre-sovereign”/“non-member observer” States 297-304
 - relationship between ICC and UN 295-7
 - Secretary-General, role in situations of uncertainty 312-15
- UNGA 67/19
 - content, assessment in light of later resolutions 316-35
 - peace process Road Map 310

Situation in the State of Palestine (Decision on the “Prosecution Request Pursuant to Article 19(3) for a Ruling on the Court’s Territorial Jurisdiction in Palestine”) (cont.)

- perceptions of statehood status 317-23
- right of Palestinian people to self-determination 316
- “territory” and “borders”, interpretation formulas for 308-11
- UN Secretary-General role as depositary for accession instruments, impact on 312-15
- voting on adoption 304-8
- whether binding on court 295-7

Perrin de Brichambaut J

- contextual interpretation of jurisdiction under Article 19(3) 406-8
- legally binding status of decision 410-12
- overview 174
- Prosecutor’s identification of potential cases 409-10
- timing of Prosecutor’s requests 408-9

Somalia

domestic law

- Law on the Somali Territorial Sea and Ports 1972 23, 34-5, 160
- Maritime Law 1988 23, 25, 35, 160
- Mining Code 1984 35

maritime delimitation dispute with Kenya (*see Maritime Delimitation in the Indian Ocean (Somalia v. Kenya)*)

special circumstances/relevant factors (maritime delimitation) (including CSC 6/TSC 12/UNCLOS 15), eligibility for consideration as

- coastal configuration
- radial projection 118-20, 123

State succession (general considerations including definition and responsibility for determination)

newly independent states

- boundaries established by treaties (VCSST 11) 151-2
- treaty obligations of predecessor, and (VCSST 16) 151-2

pacta tertiis nec nocent nec prosunt 115

“succession of States” 150-1

statehood, requirements/evidence of

Montevideo Convention on the Rights and Duties of States (1933) 269-95

“capacity to enter” criterion 278-85

criticism of 269-70, 272-3

customary law, and 270-3

“defined territory” criterion 273-4, 292-3

“government” criterion 274-8

importance of 294-5

interpretation of statehood in jurisprudence and interstate institutional practice 285-94

“permanent population” criterion 273

reservations, use of 271-3

rights/powers

UN non-member observer states 297-304

UN Resolutions

67/19 (Status of Palestine in the UN) 295-335

treaties, depositary’s functions

role of UN Secretary-General, and 314

validity of instruments of accession not falling within 210

treaty interpretation (general)

- “all states formula” *versus* “Vienna formula” 238-9, 246-59, 362-3
- effectiveness principle, and 250-2
- invalidity of treaties, basic norms 369-70

treaty interpretation (VCLT 31(1)) (object and purpose/spirit and purpose) (teleological approach)

- effectiveness (*ut res magis valeat quam pereat/effet utile*) 251-2

treaty succession (including VCSST)

- boundary/“territorial” treaties (VCSST 11) 151-2
- pacta tertiis nec nocent nec prosunt* 115
- treaty obligations of predecessor State (VCSST 16) 151-2

UNCLOS dispute settlement (Part XV: Section 1 (general provisions))

- obligation to exchange views (UNCLOS 283(1)) 551-3, 561
- general requirements 432
- lack of jurisdiction for non-compliance 679-89
- time limits for 552, 563-4
- willingness, evidence of 551-2
- obligation to seek peaceful settlement (UNCLOS 279)
- comparison with ICJ 41 675-6

UNCLOS dispute settlement (Part XV: Section 2 (compulsory procedures entailing binding decisions))

- international responsibilities of states
- obligation not to jeopardize or hamper agreement 84-6

UNCLOS dispute settlement (Part XV: Section 3 (limitations and exceptions to applicability of Section 2))

- optional exceptions (UNCLOS 298)
- military activities (UNCLOS 298(1)(b)) 576-8, 592-5, 629-56

unilateral declaration/undertaking (including validity and legal effects)

- jurisprudence
- Nuclear Tests* 356-7
- non-sovereign states, from 356-7

United Nations, general

- non-member observer status 297-304

VCLT (procedural aspects)

- context for purposes of interpretation 209
- treaty depositaries 314

warships

- immunity from jurisdiction
- applicability of UNCLOS 32
- hot pursuit provision conflicts (UNCLOS 111) 663
- as reflection of CIL 659-61, 668-9
- immunity of ship and crew 553-4, 556
- sovereignty of flag 588-9

