

Multiscale understanding of structural effect on explosive dispersal of granular media

Lvlan Miao^{[1](#page-0-0)}, Jiarui Li^{[2](#page-0-1)} and Kun Xue^{1,}[†](#page-0-2)

¹ State Key Laboratory of Explosion Science and Safety Protection, Explosion Protection and Emergency Disposal Technology Engineering Research Centre of the Ministry of Education, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, China

²Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics, Beijing 1000871, China

(Received 14 April 2024; revised 6 June 2024; accepted 4 July 2024)

Explosive dispersal of granular media widely occurs in nature across various length scales, enabling engineering applications ranging from commercial or military explosive systems to the loss prevention industry. However, the correlation between the explosive dispersal behaviour and the structure of dispersal system is far from completely understood, thereby compromising the prediction of the explosive dispersal outcome resulting from a specific dispersal system. Here, we investigate the dispersal behaviours of densely packed particle rings driven by the enclosed pressurized gases using coarse-grained computational fluid dynamics–discrete parcel method. Distinct dispersal modes emerge from the dispersal systems with vastly varying sets of the macro- and micro-scale structural parameters in terms of the dispersal completeness and the spatial uniformity of the dispersed mass. Further investigation reveals the variation in the dispersal modes arises from the collective effects of multiscale gas–particle coupling relationships. Specifically, the macroscale coupling dictates the cyclic momentum/energy transfer between gases and particle ring as an entirety. The mesoscale coupling relates to the inter-pore gas filtration through the thickness of the particle ring, leading to the mass/energy reduction of the explosive source. The microscale coupling involves the individual particle dynamics influenced by the local flow parameters. A persistent macroscale coupling results in an incomplete dispersal which takes the form of an aggregated annular band, whereas the meso- and micro-scale couplings alter the macroscale coupling to a different extent. By incorporating the effects of the variety of structural parameters on the multiscale gas–particle coupling relationships, a non-dimensional parameter referred to as the modified mass ratio is constructed, which shows an explicit correlation with the dispersal mode. We proceed to establish a dispersal ring model in the continuum frame which accounts for the macro and meso-scale coupling effects. This model proves to be capable of successfully predicting the ideal and validated failed dispersal modes.

Key words: shock waves, mixing enhancement, particle/fluid flow

1. Introduction

Explosive dispersal of granular media, whereby the detonation of central explosive or sudden release of pressurized gases disperses the densely packed particles to form dilute particle clouds, occurs in a wide range of natural phenomena and engineering processes (Formenti, Druitt & Kelfoun [2003;](#page-46-0) Eckhoff [2009;](#page-45-0) Aglitskiy *et al.* [2010;](#page-45-1) Frost [2018;](#page-46-1) Kuranz *et al*. [2018;](#page-46-2) Marr *et al.* [2018;](#page-46-3) Pontalier *et al.* [2018;](#page-46-4) Rigby *et al.* [2018\)](#page-46-5). In volcanic eruptions, the explosive expansion of pressurized gases through an initially concentrated dispersion of particles expels mixtures of pressurized gases and fragments of magma within volcanic conduits (Marjanovic *et al.* [2018\)](#page-46-6). For various commercial and military explosive systems, such as fire extinguishing devices using explosive dispersed dry powders (Klemens, Gieras & Kaluzny [2007\)](#page-46-7), thermobaric and fuel-air bombs (Frost *et al.* [2010,](#page-46-8) [2012\)](#page-46-9), the explosive dispersal of granular media and the ensuing mixing of particulate matter with air are of particular importance to their reliable applications (Zhang *et al.* [2015;](#page-47-0) Bai *et al.* [2018;](#page-45-2) Posey *et al.* [2021\)](#page-46-10). To harness the explosive dispersal of granular media and attain the optimal dispersal outcome in terms of the size and the concentration of the resulting aerosol cloud, it is of utmost importance to establish the correlation between the dispersal process and the structure of the dispersal system.

A widely accepted archetype of the explosive dispersal system consists of a densely packed particle shell surrounding a central explosive source which may take the form of either a high explosive or the pressurized gas pocket (Frost *et al.* [2012,](#page-46-9) [2018;](#page-46-1) Ling & Balachandar [2018;](#page-46-11) Osnes, Vartdal & Pettersson Reif [2018;](#page-46-12) Fernández-Godino *et al.* [2019;](#page-45-3) Hughes *et al.* [2020;](#page-46-13) Koneru *et al.* [2020\)](#page-46-14). Even such a simplistic configuration has a multitude of structural parameters on both macro- and micro-scales. The macroscale set of parameters includes the geometries and masses of the particle shell and the central explosive, the porosity of the particle packings, the total energy of the explosive source, etc. While the particle size (size distribution), density, morphology and material properties constitute the microscale set of parameters. Among the variety of parameters, the mass ratio, M/C, namely the mass ratio between the particle shell and the explosive, is found to play a pivotal role in determining the initial expanding velocity of the dispersed particulate matter, V_{ring} (Milne [2016\)](#page-46-15). Since the inverse of the M/C scales with the energy available for the particles per unit mass, it underpins the macroscale coupling between the central explosive source and the particle shell as an entirety. As previous study reveals, an increased M/C leads to a more enduring macroscale coupling, which is characterized by the cyclical fluctuation of the central pressure and the synchronized expansion–implosion pulsation of the enclosing shell (Kun *et al.* [2023\)](#page-46-16). Conversely a short-lived macroscale coupling is expected for a very small M/C, where the initial energy imparted upon the particle shell is so high that the shell prematurely expands out of the reach of the central pressurized region.

The aforementioned macroscale gas–particle coupling that is equivalent to the coupling between the central gases and the continuum encasing shell occurs on the inner surface of shell, although the solid stresses inside the particle shell arises from the inter-grain contacts (Saurel *et al.* [2010;](#page-47-1) Black, Denissen & McFarland [2018;](#page-45-4) Marayikkottu & Levin [2021\)](#page-46-17). The explosive dispersal of the continuum shell is predominantly governed by the macroscale coupling. In contrast, the explosive dispersal of the granular media is influenced collectively by the meso- and microscale couplings, whereby a variety of the macro- and micro-scale parameters become relevant. Specifically, the detonation products or the pressurized gases induce an intense gas filtration through the thickness of the particle shell (Lv *et al.* [2018;](#page-46-18) Li *et al.* [2021\)](#page-46-19). The resulting inter-pore gas flows and the diffusional pressure field also mediate the momentum/energy transfer from the explosive source to the particles via drag forces (Mehta *et al.* [2018;](#page-46-20) Gai *et al.* [2020;](#page-46-21) Hughes *et al.* [2021\)](#page-46-22) and pressure gradient forces. Meanwhile the gas flow out removes the energy from the dispersal system, reducing the energy available for the particles. Since the intensity of the gas filtration varies with the mesoscale-averaged porosity and gas–particle relative velocity, the gas filtration mediated coupling is referred to as the mesoscale gas–particle coupling.

Both macro- and mesoscale coupling require that the particle shell remains coherent, namely enduring inter-particle contacts dominate the inter-particle interactions. The integrity of the particle shell will be significantly compromised by massive particle shedding that is inevitable for dry particle packings without inter-grain cohesion (Frost *et al.* [2012;](#page-46-9) Milne *et al.* [2014\)](#page-46-23). Accordingly, the confinement provided by the particle shell to the central gases is weakened, leading to an enhanced gas escape. Less energy is retained in the central gas pocket. Meanwhile the gas filtration begins to cease since no significant pressure gradient is built across the thickness of a very diluted particle shell. In this scenario both macro- and mesoscale couplings become insignificant. If the particle shell is prone to shedding particles, the gas–particle coupling soon evolves into the coupling between gases and individual particles, thereafter the microscale coupling prevails. Because either the meso- or microscale gas–particle coupling depends on both the macro- and micro-scale parameters, it is necessary to properly account for the effects from those multiscale parameters for establishing the structure-dispersal behaviour correlation.

Resolving the multiscale gas–particle coupling that underlies the explosive dispersal of the particle shell can be aided by laboratory-scale simulation with the particle-scale information (Ling, Balachandar & Parmar [2016;](#page-46-24) Mo *et al*. [2018,](#page-46-25) [2019\)](#page-46-26). Thus, adopting a coarse-grained computational fluid dynamics–discrete parcel method, we carried out four-way coupled Euler–Lagrange simulations wherein the denotation of a cylindrical burster was simulated by the sudden release of pressurized gases in the central gas pocket. More than one hundred simulations were performed wherein the macro- and/or micro-scale structural parameters of the dispersal system vary from system to system, which allows us access to a wide variety of multiscale couplings and the resulting dispersal behaviours. Informed by the governing mechanisms of multiscale gas–particle couplings, a modified mass ratio is proposed which explicitly correlates with the dispersal mode. We proceeded to establish a continuum-based theoretical model which accounts for the macroand meso-scale gas–particle couplings. Despite a lack of microscale coupling, this model successfully predicts whether a given dispersal system produces an ideal or validated failed dispersal mode, paving the way to establishing a fully resolved structure-dispersal behaviour correlation.

The paper is organized as follows: in $\S 2$ $\S 2$ we present the numerical method, the prototypal configuration of the explosive dispersal system and the numerical set-up. The characteristic events associated with the macro-, meso- and microscale gas–particle couplings and their respective influences on the dispersal behaviours are elaborated in § [3.](#page-6-0) At the end of which, a complex non-dimensional descriptor known as the modified mass ratio is devised, to correlate the dispersal mode of the dispersal systems with multiscale parameters. An elaborate theoretical model that incorporates the macro- and mesoscale couplings is established in § [4](#page-21-0) to predict the dispersal mode. The microscale coupling effects are further discussed in $\S 5$. Finally, the results are summarized in $\S 6$.

2. Numerical method

2.1. *Governing equations and numerical algorithm*

Numerical simulations were performed based on the coarse-grained compressible computational fluid dynamics-discrete parcel method (CCFD-DPM), a coarse-grained Euler–Lagrange numerical approach suitable for gas–particle flows in laboratory-scale systems (Sundaresan, Ozel & Kolehmainen [2018;](#page-47-2) Tian *et al.* [2020\)](#page-47-3). The CCFD-DPM approach tracks and accounts for parcel–parcel contact interactions. Each parcel consists of multiple physical particles with the same physical and kinetic properties. The number of physical particles that a computational parcel represents is quantified using a scaling factor, namely the super particle loading, χ , whose value is set based on the volume/mass fraction of the particles and computational memory available. For particle–gas systems, the reported χ in previous literature ranges from $O(10^1)$ to $O(10^3)$ (Osnes *et al.* [2018;](#page-46-12) Koneru et al. [2020\)](#page-46-14). In the present work, χ is of the order of $O(10^1)$.

For the gas phase, the volume-averaged governing equations $[(2.1)–(2.3)]$ $[(2.1)–(2.3)]$ $[(2.1)–(2.3)]$ $[(2.1)–(2.3)]$ $[(2.1)–(2.3)]$ constructed in the Eulerian frame are based on a five-equation transport model, i.e. a simplified form of the Baer–Nunziato model, which has been modified to account for compressible multiphase flows ranging from dilute to dense gas–particle flows (Baer & Nunziato [1986\)](#page-45-5)

$$
\frac{\partial (\phi_f \langle \rho_f \rangle)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\phi_f \langle \rho_f \rangle \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_f) = 0, \tag{2.1}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial (\phi_f \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_f \langle \rho_f \rangle)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\phi_f \langle \rho_f \rangle \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_f \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_f + \phi_f \langle P_f \rangle) = \langle P_f \rangle \nabla \phi_f + \sum_i \{ \phi_{p,i} \rho_{p,i} D_{p,i} (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{p,i} - (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_f)_i) \},
$$
(2.2)

$$
\frac{\partial (\phi_f \langle \rho_f \rangle \tilde{E}_f)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\phi_f \langle \rho_f \rangle \tilde{E}_f \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_f + \phi_f \langle P_f \rangle \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_f)
$$
\n
$$
= \langle P_f \rangle \nabla \phi_f \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_p + \sum_i \{ \phi_{p,i} \rho_{p,i} D_{p,i} (\mathbf{u}_{p,i} - (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_f)_i) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{p,i} \}. \tag{2.3}
$$

The gas volume fraction, velocity, density, pressure and the total energy of the gas are represented by ϕ_f , u_f , ρ_f , P_f and E_f , $E_f = \rho_f e_f + 0.5 \rho_f u_f u_f$, respectively. In [\(2.1\)](#page-3-1)–[\(2.3\)](#page-3-2), $\langle \rangle$ and \sim denote phase-averaged and mass-averaged variables, respectively, $\rho_{p,i}$ and $u_{p,i}$ are the density and velocity of parcel *i*, *D* is the drag force coefficient of parcel *i* and are the density and velocity of parcel *i*, $D_{p,i}$ is the drag force coefficient of parcel *i* and $\phi_{p,i} = w_{i,f}$ $V_{p,i}/V_f$ is the contribution of parcel *i* to the weighted particle volume fraction $(w_{i,f}$ is the distributed weight that parcel *i* contributes to the particle volume fraction in fluid cell, $V_{p,i}$ and V_f are the volumes of parcel *i* and the fluid cell).

We employ the Di Felice model combined with Ergun's equation $((2.4)–(2.7))$ $((2.4)–(2.7))$ $((2.4)–(2.7))$ $((2.4)–(2.7))$ $((2.4)–(2.7))$ to calculate *Dp* (Di Felice [1994\)](#page-45-6), which considers the effects of both the particle Reynold number, Re_p , and the particle phase volume fraction, ϕ_p . The model has been widely used in particle-laden multiphase flows

$$
D_{p,i} = \frac{3}{8sg} C_d \frac{|u_f - u_{p,i}|}{r_p},
$$
\n(2.4)

$$
C_d = \frac{24}{Re_p} \begin{cases} 8.33 \frac{\phi_p}{\phi_f} + 0.0972 Re_p & \text{if } \phi_f < 0.8 \\ f_{base} \cdot \phi_f^{-\zeta} & \text{if } \phi_f \ge 0.8 \end{cases}
$$
 (2.5)

Multiscale understanding of structural effect

$$
f_{base} = \begin{cases} 1 + 0.167 Re_p^{0.687} & \text{if } Re_p < 1000\\ 0.0183 Re_p & \text{if } Re_p \ge 1000 \end{cases}
$$
 (2.6)

$$
\zeta = 3.7 - 0.65 \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(1.5 - \log_{10} Re_p)^2\right].
$$
 (2.7)

In (2.4) – (2.5) , C_d is the dimensionless coefficient of the drag force, *sg* is the specific weight of individual particles and r_p is the particle radius. For dense particle flows $(\phi_f < 0.8)$, [\(2.4\)](#page-3-3) reduces to the original Ergun equation. Otherwise, C_d takes the form of Stokes's law multiplied by a factor of *fbase*, which varies with *Rep*, as indicated by [\(2.6\)](#page-4-1) and [\(2.7\)](#page-4-0).

The particle phase is represented by discrete parcels whose motion is governed by Newton's second law $((2.8)$ $((2.8)$ and (2.9))

$$
\frac{d\mathbf{u}_{p,i}}{dt} = D_{p,i}(\mathbf{u}_f - \mathbf{u}_{p,i}) - \frac{1}{\rho_p} \nabla \langle P_f \rangle + \frac{1}{m_p} \sum_j F_{C,ij},\tag{2.8}
$$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}x_{p,i}}{\mathrm{d}t} = u_{p,i},\tag{2.9}
$$

where $u_{p,i}$ and $x_{p,i}$ denote the velocity and displacement of parcel *i*, respectively, and $F_{C,ij}$ represents the collision force between parcels *i* and *j*.

A four-way coupling strategy was adopted to account for the momentum and energy transfer between gases and particles. Specifically, the particle drag force and the associated work were incorporated into the momentum and energy equations of the gas phase as the source terms. The particle parcels are driven by the pressure gradient force, drag force and collision force between parcels (2.8) . A soft sphere model, represented by a linear-spring dashpot model, was employed to model the collision force between parcels. Hence F_{C} ,*ij* consists of a repulsive force and a damping force

$$
\boldsymbol{F}_{C,ij} = k_{n,p} \boldsymbol{\delta}_n - \gamma_{n,p} \boldsymbol{u}_{n,ij},
$$
\n(2.10)

where $k_{n,p}$ and $\gamma_{n,p}$ are the stiffness and damping coefficients of parcels, δ_n and $u_{n,ij}$ are the overlap and normal velocity difference between parcels in contact; $\gamma_{n,p}$ is a function of the parcel restitution coefficient ε*^p*

$$
\gamma_{n,p} = -\frac{2\ln \varepsilon_p}{\sqrt{\pi^2 + \ln \varepsilon_p}} \sqrt{m_p k_{n,p}}.\tag{2.11}
$$

To solve the equations governing the gases, the weighted essentially non-oscillatory scheme was used to reconstruct the primary flow variables. A Riemann solver proposed by Harten, Lax and van Leer was used to obtain the intercell fluxes. The third-order Runge–Kutta method was applied to the time integration. The equations describing the parcel velocity and position were discretized by the velocity-Verlet algorithm. Bilinear/trilinear interpolation functions were adopted to calculate the particle volume fraction and source terms on the Eulerian grids, as well as the fluid variables on Lagrangian parcels (Liu, Osher & Chan [1994\)](#page-46-27). Numerical details with regard to CCFD-DPM can be found in our previous works (Tian *et al.* [2020\)](#page-47-3).

The CCFD-DPM framework has been validated against several benchmark experiments involving shock driven particle laden flows (Tian *et al.* [2020\)](#page-47-3), such as Rogue's experiments (Rogue *et al.* [1998\)](#page-47-4) which investigate the shock dissipation through particle curtains, the experiments carried by Britan & Ben-Dor [\(2006\)](#page-45-7), which access both the gaseous and

Figure 1. (*a*) Two-dimensional numerical configuration of the explosive dispersal system (only one quarter of the configuration is shown). Distribution of the simulated dispersal systems in the parameter space (M/C, *Egas*) (*b*) and (d_p, ρ_p) (*c*). The symbol size in (*b*) and (*c*) scales with the recurrence frequency of the corresponding parameter combination in all numerical cases.

solid pressures inside particle columns impinged head on by shocks and the experiments of shock induced interfacial instability of granular media (Xue *et al.* [2018\)](#page-47-5). Specifically the CCFD-DPM-based simulations reproduce the characteristic expanding velocity and the signature finger-like jetting structure of the explosive dispersal, further validating the applicability of the CCFD-DPM method in investigating the explosive dispersal phenomena (Tian *et al.* [2020\)](#page-47-3).

2.2. *Numerical set-up*

The two-dimensional numerical configuration is shown in figure $1(a)$ which has a high pressure gas pocket with the initial pressure P_0 and the radius of R_{gas} . The gas pocket is enclosed by a densely packed particle ring with inner and outer radii of $R_{in,0}$ and $R_{out,0}$, respectively. The volume fraction of particle ring ϕ_0 ranges from random loose packing $\phi_{0,min} = 0.5$ to the random dense packing $\phi_{0,max} = 0.65$. The annular particle ring domain is filled by computational parcels generated by the radius expansion algorithm (Yan, Hai-Sui & Glenn [2009\)](#page-47-6). A population of parcels with artificially small radii that ensure no particle overlap is randomly created within the specified domain. Then, all parcels are expanded until the specified parcel size distribution and desired volume fraction are both satisfied. The real particle has a diameter of d_p while the diameter of the parcel uniformly ranges from $0.75d_p$ to $1.25d_p$ to avoid potential crystallization during shock compaction. A random but homogenous arrangement of parcels is achieved as shown in the zoomed-in inset of figure $1(a)$ wherein the parcels are coloured by the local Voronoi volume fraction $\phi_{p, \text{voro}}$. The parcel has a density of ρ_p same as the real particles.

For the simplified configuration shown in [figure 1\(](#page-5-0)*a*), the macroscale set of structural parameters includes the geometries of the central gas pocket and the particle ring, *Rgas*, $R_{in,0}$ and $R_{out,0}$, the gas pressure and temperature, P_0 and T_0 , the total mass of particle

ring, $m_{ring} = \pi \rho_p \phi_0 (R_{out,0}^2 - R_{in,0}^2)$, etc. Both R_{gas} and P_0 contribute to the explosion energy of the gas pocket which can be approximated by the Brode equation (Crowl [2003\)](#page-45-8)

$$
E_{gas} = \frac{(P_0 - P_{amb})V_{gas}}{\gamma - 1} = \frac{\pi (P_0 - P_{amb})R_{gas}^2}{\gamma - 1},
$$
\n(2.12)

where V_{gas} is the volume of the gas pocket, P_{amb} is the ambient pressure and γ is the ratio of specific heat. The mass ratio based on the masses of pressurized gases and the particle ring is given by

$$
M/C = \frac{\pi (R_{out,0}^2 - R_{in,0}^2) \phi_0 \rho_p}{\pi R_{gas}^2 \rho_{gas}} = \frac{(R_{out,0}^2 - R_{in,0}^2) \phi_0 \rho_p R T_0}{R_{gas}^2 P_0}.
$$
 (2.13)

Since the particles in the CCFD-DPM method are modelled as smooth spheres, the surface roughness, the angularity and the material properties are difficult to account for. The microscale structure of the dispersal system is mainly embodied by the particle diameter and density, d_p and ρ_p , both contributing to the inertia of the particle. Figure $1(b,c)$ shows the distributions of more than 140 numerical cases in the macro- and micro-scale parametric spaces, namely (*Egas*, M/C) and (*dp*, ρ*p*) spaces, respectively. The symbol size in figure $1(b,c)$ scales with the recurrence frequency of the corresponding parameter combination in all numerical cases. Via varying the macroscale structural parameters, the M/C of numerical cases ranges from $O(10^0)$ to $O(10^4)$, spanning over five orders of magnitude. On the other hand, d_p ranges from $O(10^1)$ to $O(10^2)$ µm while ρ_p ranges from $O(10^1)$ to $O(10^3)$. The vast variations in the macro- and microscale structural parameters fully expose the variability of the resulting dispersal behaviours which are normally prohibited by the experimental means. Exact values of the structural parameters in each numerical case are presented in [table 1](#page-31-1) in [Appendix A.](#page-34-0) For clarity, the system is labelled by three symbols, M/C, $-d_p$ (in units of μ m), $-\rho_p$ (in units of kg m⁻³).

3. Numerical results

3.1. *Macroscale disposal behaviour*

In order to gain knowledge of the overall explosive dispersal behaviour of the particle ring, a space–time $(r-t)$ diagram manifesting the spatio-temporal variations in particle volume fraction $\phi_p(r, t)$ was constructed using the circumferentially averaged ϕ_p . [Figure 2\(](#page-7-0)*a–f*) displays six typical *r*–*t* diagrams for systems with different combinations of macro- and microscale parameters. The inner and outer boundaries of the dispersed particle cloud denoted by the dotted lines are defined in such way that 90 % of particles are enclosed by the boundaries. The corresponding snapshots showing the positions of the dispersed particles at very late times are presented in figure $3(a-f)$.

Figure $2(a)$ shows the most ideal dispersal which occurs at the lower limit of the M/C range. The particle ring undergoes a persistent expansion accompanied by substantial particle shedding from the inner surface, forming an annular particle cloud laden with uniformly distributed particles [\(figure 3](#page-7-1)*a*). The particle cloud becomes increasingly dilute as the volume swells until the momentum of particles is depleted by the drag forces. If the ring expansion is not fast enough, the innermost layers are susceptible to the negative central pressure due to the overexpansion of the central gases and eventually sucked into the centre, as seen in figure $2(b,c)$ (also see [figure 3](#page-7-1)*b*,*c*). Hereafter, a negative pressure refers to a pressure below the ambient one, otherwise the pressure is positive.

L. Miao, J. Li and K. Xue

Figure 2. The *r*–*t* diagrams of φ*p*(*r*, *t*) for systems 9.7-100-2500 (*a*), 104-100-1503 (*b*), 159-100-2500 (*c*), 288-60-1503 (*d*), 1035-100-2500 (*e*), 2043-100-2500 (*f*). The inner and outer boundaries of particle clouds are denoted by dotted lines.

Figure 3. Positions of the dispersed particles at very late times for systems 9.7-100-2500 (*a*), 104-100-1503 (*b*), 159-100-2500 (*c*), 288-60-1503 (*d*), 1035-100-2500 (*e*), 2043-100-2500 (*f*). Particles are rendered according to the instantaneous velocities. Note that the colour ranging between yellow and dark red represents outbound velocities while the colour ranging between cyan and navy blue represents inbound velocities.

Figure 4. Variations in χ and κ with increasing M/C. The symbol size scales with 1/*dp*. The colour of symbols is rendered according to ρ_p . The master curves with 80 % confidence intervals are superimposed in the plots. Symbols denoted as 1, 2, 3 correspond to systems 55-100-3506, 55-450-417, 55-250-210, respectively.

As seen in figure $2(d-f)$, with increasing M/C, the persistent expansion of the ring is gradually taken over by the expansion–implosion pulsation which is compromised or even terminated by the intense particle shedding from both inner and outer surfaces of the ring. A variety of dispersal behaviours contrasting with the ideal dispersal emerge. For the system $288-60-1503$, as shown in figure $2(d)$, the particle ring disintegrates during the first implosion, hurling a majority of particles towards the centre. These particles collide with each other and lose their momentum due to the frequent inelastic collisions. Eventually, most particles reside in the central region instead of being propelled out, resulting in an incomplete dispersal [\(figure 3](#page-7-1)*d*). Another unwanted dispersal outcome occurs when the ring disintegrates at the very moment the ring is about to implode [\(figure 2](#page-7-0)*e*) or the pulsating ring comes to rest in an equilibrium location [\(figure 2](#page-7-0)*f*). In these scenarios, a significant proportion of particles end up in an annular region near the initial location of the ring (see figure $3e, f$) with finger-like jets bursting from the inner and outer surfaces, carrying away a fraction of particles. Hence, figure $2(e, f)$ demonstrates a highly incomplete and non-uniform dispersal.

Most engineering applications desire a uniformly distributed particle cloud via a complete explosive dispersal. The completeness of the explosive dispersal can be quantified by the proportion of particles which are eventually dispersed out, χ . The value of χ is derived by running the numerical experiments until the ring disintegrates or ceases to pulsate and finally tallying the outbound particles. The uniformity of the dispersed particle cloud is assessed by measuring the deviation of the mass centre radius of the actual particle cloud, *Rmass*, from that of the hypothetically homodispersed particle cloud, $R_{mass, homo}$, $\kappa = |R_{mass} - R_{mass, homo}|/R_{mass, homo}$. The values of R_{mass} and *Rmass*,*homo* are calculated when the average particle volume fraction inside the region delimited by the inner and outer boundaries falls to 0.1. The mathematical definitions of χ, *Rmass*, *Rmass*,*homo* and corresponding numerical procedures of equations are elaborated in [Appendix B.](#page-34-1)

Figure $4(a,b)$ shows the variations in χ and κ with increasing M/C, respectively. The size of symbols scales with $1/d_p$, while the colour of the symbols is rendered according to ρ_p . The detectable dependences of χ and κ on M/C emerge from [figure 4\(](#page-8-0)*a*,*b*). As M/C increases from *O*(10²) to *O*(10⁴), χ decreases significantly while *κ*

L. Miao, J. Li and K. Xue

undergoes a substantial and non-convergent increase, indicating that the dispersal becomes increasingly incomplete and non-uniform beyond a M/C threshold of around $O(10^2)$. It is worth noting that there exist significant variabilities in χ and κ among dispersal systems in the M/C range of $O(10^2)$ to $O(10^3)$ which have identical M/C but different ρ_p and d_p . Specifically, the system 55-100-3506 yields an ideal dispersal with a close-to-unity χ and a minimum κ , $\chi = 0.99$ and $\kappa = 0.003$. By contrast, the systems with larger but much lighter particles, systems 55-450-417 and 55-250-210, result in the incomplete and non-uniform dispersals with $\chi = 0.8$ (system 55-450-417) and 0.3 (system 55-250-210), and $\kappa = 0.06$ (system 55-450-417) and 0.16 (system 55-250-210). The non-trivial influences on the dispersal behaviour brought by the microscale parameters will be accounted for in \S § [3.3](#page-10-0) and [3.4.](#page-14-0)

3.2. *Macroscale gas–particle shell coupling*

The overall explosive dispersal behaviour characterized by χ and κ is predominately dictated by the macroscale gas–particle coupling, as revealed in the previous study (Kun *et al.* [2023\)](#page-46-16). Figure $5(a-f)$ presents the space–time $(r-t)$ diagrams of the gaseous pressure fields, $P_{\text{gas}}(r, t)$, for the systems shown in figure $2(a-f)$, wherein the circumferentially averaged P_{gas} is used to plot the *r*–*t* diagrams. We superimpose the inner and outer boundaries of the particle cloud (denoted by white dotted lines) as well as those of the dense core band (denoted by light yellow dashed lines) in [figure 5.](#page-10-1) The dense core band remains densely packed, $\phi_{dense} \geq 0.3$, so that it suffices to effectively confine the central gases and maintain the pressure differential between the inner and outer boundaries. The disappearance of the dense core band signifies the complete disintegration of the particle ring.

There are two characteristic events defining the evolution of the central pressure field enclosed by the inner surface of ring. The first is the multiple reflections of the shock waves and rarefaction fans between the centre and the inner surface, which is most prominent in early times, as shown in [figure 5\(](#page-10-1)*a*). All waves quickly attenuate as the ring rapidly expands. The overexpansion of the central gases takes over to dominate the gas dynamics, causing a drastic decline of the central pressure which eventually becomes negative. The resulting adverse pressure gradient, which is directed outwards, exerts inward pressure gradient forces on the particles. Thereby, the expanding ring decelerates. If the expanding ring completely disintegrates, particles in the inner layers may well reverse their motion and be sucked into the centre. The central gases gush out and quickly mix with the outside gases. In this scenario, a uniform pressure field is established [\(figure 5](#page-10-1)*b*,*c*). Otherwise, the dense core band eventually begins to implode, which in turn gives rise to the recovery of the central pressure and the rebuilding of a favourable pressure gradient field. In due time, the imploding dense core band will again reverse its motion and commence a second expansion. The synchronization between the central pressure fluctuation and the ring pulsation is termed macroscale gas–particle coupling which comes to an end when either the ring disintegrates (figure $5d,e$) or the kinetic energy of the ring is damped away [\(figure 5](#page-10-1)*f*).

The sustainability of the macroscale gas–particle coupling in terms of the duration of the synchronization between the central gases and the enclosing ring, depends on three pivotal processes that occur on the macro-, meso- and microscale, as elaborated below. The first involves the initial momentum/energy transfer from the explosive source (central gases) to the enclosing ring. If the ring expansion is excessively fast, which occurs with small M/C, the central negative pressure field barely influences the dynamics of the ring,

https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2024.745 Published online by Cambridge University Press https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2024.745 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Figure 5. The *r*–*t* diagrams of *Pgas*(*r*, *t*) for systems 9.7-100-2500 (*a*), 104-100-1503 (*b*), 159-100-2500 (*c*), 288-60-1503 (*d*), 1035-100-2500 (*e*), 2043-100-2500 (*f*). The inner and outer boundaries of particle clouds and dense core band are denoted by while dotted lines and light yellow dashed lines.

as shown in figure $5(a)$. The macroscale gas-particle coupling is short lived, only serving to provide the initial impetus to the particle ring. In this scenario, the time scale of the ring dynamics, which is defined as the time the ring takes to expand to twice the initial diameter, *tring*, is one order smaller than that of the pressure field, which is characterized by the time the central pressure takes to decrease to the ambient pressure, *tgas*, namely $\tau_{macro} = t_{ring}/t_{gas} \sim O(10^{-1})$. From [figure 5\(](#page-10-1)*a–d*), $\tau_{macro} = 0.6, 1.2, 4.9, 12.5$, indicating an improved compatibility between the ring dynamics and the gas evolution with increasing M/C. Note that τ_{macro} does not exist for the systems 1034-100-2500 and 2043-100-2500 since the ring cannot expand to twice its initial diameter prior to the implosion.

3.3. *Mesoscale gas–particle shell coupling*

The initial momentum/energy transfer from the central gases to the particle ring occurs during the shock compaction phase which begins when the incident shock impinges on the inner surface of the ring and ends when the outer surface gains velocity. Two fundamental processes dominate the shock compaction, as schematized in figure $6(a,b)$. One is the transmission of blast loading exerted on the inner surface of the ring via the solid stresses arising from inter-particle contacts. The other is the inter-pore gas filtration driven by the pressure differential between the inner and outer surfaces of the ring. Alongside the inter-pore gas flows, a diffusional pressure field develops. Particles hence experience the pressure gradient forces and drag forces besides the solid stresses. All these forces together accelerate the particles and compact them to a compacted packing. Since the

Figure 6. Schematics of compaction wave propagation via inter-particle stresses (*a*) and gas filtration through pores in the granular packing (*b*) induced by the impingement of the incident shock.

momentum/energy transportation is caused by the collective particle movements and inter-pore gas flows, the shock compaction is governed by the mesoscale gas–particle coupling.

While the particle ring gains the momentum, the interstitial gas flows cause substantial mass and energy losses of the central gases, thereby reducing the energy transferred to the ring. The resulting mass/energy reduction varies from system to system due to the distinctively different gas filtration. [Figure 7](#page-12-0) shows the early-time space–time $(r-t)$ diagrams of ϕ_p [\(figure 7](#page-12-0)*a*,*b*), P_{gas} (figure 7*c*,*d*) and u_{gas} (figure 7*e*, *f*) for systems 1035-50-1828 and 1035-800-1828. The trajectories of the compaction front (CF) and the diffusional pressure front (DPF) are superimposed on each panel in [figure 7.](#page-12-0) The CF delineates the compacted particle packing. As the CF reaches the outer surface of the ring, the shock compaction phase is completed with the compacted ring acquiring an initial expansion velocity *Vring*. The distance of the DPF from the inner surface of the ring, $L_{DPF} = R_{DPF} - R_{in}$, characterizes the propagation depth of the transient diffusional pressure field whose determination is presented in [Appendix C.](#page-35-0) For the system 1035-50-1828, the DPF propagates far slower than the CF, thereby there is no interstitial gas flowing out of the outer surface of the ring during the shock compaction phase [\(figure 7](#page-12-0)*e*). By contrast, the DPF distinctly precedes the CF in the system 1035-800-1828, inducing the intensified and persistent gas flows [\(figure 7](#page-12-0)*f*). Accordingly, the mass and energy losses mediated by the gas flowing out vary significantly. The mass ratios of gases retained in the central gas pocket after the shock compaction phase, χ*gas*, are 96 % and 73 % for the systems 1035-50-1828 and 1035-800-1828, respectively. As expected, the ring in the system 1035-800-1828 gains a faster initial expanding velocity than that in the system 1035-50-1828, $V_{ring,1035-50-1828}$ = 8 m s⁻¹, $V_{ring,1035-800-1828}$ = 5 m s⁻¹.

Since the mass and energy losses of the central gases are associated with the propagation velocity ratio between the DPF and the CF, $\tau_{meso} = V_{DPF}/V_{CF}$, if τ_{meso} can be expressed as a function of structural parameters, the energy diminishing effect arising from the mesoscale gas–particle coupling can be estimated for a given dispersal system. The advance of the DPF depends on the velocity of the local interstitial gas flows relative to the solid skeleton, $V_{DPF} = u_{gas}(R_{DPF}) - u_{solid}(R_{DPF})$. Ignoring the nonlinear terms, the interstitial gas flows can be accounted for by the Darcy equation, whose expression in polar coordinates is given by [\(3.1\)](#page-11-1)

$$
\varepsilon (u_{gas} - u_{solid}) = -\frac{k}{\mu} \frac{dP_{gas}}{dr},
$$
\n(3.1)

Figure 7. Early-time space–time $(r-t)$ diagrams of ϕ_p (*a*,*b*), P_{gas} (*c*,*d*) and u_{gas} (*e*,*f*) for systems 1035-50-1828 (a, c, e) and 1035-800-1828 (b, d, f) . The trajectories of the CF and the DPF are superimposed on each panel.

where ε is the local porosity, μ is the dynamic viscosity of the interstitial gases and the permeability *k* is a function of the volume fraction ϕ_p described by the Ergun expression

$$
k = \frac{1}{150} \frac{\varepsilon^3}{(1 - \varepsilon)^2} d_p^2.
$$
 (3.2)

L. Miao, J. Li and K. Xue

Thus, V_{DPF} is proportionate to the local gradient of the transient diffusional pressure field at the DPF, $(dP_{gas}/dr)|_{R_{DPF}}$, which varies as the DPF advances. As a first order of approximation, we assume a linearly declining pressure field across the thickness of the ring, leading to an unvaried pressure gradient

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}P_{gas}}{\mathrm{d}r} = -\frac{P_5 - P_{amb}}{h_{ring}},\tag{3.3}
$$

where the initial pressure exerted on the inner surfaces of the ring is represented by the reflected pressure P_5 which is estimated by the normal shock wave relation with the initial pressure P_0 . The ambient pressure P_{amb} represents the pressure exerted on the inner and outer surfaces of the ring. As a matter of fact, the central pressure decreases due to the expansion of the inner surface and the filtration induced mass flow out. Thus, the constant pressure assumption overestimates dP_{gas}/dr , which is somewhat offset by replacing the actual propagation depth of the diffusional pressure field with the thickness of the ring. Substituting [\(3.2\)](#page-12-1)–[\(3.3\)](#page-13-0) into [\(3.1\)](#page-11-1) and replacing ϕ_p with ϕ_0 to have

$$
V_{DPF} = \frac{d_p^2}{150} \frac{(1 - \phi_0)^2}{\mu \phi_0^2} \frac{P_5 - P_{amb}}{h_{ring}}.
$$
\n(3.4)

Equation [\(3.4\)](#page-13-1) specifies the structural parameters affecting *VDFP*, including the macroscale parameters such as P_0 , ϕ_0 and h_{ring} , as well as the microscale parameter d_p . The time derivative of R_{DPF} yields an absolute propagation velocity of the DPF in contrast with the relative velocity implied by the V_{DPF} given in (3.4) . Thus, we subtract the average particle velocity upon the DPF from the average *RDPF* to derive *VDPF* for numerical cases (details can be found in [Appendix C\)](#page-35-0). For the systems 1035-50-1828 and 1035-800-1828, the numerically derived V_{DPF} are 2.3 and 606 m s⁻¹, respectively, which agree well with the respective theoretical predictions given in (3.4) , 2.6 and 658.2 m s⁻¹.

As to the *VCF*, our previous work (Kun *et al.* [2023\)](#page-46-16) proposed a shock compaction model accounting for the propagation of the CF in the particle packings driven by the diffusional pressure field. This model yields an adequate prediction of the V_{CF} given in [\(3.5\)](#page-13-2) for the densely packed granular column with the upstream and downstream pressure kept constant

$$
V_{CF} = \sqrt{\frac{P_5 - P_{amb}}{\rho_p} \frac{\phi_{comp}}{(\phi_{comp} - \phi_0)\phi_0}},
$$
\n(3.5)

where ϕ_{comp} is the maximum volume fraction reached by an assembly of particles with a certain size distribution, here $\phi_{comp} = 0.7$. Although the studied configuration has a divergent geometry and the pressure on the inner surface of the ring is unsteady, [\(3.5\)](#page-13-2) still provides a reasonable estimation for the V_{CF} . With [\(3.5\)](#page-13-2), the systems 1035-50-1828 and 1035-800-1828 share the same V_{CF} of 63.4 m s⁻¹, compared with the respective numerical results, 64.8 and 54.2 m s⁻¹.

Combining [\(3.4\)](#page-13-1) and [\(3.5\)](#page-13-2) we obtain the expression of τ_{meso} as a function of a variety of structural parameters

$$
\tau_{meso} = \frac{V_{DPF}}{V_{CF}} = \frac{1}{150} \frac{(1 - \phi_0)^2}{\phi_0^2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{(\phi_{comp} - \phi_0)\phi_0}{\phi_{comp}}} \cdot \frac{d_p^2 \sqrt{(P_5 - P_{amb})\rho_p}}{\mu h_{ring}}.
$$
(3.6)

[Figure 8](#page-14-1) plots χ_{gas} for all systems with varying τ_{meso} . The symbols are rendered according to the corresponding M/C. An explicit τ_{meso} dependence of χ_{gas} is discernible

Figure 8. Variation in χ*gas* with τ *meso*. symbols are rendered according to the corresponding M/C. A master curve fitted by a polynomial function with an 80 % confidence interval is superimposed in the plot.

as τ_{meso} ranges from $O(10^{-2})$ to $O(10^{1})$. At the lower limit of the τ_{meso} range, $\tau_{meso} \sim O(10^{-2})$, the filtration is far slower than the compaction. The value of χ_{gas} is close to unity, indicating a minimum gaseous mass flow out. A slightly downward slope of χ*gas* emerges when the τ_{meso} approaches $O(10^0)$, the gas filtration beginning to drain the central gases. In the mid- and upper range, $\tau_{meso} \sim O(10^0) - O(10^1)$, χ_{gas} rapidly decreases with increasing τ_{meso} . The correlation between χ_{gas} and τ_{meso} can be described by a fitting function

$$
\chi_{gas} = f(\lg \tau_{meso}) = 0.0227(\lg \tau_{meso})^3 - 0.172(\lg \tau_{meso})^2 - 0.111 \lg \tau_{meso} + 0.933, \tau_{meso} \sim O(10^{-2}) - O(10^{1}). \tag{3.7}
$$

Notably, χ*gas* for systems at the lower limit of the investigated M/C range, $M/C \sim O(10¹)$, exhibits non-trivial deviations from the fitting master curve. As revealed in the last section, the expansion of the ring in this M/C range is exceedingly fast so that the central pressure undergoes a drastic drop. The constant surface pressure assumption underpinning [\(3.4\)](#page-13-1) and [\(3.5\)](#page-13-2) does not hold. Thus, the correlation between χ*gas* and τ *meso* can no longer be adequately described in [\(3.7\)](#page-14-2). Since χ_{gas} is negligible ($\chi_{gas} > 92\%$) in these cases, mainly thanks to the quite short duration of the shock compaction phase, we assume $\chi_{gas} = 1$, ignoring the gas filtration altogether.

The gas filtration associated with the mesoscale gas–particle coupling reduces the effective mass in the central gas pocket, equivalent to an increased mass ratio, $M/(C \cdot$ χ_{gas}) = M/(C · *f*(lg τ_{meso})) whereby the influence of the mesoscale coupling on the dispersal is properly accounted for. Although the derivation of [\(3.7\)](#page-14-2) is based on the simulation data, the τ_{meso} dependence of the χ_{gas} can also be constructed via a theoretical model introduced in § [4.](#page-21-0)

3.4. *Microscale gas–particle shell coupling*

In contrast with the solid or liquid shells/rings, the particle shedding and the variations of volume fraction are inevitable throughout the dispersal of the particle shell/ring.

L. Miao, J. Li and K. Xue

Since the shed-off particles and particles in regions with very low ϕ_p (ϕ_p < 0.3) neither sustain persistent inter-particle contacts nor effectively confine the central gases, they cannot be regarded as part of the densely packed particle shell/ring. The actual particle ring whose pulsation synchronizes with the central pressure fluctuation hence has smaller mass than the initial one, equivalent to having a reduced M/C. Therefore, the particle shedding and localized pack loosening may well fundamentally change the dispersal behaviour. Since these events involve the individual particle dynamics, the resulting thinning of the effective particle ring embodies the microscale gas–particle coupling. In this section, we first explore the mechanism governing the particle shedding and pack loosening, then proceed to quantify the influence of these microscale processes on the overall dispersal and finally shed light on the outliers in [figure 4\(](#page-8-0)*a*).

The integrity of particle ring is maintained during the shock compaction phase and the incipient expansion phase when a favourable pressure gradient is imposed on the particles. The direction of the pressure gradient is soon reversed as the central pressure drops to a negative value. Figure $9(a,b)$ shows the radial profiles of the pressure and pressure gradient, $P_{gas}(r)$ and $\nabla_r P_{gas}(r)$, during the overexpansion phase of the central gases for the system 288-60-1503 whose $r-t$ diagram of ϕ_p is shown in [figure 2\(](#page-7-0)*d*). The corresponding radial profiles of the particle velocity and volume fraction, $u_p(r)$ and $\phi_p(r)$, are presented in figure $9(c,d)$, respectively. The substantial central pressure decline emanates expansion waves into the particle ring, unloading the compacted particles in the wake. The particles adjacent to the inner surface of the ring become so loosely packed [\(figure 9](#page-16-0)*d*) that no appreciable pressure gradient is built therein [\(figure 9](#page-16-0)*b*), inducing a dilute inner band. Meanwhile an outermost layer of the ring pells off when the CF reflects off the outer surface and transitions to an inward travelling rarefaction wave. Particles swept by the rarefaction wave are prone to breaking away from the outer surface, forming a shedding outer band. In between the dilute inner band and the shedding outer band there exists a densely packed core band whose $\phi_p(r)$ peaks at the band centre and this is denoted as $BC_{\phi_{max}}$ in figure $9(d)$ with the red dotted line. Meanwhile, an adverse pressure gradient field with the radial profile resembling that of $\phi_p(r)$ is established across the thickness of the dense core band. The amplitude of the inward pointing pressure gradient forces, $|F_{\nabla p}|$, increases on approaching $BC_{\phi_{max}}$ and decreases on moving away from $BC_{\phi_{max}}$ as expressed in [\(3.8\)](#page-15-0)

$$
\begin{aligned}\n|F_{\nabla P}| &\sim 0, \quad r < R_{dense,in} \text{ or } r > R_{dense,out}, \\
\frac{\partial |F_{\nabla P}|}{\partial r} > 0, \quad R_{dense,in} \le r < R_{\phi_{max}}, \\
\frac{\partial |F_{\nabla P}|}{\partial r} < 0, \quad R_{\phi_{max}} \le r \le R_{dense,out}.\n\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}
$$

Where $R_{dense,in}$, $R_{\phi_{max}}$ and $R_{dense,out}$ represent the radii of the inner boundary, the centre $(BC_{\phi_{max}})$ and the outer boundary of the densely packed core band. The drag forces, *Fdrag*, which are proportionate to the pressure gradient forces in the dense packings, have identical radial profiles (Kun *et al.* [2023\)](#page-46-16).

Such distinctive profiles of $F_{\nabla p}$ and F_{drag} result in a non-monotonic radial variation in $u_p(r)$ (figure $9c,e$). During the ring implosion phase, in the inner half of the dense core band ($R_{dense,in} \le r < R_{\phi_{max}}$), the absolute value of the $u_p(r)$ increases with *r*. Particles in the outer layers tightly compress against those in the inner layers. Thereby, the inner half of the dense core band remains densely packed. By contrast, the absolute value of $u_p(r)$ decreases with *r* in the outer half of the dense core band ($R_{\phi_{max}} \le r \le R_{dense,out}$), leading to a persistent pack loosening and eventually particle shedding. Notably, the imploding dense

Figure 9. Variations in radial profiles of $P_{gas}(r)$ (*a*), $\nabla_r P_{gas}(r)$ (*b*), $u_p(r)$ (*c*) and $\phi_p(r)$ (*d*) for the system 288-60-1503 during the overexpansion phase of central gases, 5.3 ms < *t* < 8.9 ms. The dotted lines in each plot from left to right represent the inner boundary of the particle cloud, the inner boundary of the dense core band, the band centre BCφ*max* , the outer boundary of the dense core band and the outer boundary of the particle cloud, respectively. Typical radial profiles of $\nabla_r P_{gas}(r)$, $u_p(r)$ and $\phi_p(r)$ are shown in (*e*).

core band arrests the drifting particles in the dilute inner band, countering the particle shedding from the outer surface.

[Figure 10](#page-17-0) shows the temporal mass percentage of particles collected by the inner boundary of the dense core band, $\chi_{add}(t)$, and those breaking loose from the outer boundary, $\chi_{\text{shed}}(t)$, during the ring implosion. The variation in the thickness of the dense core band, *hdense*(*t*), is also superimposed in [figure 10.](#page-17-0) For the system 288-60-1503, the particle shedding effect always prevails over the particle collecting effect. The ring completely disintegrates when the former depletes the entire dense core band at $R_{\phi_{max}} =$ 0.134. In this scenario, a disastrous dispersal, where a majority of particles collapse into the centre, is observed.

L. Miao, J. Li and K. Xue

Figure 10. Temporal variations in $\chi_{add}(t)$, $\chi_{shed}(t)$ and $h_{dense}(t)$ during the ring implosion phase for the system 288-60-1503.

The ring thinning effect originating from the particle shedding depends on the dynamics of the ring as well as the individual particle. Specifically, particles of small inertia are more prone to reverse their motion and less likely to break loose compared with those of large inertia. On the other hand, a short-lived implosion of ring does not allow particles enough time to complete the motion reversal so that the ring sheds more severely than the long-lasting one. Thus, it is necessary to quantify the time scales of the ring implosion as well as the particle motion reversal.

Assuming an outbound particle with density of ρ_p and diameter of d_p is about to reverse its motion driven by an inward directing pressure gradient force, the pressure gradient can be estimated by assuming a linear decline of pressure across the thickness of the ring, namely $\nabla P = P_{amb}/h_{ring}$. The characteristic time such a particle takes to reverse its motion is

$$
t_p = \sqrt{\frac{2d_ph_{ring}\rho_p}{P_{amb}}}.
$$
\n(3.9)

The derivation of t_p is presented in [Appendix D.](#page-37-0) Smaller t_p is, the faster the outbound particle reverses to inbound and the less likely it is to break loose.

As seen from figure $2(e, f)$, the expansion–implosion cycle of the ring is semi-symmetric in terms of the duration and the amplitude as well. Hence, the time scale of the ring implosion can be approximated by that of the ring expansion introduced in $\S 3.2$, namely the *tring* which is expressed in [\(3.10\)](#page-17-1) under the assumption of a constant expansion velocity

$$
t_{ring} = \frac{R_{out,0}}{V_{ring,Gurney}}.\tag{3.10}
$$

The denominator in [\(3.10\)](#page-17-1), *Vring*,*Gurney*, is the estimation of *Vring* by a modified Gurney equation (Mo *et al.* [2019\)](#page-46-26) which accounts for the effect of the volume fraction ϕ_0 in addition to the structural parameters normally considered in a conventional Gurney equation

$$
V_{ring, Gurney} = \sqrt{\frac{2e_{gas}}{M/C}} \cdot F(\phi_0, M/C),
$$
 (3.11)

$$
F(\phi_0, \text{M/C}) = 1 + [0.162 \exp(1.127 \phi_0) - 0.5] \cdot \lg(\text{M/C}). \tag{3.12}
$$

The e_{gas} in [\(3.11\)](#page-18-0) is the explosion energy per unit mass of the central gases

$$
e_{gas} = \frac{E_{gas}}{m_{gas}} = \frac{(P_0 - P_{amb})RT_0}{(\gamma - 1)P_0} \simeq \frac{RT_0}{(\gamma - 1)}.
$$
 (3.13)

The ratio between t_{ring} and t_p , $\tau_{micro} = t_{ring}/t_p$, measures the importance of the microscale particle shedding relative to the macroscale ring implosion. Combining (3.9) – (3.13) leads to the expression of τ_{micro} which is a function of both macroscale and microscale parameters

$$
\tau_{micro} = I_p(d_p, \rho_p) \cdot F_{macro}(M/C, \rho_{gas}, R_{out,0}, h_{ring}, \phi_0),
$$
\n(3.14)

$$
I_p = \frac{1}{d_p^{0.5} \rho_p^{0.5}},\tag{3.15}
$$

$$
F_{macro} = \frac{R_{out,0}}{2F(\phi_0, \text{M/C})} \sqrt{\left(\frac{\text{M/C}}{0.2\rho_p^{0.18}} + 0.5\right) \frac{P_{amb}}{e_{gas}h_{ring}}}. \tag{3.16}
$$

A smaller τ_{micro} , or equivalently a larger $1/\tau_{micro}$, indicates a longer particle motion reversal time relative to the entire implosion duration. Particles are more likely to remain outbound, breaking loose from the imploding ring. This argument is corroborated by the τ *micro* dependence of the cumulative volume fraction of shed particles at the end of the first ring implosion, χ*shed*, shown in [figure 11.](#page-19-0) Indeed, χ*shed* significantly increases as τ_{micro} decreases from $O(10^2)$ to $O(10^1)$. Once τ_{micro} falls below 20, χ_{shed} converges to unity, indicating a complete falling apart of the ring during the first implosion. Notably, the symbols in [figure 11](#page-19-0) are rendered according to the respective M/C. A M/C dependence of τ_{micro} is discernible in the mid- and upper range of the M/C, M/C $\sim O(10^3) - O(10^4)$. Specifically, a larger M/C results in a higher τ_{micro} , suggesting the ring thinning effect caused by the particle shedding becomes increasingly insignificant with increasing M/C.

As seen in (3.14) , τ_{micro} is the product of a particle inertia related factor I_p (3.15) and a macroscale complex F_{macro} [\(3.16\)](#page-18-4) which is a function of the M/C and the ring geometry. Decreasing d_p and/or ρ_p leads to an elevated I_p whereby τ_{micro} increases. The particle mass loss caused by the particle shedding will be suppressed. Equivalently, the effective ring in motion is thicker than its counterpart with a smaller τ_{micro} . The resulting dispersal behaviour resembles that with an amplified M/C. [Figure 12](#page-19-1) exhibits the *r*–*t* diagrams of ϕ_p for systems 55-100-3506 [\(figure 12](#page-19-1)*a*), 55-450-417 (figure 12*b*), 55-250-210 [\(figure 12](#page-19-1)*c*) which show worsening completeness and uniformity of dispersal, as first alluded to in § [3.1.](#page-6-1) These three systems have the same M/C , similar ring geometry but different d_p and ρ_p , and thereby different I_p . As I_p decreases from 1.7 ($d_p = 100 \mu$ m, ρ_p = 3506 kg m⁻³) to 2.3 (*d_p* = 450 µm, ρ_p = 417 kg m⁻³), then to 4.4 (*d_p* = 250 µm, ρ_p = 210 kg m⁻³), the disintegration of the ring is significantly retarded and leads to a

L. Miao, J. Li and K. Xue

Figure 11. The τ_{micro} dependence of χ_{shed} . Symbols are rendered according to M/C. The master curve with an 80 % confidence interval is superimposed in the plot.

Figure 12. The *r*–*t* diagrams of φp for systems 55-100-3506 (a), 55-450-417 (b), 55-250-210 (c). The inner and outer boundaries of the particle clouds are denoted by white dashed lines.

lower proportion of particles, $\chi = 0.99, 0.8, 0.3$ for these three systems, respectively. This complete-to-incomplete dispersal transition caused by different microscale couplings is responsible for the bulk of the outliers in figure $4(a)$.

3.5. *Structure-dispersal correlation*

The previous sections shed light on the multiscale gas–particle coupling relations underlying the varying explosive dispersal behaviours. The influences of the macro-, meso- and microscale coupling on the dispersal behaviour can be characterized via the parameter complex, M/C [\(2.13\)](#page-6-2), τ_{meso} [\(3.6\)](#page-13-3) and τ_{micro} [\(3.14\)](#page-18-2), respectively. These non-dimensional complexes incorporate a range of macro- and microscale structural variables. The M/C plays a primary role in determining the overall dispersal performance in terms of χ and κ . The parameters τ_{meso} and τ_{micro} bring about the complementary but indispensable influences related to the mass reduction of gases and particles, respectively. In this section, we aim to construct a defining non-dimensional complex which adequately

Figure 13. Correlation between M/C and $(M/C)^*$. The size of symbols scales with τ_{meso} , while the colour of symbols is rendered according to τ *micro*.

manifests the correlative effects arising from the M/C, τ_{meso} and τ_{micro} , thereby bridging the dispersal systems to the resulting dispersal performances.

An explicit τ_{meso} dependence of χ_{gas} , $\chi_{gas}(\tau_{meso})$ is established in § [3.3,](#page-10-0) as presented by [\(3.7\)](#page-14-2), which is validated throughout the investigated M/C range except at the lower limit of the range, M/C $\sim O(10^0 - 10^1)$. Beyond that range, the original M/C ought to be replaced by M/(C·χ_{gas}(τ_{meso})) to account for the influence of τ_{meso}. As to τ_{micro}, although it is clear that the diminishing mass of the particle ring in motion becomes stronger with a smaller τ_{micro} , equivalent to having a reduced M/C, the exact correlation between them is beyond the scope of the present study. Thus, to incorporate the microscale coupling effect we tentatively modify the M/C by multiplying it by $\lg(\tau_{micro})$. Finally, we construct a modified mass ratio, (M/C)*, which is formulized as

$$
(M/C)^{*} = \begin{cases} (M/C) \cdot \lg(\tau_{micro}) & (M/C) \sim O(10^{0} - 10^{1}) \\ (M/C) \cdot \frac{1}{\chi_{gas}(\tau_{meso})} \cdot \lg(\tau_{micro}) & (M/C) \ge O(10^{1}) \end{cases}
$$
(3.17)

The correspondence between the M/C and $(M/C)^*$ for all systems is presented in [figure 13.](#page-20-0) The size of the symbols scales with τ_{meso} , while the colour of the symbols is rendered according to τ_{micro} . Where the M/C is of the order of $O(10^3)$, the $(M/C)^*$ is proportionate to the M/C as the effects of τ *micro* and τ *meso* are minimum. Otherwise in the lower- and mid-range of M/C, M/C ~ $O(10^1) - O(10^2)$, the $(M/C)^*$ of systems with the upper limit of τ_{meso} and/or the lower limit of τ_{micro} significantly deviate from the scaling law.

Replacing the M/C with the $(M/C)^*$, we replot figure $4(a,b)$ in figure $14(a,b)$. In contrast with the wide data variabilities shown in figure $4(a,b)$, the data converging into the master curves of $\chi((M/C)^*)$ and $\kappa((M/C)^*)$ is substantially improved without apparent outliers, which corroborates the decisive role of $(M/C)^*$ in determining the dispersal characteristics. As seen in [figure 14\(](#page-21-1)*a*,*b*), the dispersal remains complete ($\chi \sim 1$) and uniform (κ remains minimum), namely an ideal dispersal, until the $(M/C)^*$ is of the

Figure 14. Variations in χ (*a*) and κ (*b*) with increasing (M/C)*. The size of symbols scales with the 1/*dp*, while the colour of symbols is rendered according to ρ_p .

order of $O(10^2)$. Thereafter, dispersal incompleteness quickly exacerbates as χ begins a sudden and substantial decline, where χ drops to below 0.5 over one decade of $(M/C)^*$. Meanwhile, κ increases moderately. Accordingly, the explosive dispersal transitions from a complete and uniform one into an incomplete one with a non-trivial proportion of particles failing to disperse out. Regardless, particles in the dispersed particle cloud remain mostly uniformly distributed as suggested by the low value of κ (κ < 0.1). Thus, this dispersal mode is referred to as a partial dispersal. When the $(M/C)^*$ reaches the order of $O(10^3)$ and beyond, the decreasing rate of χ significantly slows down, gradually approaching zero as $(M/C)^*$ approaches $O(10^4)$. By contrast the growth rate of κ expedites, indicating a quickly worsening uniformity of dispersal. In this scenario the majority of particles dwell in a highly concentrated region, giving rise to a failed dispersal.

4. Theoretical modelling

4.1. *Criteria for the dispersal mode transition*

As revealed in § 3.5 , the dispersal mode transitions from ideal to partial then to failed as the $(M/C)^*$ increases from $O(10^{-1})$ to $O(10^4)$. The $(M/C)^*$ thresholds signifying the ideal-to-partial and partial-to-failed mode transitions are denoted as $(M/C)^{*}_{th,I}$ and $(M/C)^{*}_{th,II}$, as marked in figure $14(a,b)$, $(M/C)^{*}_{th,I} \sim 1 \times 10^{2}$, $(M/C)_{th,I}^* \sim 1 \times 10^3$, respectively. Since the exact values of the $(M/C)_{th,X}^*$ (*X* = I or II) are sensitive to the numerical models and parameter set-ups, the $(M/C)^{*}_{th,X}$ (*X* = I or II) marked in figure $14(a,b)$ only serves as the reference rather than a guaranteed guide to predicting the dispersal mode. Instead of resorting to a single defining parameter, in this section we attempt to establish a theoretical model accounting for the entire ring dynamics resulting from a specific dispersal system. Combed with reasonable criteria for the dispersal mode transitions, the model allows for the prediction of the dispersal mode.

Firstly, the criterion for the ideal-to-partial dispersal mode transition is introduced. As discussed in § [3.2,](#page-9-0) in the ideal dispersal the time scale of the ring expansion is far smaller than that of the gas evolution, $\tau_{macro} \sim O(10^{-1})$. [Figure 15\(](#page-22-0)*a*) shows the variation in τ_{macro} with the (M/C)^{*}. Indeed all systems with (M/C)^{*} falling below (M/C)_{th,} satisfy this requirement. Thereafter τ_{macro} increases to $O(10^0)$, the time scale of the

Figure 15. Variations in τ_{macro} (*a*) and ξ_{imp} (*b*) with the (M/C)^{*}. Dark red symbols in (*b*) represent the semi-failed dispersal while light red symbols represent validated failed dispersal.

ring dynamics becoming comparable to that of the pressure evolution, leading to a vast number of particles being sucked into the centre by the negative central pressure. Therefore $\tau_{macro} \sim O(10^{-1})$ serves as a requirement for an ideal dispersal.

At the other end of the dispersal mode spectrum, a failed dispersal featuring sustained macroscale coupling requires a complete ring to implode at least once. The likelihood of the particle ring surviving a complete implosion depends on the thickness of the ring as well as the implosion distance. A thinner ring with a larger radius is less likely to survive a complete implosion (see [figure 2](#page-7-0)*c*–*f*). [Figure 15\(](#page-22-0)*b*) plots the ratio between the thickness and the outer radius of the ring at the very moment of motion reversal (see the inset of [figure 15](#page-22-0)*b*), $\xi_{imp} = h_{dense,imp}/R_{dense,imp}$, for systems of the failed dispersal mode. The ring here refers to the dense core band introduced in § [3.4.](#page-14-0) The systems wherein the rings do not survive the first implosion are denoted by the dark red circles. Otherwise, the systems are denoted by the light red circles. Thereafter, these two groups of systems are referred to as the semi- and validated failed dispersal. The former clusters just below the (M/C)[∗] *th*,*II* while the latter is above the $(M/C)_{th,II}^*$. Clearly, the ξ_{imp} of all the light red circles exceeds 0.1, thereby systems with $\xi_{imp} > 0.1$ suffice to predict a validated failed dispersal.

4.2. *Continuum-based explosive dispersal model*

The last section sets the criteria for the ideal and validated failed modes, namely $\tau_{macro} \sim O(10^{-1})$ and $\xi_{imp} > 0.1$, respectively. To derive τ_{macro} and ξ_{imp} , it is necessary to adequately reproduce two primary ring dynamics phases, namely the shock compaction and the ring pulsation phases, which are the focus of two sub-models. The shock compaction sub-model aims to account for CF which propagates through the thickness of the ring and couples with the transient interstitial gas flows, thereby estimating the kinetic energy imparted to the particle rings and the mass/energy loss of the central gases at the end of shock compaction. The final state of the shock compaction sub-model serves as the initial state of the following ring pulsation sub-model. The ring pulsation sub-model intends to yield the key dynamic parameters pertinent to the ring and central gases, such as t_{ring} , t_{gas} , $h_{dense,imp}$ and $R_{dense,imp}$, whereby τ_{macro} and ξ_{imp} are determined. A value of τ_{macro} of the order of $O(10^{-1})$ results in the ideal dispersal mode.

L. Miao, J. Li and K. Xue

Otherwise, ξ_{imp} is assessed sequentially. If $\xi_{imp} > 0.1$ holds, a validated failed dispersal is expected. Otherwise the resulting dispersal is either partial or semi-failed.

4.2.1. *Evolution of central gases and gas filtration*

Considering the configuration of the archetype shown in [figure 1\(](#page-5-0)*a*), wherein a circular central gas pocket with the initial radius $R_{in,0}$ is filled with homogenous static gases with an initial pressure P_0 and density $\rho_{centre,0}$

$$
\rho_{center,0} = \frac{P_0}{\bar{R}T_0},\tag{4.1}
$$

where \bar{R} is the specific gas constant, $\bar{R} = 288.7$ J kg⁻¹ k⁻¹. The enclosing ring with the initial inner and outer radii of $R_{in,0}$ and $R_{out,0}$ comprises the compressible porous medium with the initial porosity ε_0 and the solid phase density ρ_{solid} .

Throughout the shock compaction and the following ring pulsation phases, gases in the central gas pocket are allowed to flow out (the central pressure is positive) or flow in (the central pressure is negative) due to the inter-pore gas filtration which is governed by Darcy's law [\(3.1\)](#page-11-1). The mass loss or gain rate of the central gases depends on the gas velocity on the inner surface of the ring relative to the moving inner surface

$$
\dot{m}_{gas}(t) = 2\pi R_{in} \cdot \rho_{gas}(R_{in}) \cdot [u_{gas}(R_{in}) - V_{in}] \cdot \varepsilon(R_{in}), \qquad (4.2)
$$

where $\rho_{gas}(R_{in})$, $u_{gas}(R_{in})$ and $\varepsilon(R_{in})$ are the instantaneous interstitial gas density, gas velocity and the porosity on the inner surface of the ring, respectively, and V_{in} is the expanding velocity of the inner surface. Since the positive *ugas* directs outward, a positive the mass loss rate induces a negative mass gain rate. The instantaneous mass retained inside the central gas pocket is

$$
m_{gas}(t) = m_{gas,0} - \int_0^t 2\pi R_{in} \cdot \rho_{gas}(R_{in}) \cdot [u_{gas}(R_{in}) - V_{in}] \cdot \varepsilon(R_{in}) dt, \qquad (4.3)
$$

where $m_{gas,0} = \pi R^2_{in,0} \cdot \rho_{centre,0}$ is the initial mass of the central gases. The cumulative mass flux combined with the volumetric variation of the central gas pocket caused by the expansion or implosion of the ring collectively leads to the density evolution of the central gases

$$
\rho_{center} = \frac{R_{in,0}^2}{R_{in}^2} \rho_{center,0} - \int_0^t \frac{2\rho_{gas}(R_{in})}{R_{in}} \cdot [u_{gas}(R_{in}) - V_{in}] \cdot \varepsilon(R_{in}) dt
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{R_{in,0}^2}{R_{in}^2} \rho_{center,0} + \int_0^t \frac{2\rho_{gas}(R_{in})}{R_{in}} \cdot \frac{k}{\mu} \nabla P_{R_{in}} dt.
$$
\n(4.4)

Assuming an isentropic expansion for the central gases gives

$$
\frac{P_{center}}{\rho_{center}^{\gamma}} = \frac{P_0}{\rho_{center,0}^{\gamma}},
$$
\n(4.5)

where γ is the specific heat ratio, $\gamma = 1.4$. Substituting [\(4.1\)](#page-23-0) and [\(4.4\)](#page-23-1) into [\(4.5\)](#page-23-2) to derive the instantaneous central pressure, which is also the pressure exerted on the inner surface of the ring, $P_{\text{gas}}(R_{\text{in}}) = P_{\text{centre}}$

$$
P_{gas}(R_{in}) = P_{center} = \frac{\bar{R}^{\gamma} T_0^{\gamma}}{P_0^{\gamma - 1}} \cdot \left[\frac{R_{in,0}^2}{R_{in}^2} \frac{P_0}{\bar{R} T_0} + \int_0^t \frac{2\rho_{gas}(R_{in})}{R_{in}} \cdot \frac{k}{\mu} \nabla P_{R_{in}} dt \right]^{\gamma}.
$$
 (4.6)

As seen in [\(4.6\)](#page-24-0), *Pcenter* depends on the ring dynamics and the gas filtration as well. The former dictates the volumetric variation of the central gas pocket via the variable R_{in} . The latter involves the dynamic flow parameters, $u_{gas}(R_{in})$ and $\rho_{gas}(R_{in})$, which are incorporated into the Morrison equation in polar coordinates

$$
\frac{\partial (\varepsilon P_{gas})}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \left(\frac{k}{\mu} \frac{\partial P_{gas}}{\partial r} P_{gas} r - \varepsilon u_{solid} P_{gas} r \right)}{r \partial r},
$$
(4.7)

where u_{solid} is the velocity of the solid phase, $V_{in} = u_{solid}(R_{in})$. The boundary conditions of [\(4.7\)](#page-24-1) are set by the pressure on the inner and outer surfaces of the ring, $P_{gas}(R_{in}) = P_{center}$ and $P_{\text{gas}}(R_{\text{out}}) = P_{\text{amb}}$. Since the evolution of the central gases [\(4.6\)](#page-24-0), the gas filtration [\(4.7\)](#page-24-1) and the ring dynamics are coupled together, their governing equations ought to be solved together.

4.2.2. *Shock compaction sub-model*

Upon the release of the pressurized central gases, a diffusional pressure field develops across the thickness of the ring and progresses outwards, as demonstrated in [figure 16\(](#page-25-0)*a*). Meanwhile, the interstitial gases start to flow, driven by the diffusional pressure field. The solid phase of the ring immersed in the advancing diffusional pressure field is subjected to the outward pressure gradient force $F_{\nabla p}$ and the associated drag force F_{drag} , both of which scale with the local pressure gradient

$$
F_{\nabla P} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}P_{gas}/\mathrm{d}r}{\rho_{solid}},\tag{4.8}
$$

$$
F_{drag} = \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} F_{\nabla P} = -\frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} \frac{\mathrm{d}P_{gas}/\mathrm{d}r}{\rho_{solid}}.
$$
\n(4.9)

These forces compact the solid skeleton to a compacted band with a minimum porosity ε_{min} , $\varepsilon_{min} = 1 - \phi_{comp} = 0.3$ in the present study. The compacted band extends from the inner surface of the ring to a CF, as shown in figure $16(a)$. Ahead of the CF there exists a transitional zone with a finite thickness of w_{CF} ($w_{CF} \sim 10d_p$) across which ε and u_{solid} gradually decrease from ε_{min} and $u_{solid}(R_{CF})$ to ε_0 and zero, respectively. To approximate the variations in ε and u_{solid} inside the transitional zone, a Gauss error function is employed

$$
\varepsilon(r) = 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_{\min} + \varepsilon_0}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_{\min} - \varepsilon_0}{2} \cdot \text{erf}\left(4\frac{r - R_{CF}}{w_{CF}}\right), \quad R_{CF} \le r \le R_{CF} + w_{CF},\tag{4.10}
$$

$$
u_{solid}(r) = \frac{u_{solid,CF}}{2} - \frac{u_{solid,CF}}{2} \cdot \text{erf}\left(4\frac{r - R_{CF}}{w_{CF}}\right), \quad R_{CF} \le r \le R_{CF} + w_{CF}, \quad (4.11)
$$

$$
\operatorname{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-\eta^2} d\eta,\tag{4.12}
$$

where $u_{solid,CF} = u_{solid}(R_{CF})$. The values of $\varepsilon(r)$ and $u_{solid}(r)$ described in [\(4.10\)](#page-24-2) and [\(4.11\)](#page-24-3) agree well with the numerically derived profiles of ε and u_{solid} as seen in [figure 16\(](#page-25-0)*a*).

Figure 16. Schematics of configuration considered in the theoretical model. (*a*) A CF has a finite thickness of w_{CF} across which the porosity ε and solid velocity u_{solid} gradually decrease. (*b*) Propagation of the CF with a velocity of V_{CF} driven by $F_{\nabla p}$ and F_{drag} . The radial profiles of $\varepsilon(r)$, $u_{solid}(r)$, $F_{\nabla p}(r)$ and $F_{drag}(r)$ are superimposed in the plots.

Thanks to the significant variation of the porosity, the flow dynamics of the interstitial gases undergoes fundamental changes when flowing through the transitional zone ahead of the CF. Thus, prior to resolving [\(4.7\)](#page-24-1) it is necessary to determine the location of the CF, namely $R_{CF}(t)$, which is to be addressed below.

The momentum balance of the annular compacted band demonstrated in [figure 16\(](#page-25-0)*b*) is given by (4.13)

$$
\rho_{solid}(1 - \varepsilon_{min}) \int_{R_{in}}^{R_{CF}} \dot{u}_{solid}(r)r \, dr = -\rho_{solid}(1 - \varepsilon_{0})u_{solid,CF}V_{CF}R_{CF} + \rho_{solid}(1 - \varepsilon_{min}) \int_{R_{in}}^{R_{CF}} F_{\nabla P}r \, dr
$$

$$
+ \rho_{solid}(1 - \varepsilon_{min}) \int_{R_{in}}^{R_{CF}} F_{drag}r \, dr, \qquad (4.13)
$$

where \dot{u}_{solid} is the acceleration of the solid phase. The first term on the right-hand side of [\(4.13\)](#page-25-1) accounts for the growing mass of the compacted band. The second and third terms on the right-hand side of (4.13) represent the total pressure gradient force and the total drag force exerted on the compacted band with a cross-section of unit area. The mass conservation of the incompressible compacted band requires that *usolid* is proportional to 1/*r*

$$
u_{solid}(r) = \frac{V_{in}R_{in}}{r}, \quad R_{in} \le r \le R_{CF}, \tag{4.14}
$$

where V_{in} is equal to the particle velocity herein, $V_{in} = u_{solid}(R_{in})$. Differentiating [\(4.14\)](#page-25-2) leads to the expression of *u*˙*solid*

$$
\dot{u}_{solid}(r) = \frac{\dot{V}_{in}R_{in}}{r} + \frac{V_{in}^2}{r} - \frac{(V_{in}R_{in})^2}{r^3}, \quad R_{in} \le r \le R_{CF}.
$$
\n(4.15)

At the CF

$$
u_{solid,CF} = \frac{V_{in}R_{in}}{R_{CF}},\tag{4.16}
$$

$$
\dot{u}_{solid,CF} = \frac{\dot{V}_{in}R_{in}}{R_{CF}} + \frac{V_{in}^2}{R_{CF}} - \frac{(V_{in}R_{in})^2}{R_{CF}^3}.
$$
\n(4.17)

The *V_{CF}* and *V_{in}* ought to meet the Rankine–Huguenot condition

$$
V_{in} = \frac{V_{CF}R_{CF}}{R_{in}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_{min}}{1 - \varepsilon_{min}}\right). \tag{4.18}
$$

Equations (4.13) – (4.18) constitute the complete set of equations governing the shock compaction of the solid phase which is coupled with the evolution of the interstitial gas flows. [Appendix E](#page-38-0) elaborates on the algorithm adopted to numerically solve those equations with the reference frame fixed on the inner surface of the ring.

4.2.3. *Ring pulsation sub-model*

Once the CF reaches the outer surface of the ring, the ring dynamics transitions to the ring pulsation synchronizing with the fluctuation of the central pressure. To predict *tring*, *tgas*, *hdense*,*imp* and *Rdense*,*imp*, we only need to reproduce the first expansion-to-implosion transition (EIT) of the ring. Since significant particle shedding occurs during the implosion, the ring before the first EIT is regarded as incompressible with an unvaried porosity, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{min}$. The momentum balance of the ring as an entirety is expressed as

$$
\rho_{solid}(1 - \varepsilon_{min}) \int_{R_{in}}^{R_{out}} r \dot{u}_{solid} \, dr = \rho_{solid}(1 - \varepsilon_{min}) \int_{R_{in}}^{R_{out}} F_{\nabla P} \cdot r \, dr
$$

$$
+ \rho_{solid}(1 - \varepsilon_{min}) \int_{R_{in}}^{R_{out}} F_{drag} \cdot r \, dr. \tag{4.19}
$$

As in (4.13) , the first and second terms on the right-hand side of (4.19) represent the total pressure gradient force and the total drag force exerted on the ring with a cross-section of unit area. The values of $F_{\nabla p}$ and F_{drag} are described by [\(4.8\)](#page-24-4) and [\(4.9\)](#page-24-5), respectively.

Since the ring is incompressible, we have

$$
u_{solid}(r) = \frac{V_{in}R_{in}}{r}, \quad R_{in} \le r \le R_{out}, \tag{4.20}
$$

$$
\dot{u}_{solid}(r) = \frac{\dot{V}_{in}R_{in}}{r} + \frac{V_{in}^2}{r} - \frac{(V_{in}R_{in})^2}{r^3}, \quad R_{in} \le r \le R_{out}.
$$
\n(4.21)

Integrating \dot{u}_{solid} from the inner to the outer surface of the ring leads to

$$
\int_{R_{in}}^{R_{out}} \dot{u}_{solid} r \, dr = \int_{R_{in}}^{R_{out}} \left(\dot{V}_{in} R_{in} + V_{in}^2 - V_{in}^2 \frac{R_{in}^2}{r^2} \right) dr
$$

= $\dot{V}_{in} R_{in} (R_{out} - R_{in}) + V_{in}^2 \frac{(R_{out} - R_{in})^2}{R_{out}}$. (4.22)

Figure 17. Simulation derived and theoretically predicted results for the system 1035-50-1828. (*a*) Trajectories of the inner and outer surfaces of the ring as well as the CF. (*b*) Central pressure evolution. (*c*) Cumulative gaseous mass loss ratio.

Substituting (4.8) , (4.9) and (4.22) into (4.19) reduces to

$$
(R_{out} - R_{in})\rho_{solid}\left[\dot{V}_{in}R_{in} + V_{in}^2\left(1 - \frac{R_{in}}{R_{out}}\right)\right] = -\frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon_{min}} \int_{R_{in}}^{R_{out}} dP_{gas}/dr \cdot r dr.
$$
\n(4.23)

Equations [\(4.20\)](#page-26-3), [\(4.21\)](#page-26-4) and [\(4.23\)](#page-27-0) constitute the key governing equations of the ring dynamics in the ring pulsation sub-model. The evolution of the central gases and the gas filtration through the ring take place concurrently. The corresponding numerical algorithm is also presented in [Appendix E.](#page-38-0)

4.3. *Validation and applicability of the explosive dispersal model*

[Figure 17\(](#page-27-1)*a*) compares the simulation derived and theoretically predicted trajectories of the inner and outer surfaces of the ring as well as the CF for the system 1035-50-1828. The consistencies between the numerical results and the theoretical predictions validate the capacity of the explosive dispersal model in terms of accounting for the ring dynamics. From [figure 17\(](#page-27-1)*a*) the theoretically predicted *Vring*, *hdense*,*imp* and *Rdense*,*imp* are identified, $V^{theo}_{ring} = 9.2 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, $h^{theo}_{dense,imp} = 0.045 \text{ m}$ and $R^{theo}_{dense,imp} = 0.22 \text{ m}$, which agree well with their numerically derived counterparts since the derivation rates are 15% , 24% , 14% , respectively. As to the gaseous evolution, the theoretical model also provides validated predictions of the central pressure evolution, $P_{center}^{num}(t)$, as well as the cumulative gaseous mass loss ratio, $\chi_{gas}^{num}(t)$, as shown in [figure 17\(](#page-27-1)*b*,*c*). The predicted time scale of central gases, $t_{gas}^{theo} = 5.68$ ms, has a deviation rate of 0.14%. Since the ring cannot expand to twice its initial diameter, τ_{macro}^{theo} approaches infinity, while $\xi_{imp}^{theo} = 0.2$, indicating a failed dispersal, which is consistent with the simulation results where the system 1035-50-1828 indeed produces a failed dispersal.

Besides the macroscale parameters, the model properly accounts for the mesoscale momentum/energy transportation, especially during the shock compaction phase. As shown in [figure 18\(](#page-28-1)*a*,*b*), the model reproduced well the diffusional pressure field $P_{gas}(r, t)$ and the transient velocity field of solid phase $u_{solid}(r, t)$ that is observed in the simulation.

Figure 18. Comparisons of the simulation derived and theoretically predicted radial profiles of $P_{gas}(r, t)$ (*a*) and $u_{solid}(r, t)$ (*b*) during the shock compaction phase for the system 1035-50-1828.

[Figure 19](#page-29-0) plots the numerically derived and theoretically predicted time scales of the ring [\(figure 19](#page-29-0)*a*), t_{ring}^{theo} and t_{ring}^{num} , as well as the time scales of the central gases (figure 19*b*), *t*^{theo} and *t*^{num}</sup>_{gas}, for all investigated systems. An overall consistency between *t*^{*theo}* and *t*^{num}</sup> is discernible, as is the case for t_{gas}^{theo} and t_{gas}^{num} . Notably, the estimations of the time scale of the ring via the Gurney equation [\(3.10\)](#page-17-1), t_{ring}^{Gurney} , are also supplemented in [figure 19\(](#page-29-0)*a*). Clearly, the consistency between t_{ring}^{theo} and t_{ring}^{num} is substantially improved compared with *t Gurney*, especially in the mid- and upper range of the $(M/C)^*$ wherein t^{theo}_{ring} and t^{num}_{ring} do not exist since the ring cannot expand to twice its initial radius prior to the EIT. The resulting τ^{theo}_{macro} for all systems are show in [figure 19\(](#page-29-0)*c*) in comparison with τ^{num}_{macro} . All systems with $\tau^{theo}_{macro} \sim O(10^{-1})$ indeed result in ideal dispersal since their actual τ^{num}_{macro} are of the order of $O(10^{-1})$. Thereby the theoretical model can successfully identify the ideal dispersal.

For systems with the order of τ^{theo}_{macro} larger than $O(10^{-1})$, ξ_{imp} is used to decide whether they are the validated failed mode. The theoretically predicted ξ_{imp}^{theo} for all systems are plotted in [figure 19\(](#page-29-0)*d*) in comparison with ξ_{imp}^{num} . For the ideal and partial dispersal modes, ξ *num imp* does not exist since the rings disintegrate prior to the first implosion. By contrast, the ring implosion always occurs in the theoretical model since the ring disintegration is not accounted for, yielding a finite ξ_{imp}^{theo} for all systems. The overall $(M/C)^*$ dependence of ξ_{imp}^{theo} is similar to that of ξ_{imp}^{num} . It is most noteworthy that the systems denoted by the light red circular symbols, which are guaranteed to result in a validated failed dispersal, all dwell in the domain of $\xi_{imp}^{theo} > 0.1$ (see the inset of [figure 19](#page-29-0)*d*), indicating the theoretical model suffices to identify the validated failed mode.

5. Discussion

Although the explosive dispersal model can successfully identify the ideal and the validated failed dispersal, it becomes deficient in terms of discerning the partial and semi-failed dispersal. On the other hand, the $(M/C)^*$ dependences of γ and κ seem much less explicit in the semi-failed dispersal mode as seen in figure $20(a,b)$. The relatively wide variability of data indicates the deficiency of the $(M/C)^*$ in correlating the dispersal

Figure 19. Comparisons of the simulation derived and theoretically predicted $t_{ring}(a)$, $t_{gas}(b)$, $\tau_{macro}(c)$ and ξ *imp* (*d*). Inset in (*d*) shows the results from the validated failed dispersal.

Figure 20. The $(M/C)^*$ dependences of χ (*a*) and κ (*b*) for systems resulting in the semi-failed dispersal.

characteristics of the semi-failed dispersal. The origin of these two problems lies in the inadequacy of incorporating the microscale gas–particle coupling effect into neither the theoretical model nor the (M/C)*.

As revealed in $\S 3.4$, the microscale gas-particle coupling, which is manifested by the particle shedding and pack loosening, significantly influences the ring dynamics, especially the post-shock compaction phase. Accounting for these particle-scale events in a continuum-based theoretical model is quite challenging since the underlying physics

Figure 21. The *r*–*t* diagram of P_{gas} (*a*), u_p (*b*), ϕ_p (*c*) and $\dot{\varepsilon}_{vol}$ (*d*) for the system104-100-2500. (*a*,*b*,*d*) Show the shock compaction phase while (*c*) shows a complete dispersal.

is far from being well understood, let alone properly modelling these events. From the previous and present studies, several primary mechanisms contributing to these events are identified, such as the spalling of the outmost layer upon compaction wave reflection off the outer surface of the ring, the packing dilation caused by the inward and outward expansion waves, particle erosion due to the vortices deposited on the outer surface as well as the circumferential pressure variation due to the disturbed ring surface. Developing physics informed models for these processes and integrating them into the explosive dispersal model will be the focus of the follow-up study. Meanwhile, the various microscale gas–particle couplings should be characterized by respective non-dimensional parameters which embody the underlying physics. Potentially, it can help us to devise more comprehensive complex(es) which better bridge the dispersal systems and dispersal characteristics.

Another event requiring more attention is the multiple shock and expansion wave reflections between the centre and the inner surface of the ring at the incipient evolution of central gases. [Figure 21\(](#page-30-0)*a*,*b*,*d*) shows the *r*-*t* diagram of P_{gas} , u_p and the volumetric strain rate $\dot{\varepsilon}_{vol}$ for the system104-100-2500 during the shock compaction phase, respectively. As each incident shock reflected from the centre impinges on the inner surface of the ring, a new CF begins to traverse the ring, as seen in [figure 21\(](#page-30-0)*b*,*d*). If the trailing CF does not catch up with the preceding one during the shock compaction phase, $u_p(r)$ discontinues across each CF, as shown in figure $21(b)$. As a result, the ring becomes laminated when

L. Miao, J. Li and K. Xue

the inward expansion wave sweeps through the thickness of the ring. The separated outer layer quickly disintegrates, exposing the inner layer, as shown in the $r-t$ diagram of ϕ_p during the entire dispersal [\(figure 21](#page-30-0)*c*). The ring lamination surely affects the subsequent ring dynamics, which needs to be properly addressed in the future study.

6. Conclusion

The present work sheds light on the multiscale mechanism governing a variety of explosive dispersal behaviours of particles which display distinctively different completeness and uniformity of dispersal. The macroscale gas–particle coupling depends on the initial impetus imparted on the particles by the explosive source, which underpins the characteristic of the resulting dispersal. The gas filtration arising from the mesoscale coupling drains the explosion energy available for the particles. The microscale coupling is manifested by the particle-scale events, specifically, the particle shedding and the pack loosening, which diminish the mass of the coherent ring in motion. The macro-, mesoand microscale gas–particle coupling combined plays a collective and decisive role in determining the dispersal modes. A non-dimensional modified mass ratio is proposed to incorporate multiscale coupling effects, whereby the dispersal modes are correlated with the dispersal systems consisting of macro- and microscale parameters. We proceed to develop a continuum-based explosive dispersal model which accounts for the macroand mesoscale coupling. By properly reproducing the ring dynamics at the early stage, this model can successfully distinguish the dispersal systems which generate the ideal or validated failed dispersal.

Declaration of interests. The authors report no conflict of interest.

[Au](https://orcid.org/0009-0002-8980-8913)thor ORCIDs.

Lvlan Miao [https://orcid.org/0009-0002-8980-8913;](https://orcid.org/0009-0002-8980-8913)

Jiarui Li [https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5148-7715.](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5148-7715)

Case number	M/C	P_0 (bar)	$R_{in.0}$ (mm)	$R_{out,0}$ (mm)	ϕ_0	ρ_p (kg m ⁻³)	d_p (μ m)
1	1035	20	50	150	0.6	1828	50
\overline{c}	1035	20	80	180	0.6	5625	50
3	1014	17	80	180	0.5	5625	50
4	1014	17	50	150	0.5	1828	50
5	1015	17	60	160	0.5	2955	50
6	1035	20	50	150	0.6	1828	400
7	1035	20	60	160	0.6	2955	50
8	1035	20	60	160	0.6	2955	400
9	1035	20	50	150	0.6	1828	600
10	1035	20	50	150	0.6	1828	800
11	1035	20	80	180	0.6	5625	400
12	1014	17	50	150	0.5	1828	400
13	1015	17	60	160	0.5	2955	400
14	1014	17	80	180	0.5	5625	400
15	1014	17	80	180	0.5	5625	100

Table 1. For caption see on next page.

Table 1. For caption see on next page.

L. Miao, J. Li and K. Xue

Case number	M/C	P_0 (bar)	$R_{in,0}$ (mm)	$R_{out,0}$ (mm)	ϕ_0	ρ_p (kg m ⁻³)	d_p (μ m)
70	103	200	40	90	0.6	2500	100
71	692	60	40	121	0.6	2500	100
72	1038	40	40	121	0.6	2500	100
73	1538	27	40	121	0.6	2500	100
74	2076	20	40	121	0.6	2500	100
75	5190	$\,8$	40	121	0.6	2500	100
76	172	100	40	90	0.5	2500	100
77	173	60	40	90	0.5	1503	100
78	479	60	40	90	0.5	4167	100
79	599	145	40	170	0.6	2500	100
80	649	145	40	170	0.65	2500	100
81	104	100	40	90	0.5	1503	100
82	224	100	40	90	0.65	2500	100
83	1534	20	40	106	0.6	2500	100
84	4410	21.6	81	171	0.65	2500	100
85	48	183	80	140	0.5	5625	600
86	48	183	50	110	0.5	1934	200
87	862	20	40	90	0.5	2500	100
88	862	20	40	90	0.5	2500	300
89	48	183	50	110	0.5	1934	600
90	55	183	60	120	0.5	3506	200
91	55	183	60	120	0.5	3506	600
92	48	183	80	140	0.5	5625	200
93	48	220	50	110	0.6	1934	200
94	48	220	50	110	0.6	1934	600
95	55	220	60	120	0.6	3506	200
96	55	220	60	120	0.6	3506	600
97	48	220	80	140	0.6	5625	200
98	48	220	80	140	0.6	5625	600
99	9.7	245	40	50	0.5	2500	100
100	9.7	443	40	57	0.5	2500	100
101	9	443	40	54	0.6	2500	100
102	26	336	40	70	0.5	2500	100
103	$\sqrt{5}$	336	40	46	0.6	2500	100
104	27	198	40	57	0.6	2500	100
105	26	91	40	50	0.5	2500	100
106	27	165	40	57	0.5	2500	100
107	53	100	40	57	0.6	2500	100
108	48	220	40	70	0.6	2500	100
109	48	183	40	70	0.5	2500	100
110	97	108	40	70	0.6	2500	100
111	159	55	40	70	0.5	2500	100
112	155	180	40	110	0.5	2500	100
113	191	55	40	70	0.6	2500	100
114	192	90	40	90	0.5	2500	100
115	207	55	40	70	0.65	2500	100
116	287	60	40	90	0.5	2500	100
117	287	78	40	90	0.65	2500	100
118	688	60	40	131	0.5	2500	100
119	692	50	40	121	0.5	2500	100
120	697	44	40	106	0.6	2500	100
121	690	30	40	90	0.6	2500	100
122	805	108	40	170	0.6	2500	100

Table 1. For caption see on next page.

Table 1. Parameters in numerical cases.

Appendix A. Details of parameters in each numerical case

Appendix B. Derivation of the completeness and uniformity of the explosive dispersal

In this appendix, the derivation of the completeness and uniformity of the explosive dispersal is illustrated. The completeness of the explosive dispersal is characterized by the parameter χ , which is derived by tallying the mass of particles with an outward radial velocity m_{u+} and the total particle mass m_{ring} when the ring disintegrates or ceases to pulsate, $\chi = m_u + /m_{ring}$. For system 1014-100-2500 whose *r*–*t* diagram of ϕ_p is presented in figure $1(e)$, the ring ceases to pulsate after 30 ms. The distribution of dispersed particles at 50 ms is presented in [figure 3\(](#page-7-1)*e*). The mass of particles finally dispersed out (coloured in red) adds up to $m_{u+} = 11.33$ kg, the total mass of all particles m_{ring} equals 30.63 kg. Combining m_{u+} and m_{ring} brings us to $\chi = 0.37$.

The uniformity of the dispersed particle cloud is characterized by the parameter $\kappa =$ |*Rmass* − *Rmass*,*homo*|/*Rmass*,*homo* which assesses the deviation of the mass centre's radius of the actual particle cloud, *Rmass*, from that of the hypothetically homodispersed particle cloud, $R_{mass, homo}$ when the average ϕ_p inside particle cloud falls to 0.1. Considering the particle cloud with the inner and outer boundaries of *Rin* and *Rout*, the space within *Rin* and R_{out} is discretized into *n* concentric rings of same radial width ΔR , $n = (R_{out} - R_{in})/\Delta R$. The circumferentially averaged ϕ_p and radius of concentric rings *i* are denoted as $\phi_{p,i}$ and R_i respectively, obeying $R_i = R_{in} + (i - 1)\Delta R$. The values of R_{mass} and R_{mass} ,*homo* are calculated by $(B1)$ and $(B2)$ respectively.

L. Miao, J. Li and K. Xue

Figure 22. The particle distribution when the particle cloud obtains an average ϕ_p of 0.1 for system 1014-100-2500. The four circles from the inner corners outwards denote *Rin*, *Rmass*, *Rmass*,*homo*, *Rout*, respectively.

$$
R_{mass} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} [(R_i^2 - R_{i-1}^2) \cdot \phi_{R,i} \cdot R_i]}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} [(R_i^2 - R_{i-1}^2) \cdot \phi_{R,i}]} ,
$$
(B1)

$$
R_{mass, homo} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} [(R_i^2 - R_{i-1}^2) \cdot R_i]}{R_{out}^2 - R_{in}^2} .
$$
(B2)

For system 1014-100-2500, the particle distribution when the particle cloud obtains an average ϕ_p of 0.1 is shown in [figure 22,](#page-35-3) where $R_{in} = 0.065$ m and $R_{out} = 0.201$ m are denoted by the blue solid lines. Adopting $\Delta R = 0.001$ m, $R_{mass} = 0.119$ m and $R_{mass, homo} = 0.144$ m are obtained from [\(B1\)](#page-35-1) and [\(B2\)](#page-35-2), respectively, and denoted by the red and blue dotted lines in [figure 22.](#page-35-3) Finally $\kappa = |R_{mass} - R_{mass,homo}|/R_{mass,homo}$ 0.174.

Appendix C. The determination of propagation depth of the transient diffusional pressure field

This appendix presents the determination of the propagation depth of DPF, including two methods working in different scenarios. For systems where the gaseous velocity exceeds zero before the arrival of the CF, the DPF precedes the CF. The DPF induces the perturbation of the gas pressure field, which can be traced by the flow field overpressure. Generally, where the overpressure is equal to 0.1% of the reflection overpressure $(\theta = P_5 - P_{amb})$ is considered to be the position of the DPF. So, the DPF could be tracked with the contour of 0.1% θ . For system 1014-800-1828, as shown in [figure 7\(](#page-12-0)f), the gaseous velocity exceeds zero before the arrival of the CF, suggesting a faster DPF than CF. In this

Figure 23. The space–time $(r-t)$ diagrams of dimensionless flow field overpressure θ^* for system 1014-800-1828, superimposed on the contour lines of θ .

case, the DPF can be traced with the contour of 0.1 % θ . The space–time (r –*t*) diagram of dimensionless flow field overpressure θ^* for system 1014-800-1828 is showed in [figure 22,](#page-35-3) which is converted from the space–time $(r-t)$ diagrams of *g*aseous pressure P_{gas} of system 1014-800-1828, namely [figure 7\(](#page-12-0)*d*), with [\(C1\)](#page-36-0)

$$
\theta^* = \frac{P - P_{amb}}{P_5 - P_{amb}}.\tag{C1}
$$

In [figure 23,](#page-36-1) the contour line of θ*** is superimposed as the white line, with the contour line of 0.1 %θ*** donated as the DPF.

For systems where the gaseous velocity exceeds zero until the arrival of the CF, the DPF propagates slower than the CF. The CF brings disturbance to the gas pressure field, invalidating the method taken to determine the DPF for systems with a faster DPF than CF. Under this circumstance, the trajectory of the DPF corresponds to the trajectory of the fluid particle at the initial inner surface of the ring and can be traced with the space–time $(r-t)$ diagrams of gaseous velocity, as illustrated in [figure 24.](#page-37-1) The radial position of the fluid particle at time *t* can be expressed as

$$
x = \int_0^t u_{gas} dt.
$$
 (C2)

Where *ugas* denotes the velocity of the fluid particle that varies with time. [Figure 7\(](#page-12-0)*e*) shows the space–time $(r-t)$ diagrams of gaseous velocity for system 1014-50-1828, where gaseous velocity exceeds zero until the arrival of CF, implying a slower DPF than CF. In this circumstance, the trajectory of DPF, which is denoted by the white dotted line in figure $7(e)$, is obtained from the gaseous velocity field combining $(C2)$.

With the trajectory of DPF, the time when DPF arrives at outer edge of the ring *t_{DPF}* is obtained, which serves to compute the average absolute velocity of interstitial gas flows, $V_{gas} = (R_{DPF} - R_{in,0})/t_{DPF}$. To gain V_{DFP} , namely the velocity of the local interstitial gas flows relative to the solid skeleton, we continue to subtract the averaged particle velocity at DPF, V_{solid} . Finally, $V_{DFP} = V_{gas} - V_{solid}$. The numerical results of $V_{gas}(V_{solid})$

L. Miao, J. Li and K. Xue

Figure 24. Schematic diagram of the fluid particle trace entering the particle ring from the inner surface when $t = 0$.

for the systems 1035-50-1828 and 1035-800-1828 are 9.8 m s⁻¹ (7.5 m s⁻¹) and 606 m s⁻¹ (0 m s^{-1}) , respectively. So, the numerical results of *V_{DPF}* for systems 1035-50-1828 and 1035-800-1828 are 2.3 and 606 m s⁻¹, respectively.

Appendix D. Derivation of the characteristic time scale of an individual particle

The ring thinning effect is determined by the competition between the macroscale ring implosion and the microscale particle shedding, while the latter could be quantified with the time an individual particle takes to move its characteristic length scale, namely *tp*. In this appendix, we present a detailed account of the derivation of *tp*.

Considering an initially static particle with a volume of V_p . As the particle is inside the ring, it shares the same pressure gradient field with the ring. Assuming the pressure falls by 1 atm linearly across the thickness of the ring, the pressure gradient force applied to the individual particle could be estimated by $(D1)$

$$
F_{\nabla P} = \frac{P_{amb} V_p}{h_{ring}}.
$$
 (D1)

Subjected to the pressure gradient force, the particle starts to move from static with the acceleration *ap*

$$
a_p = \frac{F_{\nabla P}}{V_p \rho_{solid}} = \frac{P_{amb} V_p}{h_{ring} V_p \rho_{solid}} = \frac{P_{amb}}{h_{ring} \rho_{solid}}.
$$
 (D2)

Suppose the particle keeps a constant acceleration a_p , so the movement distance *x* acts as a function of time *t*

$$
\frac{1}{2}a_p \cdot t^2 = x.\tag{D3}
$$

Considering the particle diameter, d_p , as the characteristic length scale of an individual particle, substituting *x* with d_p , we obtain the time the particle takes to move its characteristic length scale

$$
t_p = \sqrt{\frac{2d_p h_{ring} \rho_p}{P_{amb}}}.
$$
 (D4)

Appendix E. Numerical solutions of the theoretical model

This appendix presents the numerical iterative algorithm of the shock compaction and ring pulsation models introduced in § [4.2.](#page-22-1) To distinguish the same variables in different models, subscripts *c* and *p* are applied to denote variables in the shock compaction and ring pulsation models, respectively. The superscript *j* represents the *j*th time step. Both of the models are constructed in the coordinate system fixed to the inner surface of the ring, with space discretized into nodes equally along the radial direction. The relative and absolute coordinate of node *i* at the *j*th time step is denoted as r_i^j and R_i^j , respectively, obeying $r_i^j = R_i^j - R_{in}^j$. Specially, for convenience, we adopt $r_{CF}^j(R_{CF}^j)$, $r_{out}^j(R_{out}^j)$ to denote the relative (absolute) coordinates of CF and outer radii, respectively. The value of R_i^j ranges from 0 to R_{out}^j to solve the evolution of the thermodynamic state of the central gases and the state of the particle ring.

For the shock compaction model, the initial condition $(j = 0)$ corresponds to the impingement of the incident shock on the internal surface of the ring, leading to the following equations:

$$
R_{CF,c}^0 = R_{in,c}^0.
$$
 (E1)

The initial pressure distribution is described with $(E2)$

$$
P_{gas,c}^{0}(r_{i,c}^{0}) = \begin{cases} P, & r_{i,c}^{0} \le 0\\ P_{amb}, & 0 < r_{i,c}^{0} \le r_{out,c}^{j} \end{cases}
$$
 (E2)

Substituting [\(4.8–](#page-24-4)[4.9\)](#page-24-5), [\(4.15–](#page-25-3)[4.16\)](#page-26-5) and [\(4.18\)](#page-26-0) into [\(4.13\)](#page-25-1) leads to

$$
\left[\dot{V}_{in,c}^{j+1}R_{in,c}^{j} + (V_{in,c}^{j})^{2} - \frac{(V_{in,c}^{j})^{2}R_{in,c}^{j}}{R_{CF,c}^{j}}\right](R_{CF,c}^{j} - R_{in,c}^{j})
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{(1 - \varepsilon_{0})}{(1 - \varepsilon_{min})}V_{in,c}^{j}R_{in,c}^{j}V_{CF,c}^{j} + \int_{R_{in,c}^{j}}^{R_{CF,c}^{j}} - \frac{P_{gas,c}^{j}(R_{i+1,c}^{j}) - P_{gas,c}^{j}(R_{i,c}^{j})}{(1 - \varepsilon_{min})\rho_{solid}\Delta r}R_{i,c}^{j}dt.
$$
\n(E3)

Combining $(E1)$ and $(E3)$ to gain the initial absolute velocity of the internal surface

$$
V_{in,c}^{0} = \sqrt{\frac{P_0 - P_{amb}}{\rho_{solid}} \frac{(\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_{min})}{(1 - \varepsilon_{min})(1 - \varepsilon_0)}},
$$
(E4)

substituting $V_{in,c}^0$ into [\(4.18\)](#page-26-0) results to the initial absolute velocity of the CF

$$
V_{CF,c}^{0} = \sqrt{\frac{(P_0 - P_{amb})}{\rho_{solid}} \frac{(1 - \varepsilon_{min})}{(1 - \varepsilon_0)(\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_{min})}}.
$$
 (E5)

The initial absolute velocity of particle at CF is expressed by

$$
u_{solid,CF}^{0} = \frac{V_{in,c}^{0} R_{in,c}^{0}}{R_{CF,c}^{0}}.
$$
 (E6)

L. Miao, J. Li and K. Xue

In the compaction phase, generally, the ring is divided into three parts, namely the compacted band ($0 < r_{i,c} < r_{CF,c}^0$), the transitional zone ($r_{CF,c}^0 < r_{i,c} < r_{CF,c}^0 + w_{CF}$) and the uncompacted band $(r_{CF,c}^0 + w_{CF} < r_{i,c} < r_{out,c}^0)$. Particles within the compacted band hold ε_{min} , while particles within the uncompacted region keep ε_0 , between which, namely the transitional zone, the porosity there is approximated with the Gauss error function [\(4.10\)](#page-24-2). As for the central gas region ($r_{i,c}$ < 0), there exists no particle, so porosity there is set to 0.999 instead of unity to avoid a zero denominator when compute the permeability with $(E8)$. To sum up, the initial porosity distribution is described as $(E7)$

$$
\varepsilon_c^0(r_{i,c}^0) = \begin{cases}\n0.999, & r_{i,c}^0 < 0, \\
\varepsilon_{min}, & 0 \le r_{i,c}^0 \le r_{CF,c}^0, \\
\frac{\varepsilon_{min} + \varepsilon_0}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_{min}}{2} \cdot \text{erf}\left(4\frac{r_{i,c}^0 - r_{CF,c}^0}{w_{CF}}\right), & r_{i_{CF,c}^0}^0 \le r_{i,c}^0 \le r_{CF,c}^0 + w_{CF}, \\
\varepsilon_0, & r_{CF,c}^0 + w_{CF} < r_{i,c}^0 \le r_{out,c}^0,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(E7)

$$
k_c^0(r_{i,c}^0) = \frac{1}{150} \frac{\left[\varepsilon_c^0(r_{i,c}^0)\right]^3}{\left[1 - \varepsilon_c^0(r_{i,c}^0)\right]^2} d_p^2, \quad r_{i,c}^0 \le r_{out,c}^0.
$$
 (E8)

Similarly, the distribution of particle velocity is depicted with four piecewise functions as shown in ($E9$). The absolute velocity of particles within the compacted band ($0 < r_{i,c}$ $r_{CF,c}^0$) is proportional to 1/*R* to ensure mass conservation [\(4.16\)](#page-26-5); the velocity of particles inside the transitional zone ($r_{CF,c}^0 < r_{i,c} < r_{CF,c}^0 + w_{CF}$) are approximated with the Gauss error function [\(4.11\)](#page-24-3); while particles within the uncompacted band ($r_{CF,c}^0 + w_{CF} < r_{i,c}$) $r_{out,c}^0$) remain static. Where there exists no particle ($r_{i,c}$ < 0), the absolute particle velocity is set to zero, resulting in a negative relative particle velocity. In summary, the initial relative particle velocity distribution is described as [\(E9\)](#page-39-2)

$$
U_{solid,c}^{0}(r_{i,c}^{0}) = \begin{cases} -V_{in,c}^{0}, & r_{i,c}^{0} < 0, \\ \frac{V_{in,c}^{0} R_{in,c}^{0}}{R_{i,c}^{0}} - V_{in,c}^{0}, & 0 \le r_{i,c}^{0} \le r_{CF,c}^{0}, \\ \frac{u_{solid,CF}^{0}}{2} - \frac{u_{solid,CF}^{0}}{2} \cdot \text{erf}\left(4\frac{r_{i,c}^{0} - r_{CF,c}^{0}}{w_{CF}}\right) - V_{in,c}^{0}, & r_{CF,c}^{0} \le r_{i,c}^{0} \le r_{CF,c}^{0} + w_{CF}, \\ -V_{in,c}^{0}, & r_{CF,c}^{0} + w_{CF} < r_{i,c}^{0} \le r_{out,c}^{0} .\end{cases}
$$
\n(E9)

Below, the time marching scheme for the shock compaction model is presented. Here, $R_{in,c}^{j+1}(R_{CF,c}^{j+1})$ is updated using $R_{in,c}^j(R_{CF,c}^j)$ and $V_{in,c}^j(V_{CF,c}^j)$, as described in [\(E10\)](#page-39-3) and $(E11)$

$$
R_{in,c}^{j+1} = R_{in,c}^j + V_{in,c}^j \cdot \Delta t,
$$
 (E10)

$$
R_{CF,c}^{j+1} = R_{CF,c}^j + V_{CF,c}^j \cdot \Delta t.
$$
 (E11)

The absolute coordinate is transformed into relative coordinate with [\(E12\)](#page-39-5)

$$
r_i^{j+1} = R_i^{j+1} - R_{in}^{j+1}.
$$
 (E12)

Specially, the relative coordinates of the inner surface and CF are expressed by

$$
r_{in,c}^{j+1} = 0,\t\t(E13)
$$

$$
r_{CF,c}^{j+1} = r_{CF,c}^j + (V_{CF,c}^j - V_{in,c}^j) \cdot \Delta t,
$$
\n(E14)

where Δt is a sufficiently small interval of time estimated by the time required for the CF to reach the external surface in the simulation. With updated $R_{in,c}^{j+1}$ and $R_{CF,c}^{j+1}$, similar to the derivation of $(E7)$, the porosity distribution is updated with $(E15)$

$$
\varepsilon_c^{j+1}(r_{i,c}^{j+1}) = \begin{cases}\n0.999, & r_{i,c}^{j+1} < 0, \\
\varepsilon_{min}, & 0 \le r_{i,c}^{j+1} \le r_{CF,c}^{j+1}, \\
\frac{\varepsilon_{min} + \varepsilon_0}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_{min}}{2} \cdot \text{erf}\left(4\frac{r_{i,c}^{j+1} - r_{CF,c}^{j+1}}{w_{CF}}\right), & r_{CF,c}^{j+1} < r_{CF,c}^{j+1} \le r_{CF,c}^{j+1} + w_{CF}, \\
\varepsilon_0, & r_{CF,c}^{j+1} + w_{CF} < r_{i,c}^{j+1} \le r_{out,c}^{j+1}.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(E15)

The permeability is correspondingly updated with $(E16)$

$$
k_c^{j+1}\left(r_{i,c}^{j+1}\right) = \frac{1}{150} \frac{\left[\varepsilon_c^j\left(r_{i,c}^{j+1}\right)\right]^3}{\left[1 - \varepsilon_c^j\left(r_{i,c}^{j+1}\right)\right]^2} d_p^2, \quad r_{i,c}^{j+1} \le r_{out,c}^{j+1}.
$$
 (E16)

The discrete scheme of the (4.5) is shown in $(E17)$, where the first-order backward difference is applied to the time term and the central difference at half-nodes $(i - 1/2)$ and $i + 1/2$) is used for the space term

$$
P_{gas,c}^{j+1} (r_{i,c}^{j+1}) = \frac{[(A - B) \cdot dt + C]}{\varepsilon_c^{j+1} (r_{i,c}^{j+1})}, \quad 0 < r_{i,c}^{j+1} < r_{out,c}^{j+1},
$$
(E17*a*)
\n
$$
A = \frac{k_c^j (r_{i+1/2,c}^{j+1}) P_{gas,c}^j (r_{i+1/2,c}^{j+1}) P_{j+1/2,c}^j (r_{i+1,c}^{j+1}) P_{gas,c}^j (r_{i+1,c}^{j+1})}{\mu r_{i,c}^{j+1} \Delta r}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{k_c^j (r_{i-1/2,c}^{j+1}) P_{gas,c}^j (r_{i-1/2,c}^{j+1}) P_{gas,c}^j (r_{i+1/2,c}^{j+1}) P_{gas,c}^j (r_{i-1,c}^{j+1})}{\mu r_{i,c}^j \Delta r}
$$
\n
$$
\varepsilon_c^j (r_{i+1/2,c}^{j+1}) P_{gas,c}^j (r_{i+1/2,c}^{j+1}) U_{solid,c}^j (r_{i+1/2,c}^{j+1}) P_{i+1/2,c}^j
$$
\n
$$
B = \frac{-\varepsilon_c^j (r_{i-1/2,c}^{j+1}) P_{gas,c}^j (r_{i-1/2,c}^{j+1}) U_{solid,c}^j (r_{i-1/2,c}^{j+1}) P_{i-1/2,c}^j}{r_{i,c}^j \Delta r}, \quad (E17c)
$$

 $C = \varepsilon_c^j \left(r_{i,c}^{j+1} \right)$ $\binom{m+1}{i,c} P_{gas,c}^j \left(r_{i,c}^{j+1} \right)$ $\binom{j+1}{i,c}$. (E17*d*)

The variables at half-nodes $(i - 1/2, i + 1/2)$ are calculated with the values of side nodes as shown in $(E18)$ – $(E21)$

$$
k_{c}^{j}\left(r_{i-1/2}^{j}\right) = \frac{2k_{c}^{j}\left(r_{i-1,c}^{j}\right) \cdot k_{c}^{j}\left(r_{i,c}^{j}\right)}{k_{c}^{j}\left(r_{i-1,c}^{j}\right) + k_{c}^{j}\left(r_{i,c}^{j}\right)}, \quad k_{c}^{j}\left(r_{i+1/2}^{j}\right) = \frac{2k_{c}^{j}\left(r_{i+1,c}^{j}\right) \cdot k_{c}^{j}\left(r_{i,c}^{j}\right)}{k_{c}^{j}\left(r_{i+1,c}^{j}\right) + k_{c}^{j}\left(r_{i,c}^{j}\right)},
$$
\n(E18a,b)
\n
$$
\varepsilon_{c}^{j}\left(r_{i-1/2,c}^{j}\right) = \frac{2\varepsilon_{c}^{j}\left(r_{i-1,c}^{j}\right) \cdot \varepsilon_{c}^{j}\left(r_{i,c}^{j}\right)}{\varepsilon_{c}^{j}\left(r_{i+1/2,c}^{j}\right) + \varepsilon_{c}^{j}\left(r_{i+1/2,c}^{j}\right)} = \frac{2\varepsilon_{c}^{j}\left(r_{i+1,c}^{j}\right) \cdot \varepsilon_{c}^{j}\left(r_{i,c}^{j}\right)}{\varepsilon_{c}^{j}\left(r_{i+1,c}^{j}\right) + \varepsilon_{c}^{j}\left(r_{i,c}^{j}\right)},
$$
\n(E19a,b)
\n
$$
P_{gas,c}^{j}\left(r_{i-1/2,c}^{j}\right) = \frac{P_{gas,c}^{j}\left(r_{i-1,c}^{j}\right) + P_{gas,c}^{j}\left(r_{i,c}^{j}\right)}{2},
$$
\n
$$
P_{gas,c}^{j}\left(r_{i+1/2,c}^{j}\right) = \frac{P_{gas,c}^{j}\left(r_{i,c}^{j}\right) + P_{gas,c}^{j}\left(r_{i+1,c}^{j}\right)}{2},
$$
\n(E20a,b)
\n
$$
U_{solid,c}^{j}\left(r_{i-1/2,c}^{j}\right) = \frac{U_{solid,c}^{j}\left(r_{i,c}^{j}\right) + U_{solid,c}^{j}\left(r_{i+1,c}^{j}\right)}{2},
$$
\n(E21a,b)
\n
$$
U_{solid,c}^{j}\left(r_{i+1/2,c}^{j}\right) = \frac
$$

The pressure on the outer surfaces of the ring satisfies the boundary condition

$$
P_{gas,c}^{j+1}\left(r_{out,c}^{j+1}\right) = P_{amb}.\tag{E22}
$$

Considering the volumetric increase in the gas pocket and the mass outflow due to the infiltration effect, we update $\rho_{center,c}^{j}$ with [\(E23\)](#page-41-2)

$$
\rho_{center,c}^{j+1} = \rho_{center,c}^j \frac{\left(R_{in,c}^j\right)^2}{\left(R_{in,c}^{j+1}\right)^2} + 2 \frac{\rho_{center,c}^j k_c^j \left(r_{0,c}^j\right)}{\mu R_{in,c}^j} \left(\frac{P_{gas,c}^j \left(r_{1,c}^j\right) - P_{gas,c}^j \left(r_{0,c}^j\right)}{\Delta r}\right) \Delta t. \tag{E23}
$$

Assuming an isentropic expansion for the central gases, we update the inner pressure with $\rho_{center,c}^{j+1}$

$$
P_{gas,c}^{j+1} \left(r_{i,c}^{j+1} \right) = \frac{P_0}{\left(\rho_{center,0}^0 \right)^{\gamma}} \left(\rho_{center,c}^{j+1} \right)^{\gamma}, \quad r_{i,c}^{j+1} \le 0. \tag{E24}
$$

Substituting $P_{gas,c}^{j+1}, R_{in,c}^{j+1}, R_{CF,c}^{j+1}, V_{in,c}^j, V_{CF,c}^j$ into [\(E25\)](#page-42-0) to update the acceleration of the internal surface

$$
\dot{V}_{in,c}^{j+1} = -\frac{(1 - \varepsilon_0)}{(1 - \varepsilon_{min})} \frac{V_{in,c}^j V_{CF,c}^j}{\left(R_{CF,c}^{j+1} - R_{in,c}^{j+1}\right)} \n+ \frac{\int_{r_{O,c}^{j+1}}^{r_{CF,c}^{j+1}} - [P_{gas,c}^{j+1} \left(r_{i+1,c}^{j+1}\right) - P_{gas,c}^j \left(r_{i,c}^{j+1}\right) R_i^{j+1} dr}{\left(R_{CF,c}^{j+1} - R_{in,c}^{j+1}\right) R_{in,c}^{j+1} (1 - \varepsilon_{min}) \rho_{solid} \Delta r} + \frac{\left(V_{in,c}^j\right)^2}{R_{CF,c}^{j+1}} - \frac{V_{in,c}^j}{R_{in,c}^{j+1}}.
$$
\n(E25)

The parameter $\dot{V}^{j+1}_{in,c}$ in turn serves to update V^{j+1}_{in}

$$
V_{in,c}^{j+1} = V_{in,c}^j + V_{in,c}^{j+1} \cdot \Delta t.
$$
 (E26)

Here, $V_{CF,c}^{j+1}$ satisfies the Rankine–Huguenot condition [\(4.18\)](#page-26-0) and is updated by [\(E27\)](#page-42-1)

$$
V_{CF,c}^{j+1} = \frac{V_{in,c}^{j+1} R_{in,c}^{j+1}}{R_{CF,c}^{j+1}} \left(\frac{1 - \varepsilon_{min}}{\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_{min}}\right).
$$
 (E27)

The parameter $u_{solid,CF}^{j+1}$ meets [\(E28\)](#page-42-2)

$$
u_{solid,CF}^{j+1} = \frac{V_{in,c}^{j+1} R_{in,c}^{j+1}}{R_{CF,c}^{j+1}}.
$$
\n(E28)

Analogous to the [\(E9\)](#page-39-2), $V_{in,c}^{j+1}$ and $u_{solid,CF}^{j+1}$ are used to update the distribution of absolute particle velocity as shown in [\(E29\)](#page-42-3)

$$
U_{solid,c}^{j+1}(r_{i,c}^{j+1}) = \begin{cases} -V_{in,c}^{j+1}, & r_{i,c}^{j+1} < 0\\ \frac{V_{in,c}^{j+1} R_{in,c}^{j+1}}{R_{i,c}^{j+1}} - V_{in,c}^{j+1}, & 0 \le r_{i,c}^{j+1} \le r_{CF,c}^{j+1} \\ \frac{u_{solid,CF}^{j+1}}{2} - \frac{u_{solid,CF}^{j+1}}{2} \cdot \text{erf}\left(4\frac{r_{i}^{j+1} - R_{CF,c}^{j+1}}{w_{CF}}\right) - V_{in,c}^{j+1}, & r_{CF,c}^{j+1} \le r_{CF,c}^{j+1} + w_{CF} \\ V_{in,c}^{j+1}, & r_{CF,c}^{j+1} + w_{CF} < r_{i,c}^{j+1} \le r_{out,c}^{j+1} \end{cases} \tag{E29}
$$

Equations $(E10)$ – $(E29)$ are solved iteratively until the CF arrives at the external surface, $R_{CF,c}^{j_{comp}} = R_{out}^0$, where j_{comp} represents the end time step of the shock compaction model.

The thermodynamic state of the central gases and the state of the particle ring at *tcomp* sets the initial conditions for the ring pulsation model

$$
P_{gas,p}^{0} = P_{gas,c}^{lcomp}, \quad \rho_{center,p}^{0} = \rho_{center,c}^{lcomp}, \quad R_{in,p}^{0} = R_{in,c}^{lcomp}, \quad R_{out,p}^{0} = R_{out,0}, \quad U_{solid,p}^{0} = U_{solid,c}^{lcomp},
$$

$$
V_{in,p}^{0} = V_{in,c}^{lcomp}, \quad V_{out,p}^{0} = V_{in,c}^{lcomp}, \quad R_{out,0}/R_{in,c}^{lcomp}, \quad \varepsilon_{p}^{0} = \varepsilon_{c}^{lcomp}, \quad k_{p}^{0} = k_{c}^{lcomp}.
$$
(E30)

Below, the time marching scheme for the ring pulsation model is presented. Here, $R_{in,p}^{j+1}$ $(R_{out,p}^{j+1})$ is updated with $R_{in,p}^j$ $(R_{out,p}^j)$ and $V_{in,p}^j$ $(V_{out,p}^j)$, as described in [\(E31\)](#page-43-0)–[\(E32\)](#page-43-1)

$$
R_{in,p}^{j+1} = R_{in,p}^j + V_{in,p}^j \cdot \Delta t,
$$
\n(E31)

$$
R_{out}^{j+1} = R_{out}^j + V_{out}^j \cdot \Delta t. \tag{E32}
$$

The absolute coordinate is transformed into relative coordinate with [\(E33\)](#page-43-2)

$$
r_{i,p}^j = R_{i,p}^j - R_{in,p}^j.
$$
 (E33)

Specially, the relative coordinates of inner and outer surfaces are expressed by

$$
r_{in,c}^{j+1} = 0,\t\t(E34)
$$

$$
r_{out,p}^{j+1} = r_{out,p}^{j} + (V_{out,p}^{j} - V_{in,p}^{j}) \cdot \Delta t.
$$
 (E35)

The porosity of the ring keeps ε_{min} due to the assumption of an incompressible ring and mass conservation. The porosity of the central gas region ($r_{i,p}^0$ < 0) is set to 0.999 instead of unity to avoid a zero denominator. Substituting $r^{j+1}_{out,p}$ into [\(E36\)](#page-43-3) to update ε_p^{j+1} , we in turn update the permeability with [\(E37\)](#page-43-4)

$$
\varepsilon_p^{j+1} = \begin{cases} 0.999, & r_{i,p}^{j+1} < 0\\ \varepsilon_{min}, & 0 \le r_{i,p}^{j+1} \le r_{out,p}^{j+1} \end{cases}
$$
 (E36)

$$
k_p^{j+1}(r_{i,p}^{j+1}) = \frac{1}{150} \frac{\left[\varepsilon_p^{j+1} r_{i,p}^{j+1}\right]^3}{\left[1 - \varepsilon_p^{j+1} \left(r_{i,p}^{j+1}\right)\right]^2} d_p^2, \quad r_{i,p}^{j+1} \le r_{out,p}^{j+1}.
$$
 (E37)

The pressure distribution within the ring is updated with [\(E38\)](#page-43-5)

$$
P_{gas,p}^{j+1}\left(r_{i,p}^{j+1}\right) = \frac{[(A-B) \cdot dt + C]}{\varepsilon_p^{j+1}\left(r_{i,p}^{j+1}\right)}, \quad 0 < r_i^{j+1} < r_{out,p}^{j+1},\tag{E38a}
$$
\n
$$
P_{gas,n}^{j}\left(r_{i,p}^{j}\right) = P_{gas,n}^{j}\left(r_{i,p}^{j}\right)
$$

$$
A = \frac{k_p^j \left(r_{i+1/2,p}^j\right) P_{gas,p}^j \left(r_{i+1/2,p}^j\right) r_{i+1/2,p}^j \frac{P_{gas,p}^j \left(r_{i+1,p}^j\right) - P_{gas,p}^j \left(r_{i,p}^j\right)}{\mu r_{i+1/2,p}^j \Delta r}
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{k_p^j \left(r_{i-1/2,p}^j\right) P_{gas,p}^j \left(r_{i-1/2,p}^j\right) r_{i-1/2,p}^j \frac{P_{gas,p}^j \left(r_{i,p}^j\right) - P_{gas,p}^j \left(r_{i-1,p}^j\right)}{\Delta r}}{\mu r_{i-1/2,p}^j \Delta r},
$$
\n(E38b)

Multiscale understanding of structural effect

$$
\varepsilon_{p}^{j} \left(r_{i+1/2,p}^{j} \right) P_{gas,p}^{j} \left(r_{i+1/2,p}^{j} \right) V_{solid,p}^{j} \left(r_{i+1/2,p}^{j} \right) r_{i+1/2,p}^{j}
$$
\n
$$
B = \frac{-\varepsilon_{p}^{j} \left(r_{i-1/2,p}^{j} \right) P_{gas,p}^{j} \left(r_{i-1/2,p}^{j} \right) V_{solid,p}^{j} \left(r_{i-1/2,p}^{j} \right) r_{i-1/2,p}^{j}}{r_{i,p}^{j} \Delta r},
$$
\n(E38c)

$$
C = \varepsilon_p^j \left(r_{i,p}^j \right) P_{gas,p}^j \left(r_{i,p}^j \right). \tag{E38d}
$$

Where the variables at half-nodes $(i - 1/2, i + 1/2)$ are calculated with the values of side nodes

$$
k_{\rm p}^{j} \left(r_{i-1/2,p}^{j} \right) = \frac{2k_{\rm p}^{j} \left(r_{i-1,p}^{j} \right) \cdot k_{\rm p}^{j} \left(r_{i,p}^{j} \right)}{k_{\rm p}^{j} \left(r_{i-1,p}^{j} \right) + k_{\rm p}^{j} \left(r_{i}^{j} \right)}, k_{\rm p}^{j} \left(r_{i+1/2,p}^{j} \right) = \frac{2k_{\rm p}^{j} \left(r_{i+1,p}^{j} \right) \cdot k_{\rm p}^{j} \left(r_{i,p}^{j} \right)}{k_{\rm p}^{j} \left(r_{i+1,p}^{j} \right) + k_{\rm p}^{j} \left(r_{i,p}^{j} \right)}
$$
\n
$$
k_{\rm p}^{j} \left(r_{i+1,p}^{j} \right) = \frac{2k_{\rm p}^{j} \left(r_{i+1,p}^{j} \right) \cdot k_{\rm p}^{j} \left(r_{i,p}^{j} \right)}{k_{\rm p}^{j} \left(r_{i+1,p}^{j} \right) + k_{\rm p}^{j} \left(r_{i,p}^{j} \right)}
$$
\n(B39*a,b*)

$$
\varepsilon_p^j\left(r_{i-1/2,p}^j\right) = \frac{2\varepsilon_p^j\left(r_{i-1,p}^j\right) \cdot \varepsilon_p^j\left(r_{i,p}^j\right)}{\varepsilon_p^j\left(r_{i-1,p}^j\right) + \varepsilon_p^j\left(r_{i,p}^j\right)}, \varepsilon_p^j\left(r_{i+1/2,p}^j\right) = \frac{2\varepsilon_p^j\left(r_{i+1,p}^j\right) \cdot \varepsilon_p^j\left(r_{i,p}^j\right)}{\varepsilon_p^j\left(r_{i+1,p}^j\right) + \varepsilon_p^j\left(r_{i,p}^j\right)}
$$
(E40*a,b*)

$$
P_{gas,p}^{j}\left(r_{i-1/2,p}^{j}\right) = \frac{P_{gas,p}^{j}\left(r_{i-1,p}^{j}\right) + P_{gas,p}^{j}\left(r_{i,p}^{j}\right)}{2},
$$

$$
P_{gas,p}^{j}\left(r_{i+1/2,p}^{j}\right) = \frac{P_{gas,p}^{j}\left(r_{i,p}^{j}\right) + P_{gas,p}^{j}\left(r_{i+1,p}^{j}\right)}{2}.
$$
(E41*a,b*)

$$
U_{solid,p}^{j}\left(r_{i-1/2,p}^{j}\right) = \frac{U_{solid,p}^{j}\left(r_{i-1,p}^{j}\right) + U_{solid,p}^{j}\left(r_{i,p}^{j}\right)}{2},
$$

\n
$$
U_{solid,p}^{j}\left(r_{i+1/2,p}^{j}\right) = \frac{U_{solid,p}^{j}\left(r_{i,p}^{j}\right) + U_{solid,p}^{j}\left(r_{i+1,p}^{j}\right)}{2},
$$
\n(E42)

where $\rho_{center,p}^{j+1}$ is acquired by accounting for the volumetric increase in the gas pocket and the mass outflow due to the infiltration effect [\(E43\)](#page-44-0)

$$
\rho_{center,p}^{j+1} = \rho_{center,p}^{j} \frac{\left(R_{in,p}^{j}\right)^{2}}{\left(R_{in,p}^{j+1}\right)^{2}} + 2 \frac{\rho_{center,p}^{j} k_{p}^{j} \left(r_{0,p}^{j}\right)}{\mu R_{in,p}^{j}} \left(\frac{P_{gas,p}^{j} \left(r_{1}^{j}\right) - P_{gas,p}^{j} \left(r_{0}^{j}\right)}{\Delta r}\right) \Delta t.
$$
\n(E43)

Assuming an isentropic expansion for the central gases we obtain $P_{gas,p}^{j+1}$ with [\(E44\)](#page-44-1)

$$
P_{gas,p}^{j+1}\left(r_{i,p}^{j+1}\right) = \frac{P_0}{\left(\rho_{center,0}^0\right)^{\gamma}}\left(\rho_{center}^{j+1}\right)^{\gamma}, \quad r_{i,p}^{j+1} \le 0,
$$
\n(E44)

L. Miao, J. Li and K. Xue

and substituting $P_{gas,p}^{j+1}$, $R_{in,p}^{j+1}$, $R_{out,p}^{j+1}$ and $V_{in,p}^j$, $V_{CF,p}^j$ into [\(E45\)](#page-45-9) to update the acceleration of the internal surface at $j + 1$ time step we get

$$
\dot{V}_{in,p}^{j+1} = \frac{\left(V_{in,p}^{j}\right)^{2}}{R_{out,p}^{j+1}} + \frac{\int_{r_{0,p}^{j+1}}^{r_{out,p}^{j+1}} - \left[P_{gas}^{j+1}\left(r_{i+1,p}^{j+1}\right) - P_{gas}^{j+1}\left(r_{i,p}^{j+1}\right)\right]\left(R_{i,p}^{j+1}\right) dr}{\left(R_{out,p}^{j+1} - R_{in,p}^{j+1}\right)R_{in,p}^{j+1} \Delta r \left(1 - \varepsilon_{min}\right) \rho_{solid}} - \frac{\left(V_{in,p}^{j}\right)^{2}}{R_{in,p}^{j+1}},
$$
\n(E45)

which serves to acquire $V_{in,p}^{j+1}$

$$
V_{in,p}^{j+1} = V_{in,p}^j + V_{in,p}^{j+1} \cdot \Delta t.
$$
 (E46)

Substituting $V_{in,p}^{j+1}$ into [\(E47\)](#page-45-10) we gain $V_{out,p}^{j+1}$

$$
V_{out,p}^{j+1} = \frac{V_{in,p}^{j+1} \cdot R_{in,p}^{j+1}}{R_{out,p}^{j+1}}.
$$
 (E47)

The relative particle velocity in the central gas region $(r_{i,p}^j < 0)$ is set as $-V_{in,p}^j$ as stagnate in the absolute coordinate. Applying the assumption of an incompressible ring with mass conservation, the absolute particle velocity within the ring is proportional to 1/*R*.

In conclusion, the relative particle velocity distribution follows

$$
U_{solid,p}^{j+1} \left(r_{i,p}^{j+1} \right) = \begin{cases} -V_{in,p}^{j+1}, & r_{i,p}^{j+1} < 0\\ \frac{V_{in,p}^{j+1} R_{in,p}^{j+1}}{r_{i,p}^{j+1} + R_{in,p}^{j+1}} - V_{in,p}^{j+1}, & 0 \le r_{i,p}^{j+1} \le r_{out,p}^{j+1} \end{cases}
$$
(E48)

Equations $(E31)$ – $(E48)$ are solved iteratively until presumably the expansion-to-contraction of the ring occurs, V_{out} < 0.

REFERENCES

- AGLITSKIY, Y., *et al*. 2010 Basic hydrodynamics of Richtmyer–Meshkov-type growth and oscillations in the inertial confinement fusion-relevant conditions. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.* A*: Math. Phys. Engng Sci.* 368, 1739–1768.
- BAER, M.R. & NUNZIATO, J.W. 1986 A two-phase mixture theory for the deflagration-to-detonation transition (DDT) in reactive granular materials. *Intl J. Multiphase Flow* 12, 861–889.
- BAI, C.-H., WANG, Y., XUE, K. & WANG, L.-F. 2018 Experimental study of detonation of large-scale powder–droplet–vapor mixtures. *Shock Waves*. 28, 599–611.
- BLACK, W.J., DENISSEN, N. & MCFARLAND, J.A. 2018 Particle force model effects in a shock-driven multiphase instability. *Shock Waves* 28, 463–472.

BRITAN, A. & BEN-DOR, G. 2006 Shock tube study of the dynamical behavior of granular materials. *Intl J. Multiphase Flow* 32, 623–642.

- CROWL, D.A. 2003. *Understanding Explosions*. Wiley.
- DI FELICE, R. 1994 The voidage function for fluid-particle interaction systems. *Intl J. Multiphase Flow* 20, 153–159.

ECKHOFF, R.K. 2009 Dust explosion prevention and mitigation, status and developments in basic knowledge and in practical application. *Intl J. Chem. Engng* 2009, 569825.

FERNÁNDEZ-GODINO, M.G., OUELLET, F., HAFTKA, R.T. & BALACHANDAR, S. 2019 Early time evolution of circumferential perturbation of initial particle volume fraction in explosive cylindrical multiphase dispersion. *J. Fluids Engng* 141, 1–20.

- FORMENTI, Y., DRUITT, T.H. & KELFOUN, K. 2003 Characterisation of the 1997 Vulcanian explosions of Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat, by video analysis. *Bull. Volcanol.* 65, 587–605.
- FROST, D.L. 2018 Heterogeneous/particle-laden blast waves. *Shock Waves* 28, 439–449.
- FROST, D.L., GOROSHIN, S., RIPLEY, R.C. & ZHANG, F. 2010. Jet formation during explosive particle dispersal. In *21st International Symposium of Military Aspects of Blast and Shock, Jerusalem, Israel*.
- FROST, D.L., GRÉGOIRE, Y., PETEL, O., GOROSHIN, S. & ZHANG, F. 2012 Particle jet formation during explosive dispersal of solid particles. *Phys. Fluids* 24, 091109.
- GAI, G., THOMINE, O., HADJADJ, A. & KUDRIAKOV, S. 2020 Modeling of particle cloud dispersion in compressible gas flows with shock waves. *Phys. Fluids* 32, 023301.
- HUGHES, K.T., BALACHANDAR, S., DIGGS, A., HAFTKA, R., KIM, N.H. & LITTRELL, D. 2020 Simulation-driven design of experiments examining the large-scale, explosive dispersal of particles. *Shock Waves* 30, 325–347.
- HUGHES, K.T., CHARONKO, J.J., PRESTRIDGE, K.P., KIM, N.H., HAFTKA, R.T. & BALACHANDAR, S. 2021 Proton radiography of explosively dispersed metal particles with varying volume fraction and varying carrier phase. *Shock Waves* 31, 75–88.
- KLEMENS, R., GIERAS, M. & KALUZNY, M. 2007 Dynamics of dust explosions suppression by means of extinguishing powder in various industrial conditions. *J. Loss Prev. Process. Ind.* 20, 664–674.
- KONERU, R.B., ROLLIN, B., DURANT, B., OUELLET, F. & BALACHANDAR, S. 2020 A numerical study of particle jetting in a dense particle bed driven by an air-blast. *Phys. Fluids* 32, 093301.
- KUN, X., LVLAN, M., JIARUI, L., CHUNHUA, B. & BAOLIN, T. 2023 Explosive dispersal of granular media. *J. Fluid Mech.* 959, A17.
- KURANZ, C.C., *et al*. 2018 How high energy fluxes may affect Rayleigh–Taylor instability growth in young supernova remnants. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 1564.
- LI, J., XUE, K., ZENG, J., TIAN, B. & GUO, X. 2021 Shock-induced interfacial instabilities of granular media. *J. Fluid Mech.* 920, A22.
- LING, Y. & BALACHANDAR, S. 2018 Simulation and scaling analysis of a spherical particle-laden blast wave. *Shock Waves* 28, 545–558.
- LING, Y., BALACHANDAR, S. & PARMAR, M. 2016 Inter-phase heat transfer and energy coupling in turbulent dispersed multiphase flows. *Phys. Fluids* 28, 033304.
- LIU, X.D., OSHER, S. & CHAN, T. 1994 Weighted essentially non-oscillatory schemes. *J. Comput. Phys.* 115, 200–212.
- LV, H., WANG, Z., ZHANG, Y. & LI, J. 2018 Shock attenuation by densely packed micro-particle wall. *Exp. Fluids*. 59, 140.
- MARAYIKKOTTU, A.V. & LEVIN, D.A. 2021 Influence of particle non-dilute effects on its dispersion in particle-laden blast wave systems. *J. Appl. Phys.* 130, 1–18.
- MARJANOVIC, G., HACKL, J., SHRINGARPURE, M., ANNAMALAI, S., JACKSON, T.L. & BALACHANDAR, S. 2018 Inviscid simulations of expansion waves propagating into structured particle beds at low volume fractions. *Phys. Rev. Fluids* 3, 094301.
- MARR, B.J., PONTALIER, Q., LOISEAU, J., GOROSHIN, S. & FROST, D.L. 2018 Suppression of jet formation during explosive dispersal of concentric particle layers. *AIP Conf. Proc.* 1979, 110011.
- MEHTA, Y., NEAL, C., SALARI, K., JACKSON, T.L., BALACHANDAR, S. & THAKUR, S. 2018 Propagation of a strong shock over a random bed of spherical particles. *J. Fluid Mech.* 839, 157–197.
- MILNE, A.M. 2016 Gurney analysis of porous shells. *Propellants Explos. Pyrotech.* 41 (4), 665–671.
- MILNE, A.M., FLOYD, E., LONGBOTTOM, A.W. & TAYLOR, P. 2014 Dynamic fragmentation of powders in spherical geometry. *Shock Waves* 24, 501–513.
- MO, H., LIEN, F.-S., ZHANG, F. & CRONIN, D.S. 2018 A numerical framework for the direct simulation of dense particulate flow under explosive dispersal. *Shock Waves* 28, 559–577.
- MO, H., LIEN, F.S., ZHANG, F. & CRONIN, D.S. 2019 A mesoscale study on explosively dispersed granular material using direct simulation. *J. Appl. Phys.* 125, 214302.
- OSNES, A.N., VARTDAL, M. & PETTERSSON REIF, B.A. 2018 Numerical simulation of particle jet formation induced by shock wave acceleration in a Hele-Shaw cell. *Shock Waves* 28, 451–461.
- PONTALIER, Q., LHOUMEAU, M., MILNE, A.M., LONGBOTTOM, A.W. & FROST, D.L. 2018 Numerical investigation of particle-blast interaction during explosive dispersal of liquids and granular materials. *Shock Waves* 28, 513–531.
- POSEY, J.W., ROQUE, B., GUHATHAKURTA, S. & HOUIM, R.W. 2021 Mechanisms of prompt and delayed ignition and combustion of explosively dispersed aluminum powder. *Phys. Fluids* 33, 113308.
- RIGBY, S.E., FAY, S.D., TYAS, A., CLARKE, S.D., REAY, J.J., WARREN, J.A., GANT, M. & ELGY, I. 2018 Influence of particle size distribution on the blast pressure profile from explosives buried in saturated soils. *Shock Waves* 28, 613–626.
- ROGUE, X., RODRIGUEZ, G., HAAS, J.F. & SAUREL, R. 1998 Experimental and numerical investigation of the shock-induced fluidization of a particles bed. *Shock Waves* 8, 29–45.
- SAUREL, R., FAVRIE, N., PETITPAS, F., LALLEMAND, M.-H. & GAVRILYUK, S.L. 2010 Modelling dynamic and irreversible powder compaction. *J. Fluid Mech.* 664, 348–396.
- SUNDARESAN, S., OZEL, A. & KOLEHMAINEN, J. 2018 Toward constitutive models for momentum, species, and energy transport in gas–particle flows. *Annu. Rev. Chem. Biomol. Engng* 9, 61–81.
- TIAN, B., ZENG, J., MENG, B., CHEN, Q., GUO, X. & XUE, K. 2020 Compressible multiphase particle-in-cell method (CMP-PIC) for full pattern flows of gas-particle system. *J. Comput. Phys.* 418, 109602.
- XUE, K., DU, K., SHI, X., GAN, Y. & BAI, C. 2018 Dual hierarchical particle jetting of a particle ring undergoing radial explosion. *Soft Matter* 14, 4422–4431.
- YAN, G., HAI-SUI, Y. & GLENN, M.D. 2009. Simulation of granular material behaviour using DEM. In *International Conference on Mining Science & Technology*.
- ZHANG, F., RIPLEY, R.C., YOSHINAKA, A., FINDLAY, C.R., ANDERSON, J. & VON ROSEN, B. 2015 Large-scale spray detonation and related particle jetting instability phenomenon. *Shock Waves* 25, 239–254.