



COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

Perfect points of abelian varieties

Emiliano Ambrosi 

Compositio Math. **159** (2023), 2261–2278.

[doi:10.1112/S0010437X23007467](https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X23007467)



FOUNDATION
COMPOSITIO
MATHEMATICA



LONDON
MATHEMATICAL
SOCIETY
EST. 1865





Perfect points of abelian varieties

Emiliano Ambrosi

ABSTRACT

Let p be a prime number, k a finite field of characteristic $p > 0$ and K/k a finitely generated extension of fields. Let A be a K -abelian variety such that all the isogeny factors are neither isotrivial nor of p -rank zero. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for the finite generation of $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ in terms of the action of $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ on the p -divisible group $A[p^\infty]$ of A . In particular, we prove that if $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ is a division algebra, then $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is finitely generated. This implies the ‘full’ Mordell–Lang conjecture for these abelian varieties. In addition, we prove that all the infinitely p -divisible elements in $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ are torsion. These reprove and extend previous results to the non-ordinary case.

1. Introduction

Let p be a prime number and k a finite field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let K/k be a finitely generated extension of fields (e.g. $\mathbb{F}_p(t)/\mathbb{F}_p$), fix an algebraic closure $K \subseteq \bar{K}$ and write $K \subseteq K^{\text{perf}}$ for the perfect closure of K , i.e. the smallest perfect field containing K (or, equivalently, the field obtained adding to K all the p^n -roots of its elements). Let A be a K -abelian variety. Motivated by applications to the ‘full’ Mordell–Lang conjecture, in this paper we study the structure of $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ using p -adic cohomology. The main novelty of our approach is the use of ‘mixed’ p -divisible groups and overconvergent F -isocrystals associated to elements in $A(K^{\text{perf}})$.

1.1 Motivation

In recent years there has been a remarkable interest in the study of the group $A(K^{\text{perf}})$, see, e.g., [AD22, BL22, D’A23, GM06, Ghi10, Rös15, Rös20, Xin21].

This interest is mainly motivated by its relation with the ‘full’ Mordell–Lang conjecture (see, e.g., [GM06, Conjecture 1.2]). Roughly, this conjecture states that if $\Gamma \subseteq A(\bar{K})$ is a *finite rank* subgroup and $X \subseteq A_{\bar{K}}$ is an irreducible \bar{K} -subvariety, then $X(\bar{K}) \cap \Gamma$ is not Zariski dense, unless X is a ‘special’ (e.g. the translate of an abelian subvariety of A).

The characteristic zero version of the Mordell–Lang conjecture ML is a celebrated theorem of Faltings [Fal91] for finitely generated subgroups, extended to the finite rank ones by

Received 29 April 2022, accepted in final form 17 May 2023, published online 8 September 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification 14K15, 14F30, 12F15 (primary).

Keywords: Abelian varieties, inseparable extensions, rational points, p -adic cohomologies.

The author would like to thank A. Cadoret, B. Kahn, C. Gasbarri and A. Shiho for useful discussions and G. Ancona for many suggestions on how to improve the exposition. The author is grateful to an anonymous referee whose suggestions helped to improve the exposition and the clarity of the paper and for pointing out the work of Trihan which greatly simplified the proof of Proposition 4.1.2. Part of this work has been done when the author was a guest of the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics (MPIM) in Bonn and he would like to express his gratitude to the MPIM for their hospitality and financial support.

© 2023 The Author(s). The publishing rights in this article are licensed to Foundation Compositio Mathematica under an exclusive licence.

Hindry [Hin88]. In our positive characteristic setting, the conjecture has been proved in [Hru96] under the extra assumption that $\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a *finitely generated* \mathbb{Z}_p -module. However, the case of arbitrary subgroups of finite rank has proven to be more elusive and few results are known.

In [GM06], Ghioca and Moosa reduced the ‘full’ conjecture to the case in which the subgroup Γ is included $A(L^{\text{perf}})$, for $K \subseteq L$ a finite field extension. Combining this with the fact that the conjecture is known when Γ is finitely generated, the following question arises naturally.

Question 1.1.1. When is $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ finitely generated? What is the structure of $A(K^{\text{perf}})$?

Our main result (Theorem 1.3.1.2) roughly states that whether $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is finitely generated or not depends only on the action of $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ on the p -divisible group of A and on the p -rank of the isogeny factors of A . As a corollary of our result, one gets the Mordell–Lang conjecture for a sufficiently generic abelian variety with Newton polygon of positive p -rank. To simplify the exposition, we assume for the rest of the introduction that A is simple and we refer the reader to main text (and, in particular, to Theorem 3.1.1) for the general case.

1.2 Perfect points

Let us recall that, while $A(K)$ is finitely generated by the Lang–Néron theorem [LN59], it is well known that $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is not always finitely generated. For example, if $A(K)$ contains a non-torsion element and A is defined up to isogeny over k or A is of p -rank 0, then $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is not finitely generated. Even worst, Helm constructed in [Hel22] an ordinary abelian variety without isotrivial isogeny factors such that $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is not finitely generated. Thus, to have finite generation, one has to impose further conditions.

On the positive side, it is well known that the torsion subgroup $A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tors}} \subseteq A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is finite (see, for example, [GM06, p. 7]), so that the interesting part to study is its torsion free quotient $A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tf}} := A(K^{\text{perf}})/A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tors}}$. Since the i th-power Frobenius $F^i : A \rightarrow A^{(p^i)}$ and the Verschiebung $V^i : A^{(p^i)} \rightarrow A$ induce a factorization

$$A^{(p^i)} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{V^i} \\ \xrightarrow{F^i} \end{array} A \xrightarrow{F^i} A^{(p^i)} \quad \text{such that} \quad A(K^{\text{perf}}) = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A^{(p^i)}(K)$$

where the union is taken along the injections $F^i : A(K) \hookrightarrow A^{(p^i)}(K)$, one has that

$$A(K)[1/p] = A(K^{\text{perf}})[1/p]. \tag{1.2.1}$$

Hence, to study $A(K^{\text{perf}})$, one is reduced to understand how much the non-torsion elements of $A(K)$ become p^n -divisible in $A(K^{\text{perf}})$. There are essentially two phenomena that can make $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ not finitely generated:

- (a) there might be a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of non-torsion elements $x_n \in A(K)$ such that x_n becomes p^n -divisible but not p^{n+1} -divisible; or
- (b) there might be a non-torsion element $x \in A(K)$ that becomes infinitely p -divisible in $A(K^{\text{perf}})$.

Both cases can happen and our main result says that the occurring of phenomenon (a) depends only on the action of $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ on the p -divisible group of A and the occurring of phenomenon (b) only on the p -rank of A .

1.3 Main results

1.3.1 *Finite generation of perfect points.* To state our main result, recall that the p -divisible group $A[p^\infty]$ of A fits into a canonical connected-étale exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A[p^\infty]^0 \rightarrow A[p^\infty] \rightarrow A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.3.1.1}$$

with $A[p^\infty]^0$ (respectively, $A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}}$) a connected (respectively, étale) p -divisible group. Then we prove the following.

THEOREM 1.3.1.2. *Assume that $A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \neq 0$ (and recall that A is assumed to be simple). Then:*

- (i) $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is not finitely generated if and only if there exists an idempotent $0 \neq e \in \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ (i.e. $e^2 = e$) that acts as 0 on (the isogeny class of) $A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}}$;
- (ii) every infinitely p -divisible point is torsion if and only if A is of positive p -rank.

Remark 1.3.1.3. Let us recall that, since A is simple, $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a division algebra, hence the idempotent appearing in Theorem 1.3.1.2(i) has to live in $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \setminus \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. As often happens, it is much easier to construct \mathbb{Q}_p -linear combination of endomorphisms of A (i.e. elements in $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$) than actual endomorphisms of A (i.e. elements in $\text{End}(A)$). This kind of phenomena appears, for example, in the proof of the Tate conjecture for endomorphism of abelian varieties over finite fields [Tat66].

Beyond the ordinary case, these seem to be the first general results towards the understanding of the torsion free part of $A(K^{\text{perf}})$. Coming back to phenomena (a) and (b) of § 1.2, Theorem 1.3.1.2 says that case (a) happens if and only if there exists an idempotent as in Theorem 1.3.1.2(i) and case (b) happens if and only if the p -rank of A is 0. As an immediate corollary we get the following.

COROLLARY 1.3.1.4. *If A has positive p -rank and $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ is a simple algebra, then $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is finitely generated.*

Since for every Newton stratum of positive p -rank of the moduli space of abelian varieties of fixed dimension the generic member has $\text{End}(A_{\bar{K}}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, Corollary 1.3.1.4, together with the main results of [Hru96] and [GM06], implies the Mordell–Lang conjecture for such a generic abelian variety.

1.3.2 *Comparison with previous results.* We compare Theorem 1.3.1.2 with some of the previously known results, assuming that (A is simple and) $A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \neq 0$.

As already mentioned, if A is isogenous to an abelian variety defined over k , $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is not finitely generated. This is coherent with Theorem 1.3.1.2(i), since in this case the sequence (1.3.1.1) splits canonically up to isogeny and this splitting is induced, by the p -adic Tate conjecture for abelian varieties, from an idempotent $e \in \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$. Similarly, the fact that if A is of p -rank 0 then $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is not finitely generated, is coherent with Theorem 1.3.1.2(i), taking $e = \text{Id}_A$.

When A is ordinary, Theorem 1.3.1.2 was essentially already known, since part (ii) follows from [Rös20, Theorem 1.4] and part (i) follows from combining [Rös20, Theorem 1.1] with [D’A23, Theorem 1.1.3] (and their proofs). Always in the ordinary case, if $\text{Dim}(A) \leq 2$, then $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is always finitely generated: this can be either deduced from [Rös20, Theorem 1.2(g)] or from Theorem 1.3.1.2(ii).

Remark 1.3.2.1. Most of the results recalled in this section also holds replacing k with \bar{k} , assuming that $A_{\bar{K}}$ is not isogenous to an abelian variety defined over \bar{k} . In addition, our Theorem 1.3.1.2

holds replacing k with \bar{k} , as we show in Theorem 3.4.1, by elaborating the arguments used in the proof of Theorem 1.3.1.2.

1.4 Strategy

Our proof is mostly cohomological, in the sense that we work with p -divisible group and crystals. To lift our cohomological results to $\text{End}(A)$ and $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$, we use the assumption that K is finitely generated over a finite field, to be able to apply the p -adic Tate conjecture for abelian varieties.

1.4.1 *p-adic Abel–Jacobi maps.* To prove Theorem 1.3.1.2, we start, in § 2, considering various Abel–Jacobi maps. By using the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A[p^n] \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{p^n} A \rightarrow 0$ one constructs a Abel–Jacobi map

$$\text{AJ} : A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Composing with the quotient map $A[p^\infty] \rightarrow A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}}$, we get a morphism

$$\text{AJ}^{\text{ét}} : A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p,$$

which we call the étale Abel–Jacobi map, and we consider its \mathbb{Q}_p -linearization

$$\text{AJ}_p^{\text{ét}} : A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p,$$

which we call the p -adic étale Abel–Jacobi map. In Proposition 2.1.2.1 we prove that every infinitely p -divisible element is torsion if and only if $\text{AJ}^{\text{ét}}$ is injective and that $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is finitely generated if and only if $\text{AJ}_p^{\text{ét}}$ is injective. Hence, we can translate the two statements of Theorem 1.3.1.2 into two statements on ‘mixed’ p -divisible groups associated to elements in $A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Remark 1.4.1.1. Since the two properties of having a non torsion infinitely p -divisible point and having a finitely generated group of perfect points are codified by two different maps (one \mathbb{Q}_p -linear and the other \mathbb{Q} -linear), it is natural to consider two different statements in Theorem 1.3.1.2. This is slightly different from what one could aspects from apparently similar motivic conjectures (see, e.g., the Jansen injectivity conjecture [Jan94, Conjecture 9.15]). Roughly, this shows that the behavior of $\text{AJ}_p^{\text{ét}}$ is not motivic, since $\text{AJ}_p^{\text{ét}}$ might not be injective even when $\text{AJ}^{\text{ét}}$ is.

1.4.2 *p-divisible groups and crystals.* For $x \in A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$, let

$$0 \rightarrow A[p^\infty] \rightarrow M_x[p^\infty] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow M_x[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0 \quad (1.4.2.1)$$

be the exact sequences of p -divisible groups representing $\text{AJ}_p(x)$ and $\text{AJ}_p^{\text{ét}}(x)$. By the finite generation of $A(K)$, we know that first does not split and we want to understand when and why second splits. To do this, we spread out $A \rightarrow K$ to an abelian scheme $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ over some smooth connected k -variety X with function field K and we consider the category $\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)$ of F -isocrystals and the fully faithful contravariant Dieudonné functor [BBM82]

$$\mathbb{D} : \mathbf{pDiv}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X).$$

By fully faithfulness, we translate the splitting properties of (1.4.2.1) into analogous splitting properties of an exact sequence of F -isocrystals. As in [AD22], the advantage of doing this is that we can prove in Proposition 3.3.3.1 that the image via $\mathbb{D} : \mathbf{pDiv}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)$, of the first sequence in (1.4.2.1) lies inside the much better behaved subcategory $\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X) \subseteq \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)$ of overconvergent F -isocrystals.

Since $\mathbb{D}(A[p^\infty])$ is semisimple in $\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X)$, we can apply recent advances in p -adic cohomology (see [Tsu23] and its improvement done in [D'A23]) to construct, from the splitting of $AJ_p^{\text{ét}}$, an idempotent in $\text{End}(A[p^\infty]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ with the desired properties, which, since K is finitely generated over a finite field, lifts to $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$, by the p -adic Tate conjecture for abelian varieties.

This is enough to conclude the proof of Theorem 1.3.1.2(i), but to complete the proof of Theorem 1.3.1.2(ii) one needs to show that such a splitting cannot exist if the sequence (1.4.2.1) comes from an $x \in A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and not from a random $x \in A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$. This follows from Lemma 2.2.3.2 which shows that even if $\text{End}(A[p^\infty])$ can be big and with lots of idempotency, one always has that $\text{End}(M_x[p^\infty]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p$ if $x \in A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. This is essentially due to the geometric origin of $M_x[p^\infty]$, which makes $M_x[p^\infty]$ much more rigid for a $x \in A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ than for a random $x \in A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$. This extra rigidity is the reason for difference between the two different parts of Theorem 1.3.1.2.

1.5 Organization of the paper

In §2 we study various p -adic Kummer and Abel–Jacobi maps, their relation with the group of perfect points and with the extensions of p -divisible groups. In §3 we use this to prove Theorem 1.3.1.2 assuming the overconvergence result Proposition 4.1.2. Finally, in §4 we prove this overconvergence result.

2. Abel–Jacobi and étale Abel–Jacobi maps

Let S be a noetherian \mathbb{F}_p -scheme and let $A \rightarrow S$ be an abelian scheme. We write $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{fppf}}(S)$ for the category of fppf sheaves in abelian groups on S . Write $A(S)_{\text{tors}} \subseteq A(S)$ for the torsion subgroup of $A(S)$, $A(S)_{\text{tf}} := A(S)/A(S)_{\text{tors}}$ for its torsion free quotient and

$$A(S)_{p^\infty} := \{x \in A(S) \text{ such that for every } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ there exists a } y_n \in A(S) \text{ with } p^n y_n = x\}$$

for its subgroup of infinitely p -divisible elements.

2.1 Kummer maps

2.1.1 *Kummer map.* For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A[p^n] \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{p^n} A \rightarrow 0$$

in $\mathbf{SH}_{\text{fppf}}(S)$, induces an injective morphism

$$\text{Kum}_n : A(S)/p^n \hookrightarrow H_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1(S, A[p^n])$$

and taking the projective limit and tensoring with \mathbb{Q} , we get the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & A(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & & \\
 & \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 & & & \text{Kum} & \\
 A(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p & \longrightarrow & (\varprojlim_n A(S)/p^n) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \hookrightarrow & (\varprojlim_n H_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1(S, A[p^n])) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \\
 & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\
 & & & \text{Kum}_p &
 \end{array}$$

We call $\text{Kum}: A(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow (\varprojlim_n H_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1(S, A[p^n])) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ the Kummer map and $\text{Kum}_p: A(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow (\varprojlim_n H_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1(S, A[p^n])) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ the p -adic Kummer map. By construction, one has the following lemma, which we state for further reference.

LEMMA 2.1.1.1.

- (i) The Kummer map Kum is injective if and only if $A(S)_{p^\infty} \subseteq A(S)_{\text{tors}}$;
- (ii) If $A(S)_{\text{tf}}$ is finitely generated, then Kum_p is injective.

Proof. Statement (i) follows by tensoring with \mathbb{Q} the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A(S)_{p^\infty} \rightarrow A(S) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n H_{\text{fl}}^1(S, A[p^n]).$$

For statement (ii), one uses that if $A(S)_{\text{tf}}$ is finitely generated, then the kernel of $A(S) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \varprojlim_n A(S)/p^n$ is torsion, so that the map $A(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow (\varprojlim_n A(S)/p^n) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is injective. \square

2.1.2 *Étale Kummer maps.* Assume now that $S = \text{Spec}(K)$ is the spectrum of a field and write K^{perf} for the perfection of K . Then, the quotient maps $A[p^n] \rightarrow A[p^n]^{\text{ét}}$ induce a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{\text{Kum}^{\text{ét}}} & H_{\text{fl}}^1(K, A[p^n]^{\text{ét}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, T_p(A)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \\
 \downarrow & \searrow \text{Kum} & \\
 A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p & \xrightarrow{\text{Kum}_p} & (\varprojlim_n H_{\text{fl}}^1(k, A[p^n])) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow (\varprojlim_n H_{\text{fl}}^1(K, A[p^n]^{\text{ét}})) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, T_p(A)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \\
 & \searrow \text{Kum}_p^{\text{ét}} & \\
 & &
 \end{array}$$

where $T_p(A) := \varprojlim_n A(\overline{K})[p^n]$ is the p -adic étale module of A and $H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, T_p(A))$ is its first continuous étale cohomology group. We call $\text{Kum}^{\text{ét}}: A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, T_p(A)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ the étale Kummer map and $\text{Kum}_p^{\text{ét}}: A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, T_p(A)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ the p -adic étale Kummer map. The following proposition links the properties of $\text{Kum}^{\text{ét}}$ and $\text{Kum}_p^{\text{ét}}$ with the study of $A(K^{\text{perf}})$.

PROPOSITION 2.1.2.1. We have:

- (i) $A(K^{\text{perf}})_{p^\infty} \subseteq A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tors}}$ if and only if $\text{Kum}^{\text{ét}}$ is injective;
- (ii) $A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tf}}$ is finitely generated if and only if $A(K)_{\text{tf}}$ is finitely generated and $\text{Kum}_p^{\text{ét}}$ is injective.

Proof. Let us recall that:

- (a) since $K \subseteq K^{\text{perf}}$ is purely inseparable, for every finite étale group scheme G the natural map $H^1(K, G) \rightarrow H^1(K^{\text{perf}}, G)$ is an isomorphism (see, e.g., [Sta20, Tag 04DZ]);
- (b) if L is a perfect field, then $H_{\text{fl}}^1(L, H) \rightarrow H_{\text{fl}}^1(L, H^{\text{ét}})$ is injective for every finite group scheme H over L , since $H_{\text{fl}}^1(L, G) = 0$ for every finite connected group scheme G (see, e.g., [Čes15, Lemma 2.7(a)]).

Hence, part (i) and the only if part of item (ii) follow from Lemma 2.1.1.1 and the commutative diagram for $? \in \{\emptyset, p\}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_? & \longrightarrow & (\varprojlim_n H_{\text{fl}}^1(K, A[p^n])) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^1(K, T_p(A)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \\
 \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\
 A(K^{\text{perf}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_? & \longrightarrow & (\varprojlim_n H_{\text{fl}}^1(K^{\text{perf}}, A[p^n])) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \hookrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^1(K^{\text{perf}}, T_p(A)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}
 \end{array}$$

where the left vertical isomorphism follows from (1.2.1), the right vertical isomorphism from part (a) and the bottom right injection from part (b).

Thus, we are left to prove that if $A(K)_{\text{tf}}$ is finitely generated and $\text{Kum}_p^{\text{ét}}$ is injective, then $A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tf}}$ is finitely generated. Since $A(K)_{\text{tf}}[1/p] = A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tf}}[1/p]$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -module, it is enough to show that $A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tf}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}_p -module. Since the kernel of $\text{Kum}_p^{\text{ét}}$ is a torsion group by assumption and $A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = A(K^{\text{perf}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, the group $A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tf}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ injects in the torsion free quotient of the image of $\text{Kum}_p^{\text{ét}}$. Hence, it is enough to show that the image of $A(K^{\text{perf}}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ in $H^1(K^{\text{perf}}, T_p(A)) \simeq H^1(K, T_p(A))$ lies in a finitely generated sub- \mathbb{Z}_p -module.

Since $A(K)_{\text{tf}}$ is finitely generated, we can choose a set $x_1, \dots, x_r \in A(K)$ which generates $A(K)_{\text{tf}}$ and write $T_p(M_{x_i})$ for the \mathbb{Z}_p -linear $\pi_1(K)$ -representation corresponding to the exact sequence $\text{Kum}_p^{\text{ét}}(x_i)$

$$0 \rightarrow T_p(A) \rightarrow T_p(M_{x_i}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in} \quad H^1(K, T_p(A)) \simeq \text{Ext}_K^1(\mathbb{Z}_p, T_p(A)). \quad (2.1.2.2)$$

Let

$$\Pi \subseteq \text{GL}(T_p(M_{x_1})) \times \dots \times \text{GL}(T_p(M_{x_r}))$$

be the image of $\pi_1(K^{\text{perf}})$ acting on $T_p(M_{x_1}) \times \dots \times T_p(M_{x_r})$ and write $K^{\text{perf}} \subseteq L$ for the Galois extension corresponding to the closed subgroup $\text{Ker}(\pi_1(K^{\text{perf}}) \rightarrow \Pi)$.

Since Π is a closed subgroup of $\text{GL}(T_p(A))$, it is a compact p -adic Lie group by [DdSMS91, Corollary 9.36]. In particular, by [Ser64, Prop. 9], $H^1(\Pi, T_p(A)) \subseteq H^1(K^{\text{perf}}, T_p(A))$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}_p -module. We are left to show that the image of $A(K^{\text{perf}}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ in $H^1(K^{\text{perf}}, T_p(A))$ lies in $H^1(\Pi, T_p(A))$. Since $H^1(\Pi, T_p(A))$ is a sub- \mathbb{Z}_p -module of $H^1(K^{\text{perf}}, T_p(A))$, it is enough to show that the image of $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ lies in $H^1(\Pi, T_p(A))$.

The inflation–restriction exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(\Pi, T_p(A)) \longrightarrow H^1(\pi_1(K^{\text{perf}}), T_p(A)) \longrightarrow H^1(\pi_1(L), T_p(A)) \quad (2.1.2.3)$$

reduces us to show that the composition

$$\phi : A(K^{\text{perf}}) \rightarrow H^1(\pi_1(K^{\text{perf}}), T_p(A)) \rightarrow H^1(\pi_1(L), T_p(A))$$

is the zero map. Since $\pi_1(L)$ acts trivially on $T_p(M_{x_i})$, it acts trivially $T_p(A)$, so that

$$H^1(\pi_1(L), T_p(A)) = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(L), \mathbb{Z}_p^{p(A)})$$

is torsion free, hence it is enough to show that for every non-torsion $x \in A(K^{\text{perf}})$, there exists an n such that $\phi(p^n x) = 0$. Since, by (1.2.1), for every $x \in A(K^{\text{perf}})$, there exists an n such that $p^n x \in A(K)$, it is enough to show that the map

$$\phi' : A(K)_{\text{tf}} \rightarrow H^1(\pi_1(L), T_p(A))$$

is zero.

Since $A(K)_{\text{tf}}$ is generated by x_1, \dots, x_r , it is enough to show that $\phi'(x_i) = 0$ for every $1 \leq i \leq r$. However, the exact sequence corresponding to $\phi'(x_i)$ is the restriction of the exact sequence (2.1.2.2) to $\pi_1(L)$. By construction, this sequence is an exact sequence of trivial $\pi_1(L)$ -representations, hence it splits as a $\pi_1(L)$ -module for all the $x_i \in A(K)$. Hence, $\phi'(x_i) = 0$ and this concludes the proof. \square

2.2 Interpretation in terms of Abel–Jacobi maps

In this section, we compare the Kummer map with an Abel–Jacobi map constructed via p -divisible groups and 1-motives.

Write $\mathbf{pDiv}(S)$ for the category of p -divisible group over S and $\mathbf{pDiv}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ for its isogeny category.

2.2.1 *p-divisible group associate to a point.* Let $s \in A(S)$ be a section. Since $s : S \rightarrow A$ corresponds to a morphism of fppf S -group schemes $s : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A$, we can consider the 1-motive $[s : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A]$. We now recall how to associate to $[s : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A]$ a p -divisible group $M_s[p^\infty]$ over S (see, for example, [AB05, § 1.3] for more details). Define

$$M_s[p^n] := \frac{\text{Ker}(s + p^n : \mathbb{Z} \times_S A \rightarrow A)}{\text{Im}((p^n, -s) : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times_S A)},$$

so that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A[p^n] \rightarrow M_s[p^n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}_S \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.2.1.1}$$

of finite flat S -group schemes. Define

$$M_s[p^\infty] = \varinjlim_n M_s[p^n]$$

so that $M_s[p^\infty]$ is a p -divisible group fitting into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A[p^\infty] \rightarrow M_s[p^\infty] \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)_S \rightarrow 0. \tag{2.2.1.2}$$

We let $[M_s[p^\infty]]$ be the corresponding class in $\text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty])$.

2.2.2 *Comparison with the Kummer class.* Since $H_{\text{fl}}^1(S, A[p^n]) \simeq \text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}, A[p^n])$, where the latter is the group of extension $A[p^n]$ by $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ as $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ -sheaf, the Kummer map can be interpreted as a morphism

$$\text{Kum} : A(S) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}, A[p^n]).$$

On the other hand, since $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}, A[p^n])$ is finite, taking p^n -torsion we get a natural injective morphism

$$\varphi : \text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]) \hookrightarrow \varprojlim_n \text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}, A[p^n]).$$

In the next lemma, which follows essentially from the constructions involved, we prove that $\varphi([M_s[p^\infty]])$ and $\text{Kum}(s)$ represent the same class.

LEMMA 2.2.2.1. *There is an equality $\text{Kum}(s) = \varphi([M_s[p^\infty]])$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that, for every n , the sequence (2.2.1.1) identifies with the class of $\text{Kum}(s) \in H_{\text{fl}}^1(S, A[p^n]) \simeq \text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}, A[p^n])$. By definition, the $A[p^n]$ -torsor $\text{Kum}(s) \in H_{\text{fl}}^1(S, A[p^n])$ is the pullback of the inclusion of $s \hookrightarrow A$ along the multiplication by $p^n : A \rightarrow A$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}[\text{Kum}(s)]$ be the free $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ -sheaf on $[\text{Kum}(s)]$, let $\text{deg} : \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}[\text{Kum}(s)] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ be the ‘degree’ map sending $\sum n_i z_i$ to $\sum n_i$ and write $B := \text{Ker}(\text{deg})$.

By construction (see, e.g., [Sta20, 03AJ]), the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A[p^n] \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Kum}}(s) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0, \tag{2.2.2.2}$$

in $\text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}, A[p^n])$ corresponding to $\text{Kum}(s)$, is obtained by pushing out the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}[\text{Kum}(s)] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0,$$

along the map $B \rightarrow A[p^n]$ sending the generators of the form $x - x'$ to the unique a such that $x + a = x'$. The isomorphism of the sequence (2.2.2.2) with the sequence (2.2.1.1) is then induced by the map $\widetilde{\text{Kum}}(s) \rightarrow M_x[p^n]$ obtained by the universal property of pushout using the natural inclusion $A[p^n] \subseteq \{0\} \times A \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \times A$ and the map $\mathbb{Z}[\text{Kum}(s)] \rightarrow A$ sending $s \in \text{Kum}(s)$ to $(1, -s) \in \mathbb{Z} \times A$. □

Hence, from now on, if $S = \text{Spec}(K)$ is the spectrum of a field, we interpret, for $? \in \{\emptyset, p\}$ and $\Delta \in \{\emptyset, \text{ét}\}$ the Kummer maps as (p -adic, étale) Abel–Jacobi maps

$$\text{AJ}_?^\Delta : A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_? \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^\Delta) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

We can then rephrase the work done in this section in the following corollary, which is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.1.2.1 and Lemma 2.2.2.1.

COROLLARY 2.2.2.3. *We have:*

- (i) $A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tf}}$ is finitely generated if and only if $A(K)_{\text{tf}}$ is finitely generated and $\text{AJ}_p^{\text{ét}}$ is injective;
- (ii) $A(K^{\text{perf}})_{p^\infty} \subseteq A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tors}}$ if and only if $\text{AJ}^{\text{ét}}$ is injective.

2.2.3 *Rigidity of the Abel–Jacobi extension.* Suppose that $S = \text{Spec}(K)$ for a finitely generated field K over \mathbb{F}_p . We give a first application of the interpretation of Kum in terms of p -divisible groups, proving that the extensions in the image of AJ are more rigid than a general extension in the image of AJ_p . This sets an important difference between the maps AJ and AJ_p and it is the reason why one has to consider two different statements in Theorem 1.3.1.2.

We begin with an easy but important lemma, which is the only place in which some assumption on the geometry of A is used.

LEMMA 2.2.3.1. *Assume that A is simple and $x \in A(K)$ is a non-torsion point. Then the map*

$$\psi_x : \text{End}(A) \rightarrow A(K)$$

sending f to $f(x)$ is injective.

Proof. Take any morphism $f : A \rightarrow A$ such that $f(x) = 0$. If $f : A \rightarrow A$ is not the zero map, then, since A is simple, $\text{Ker}(f)$ is finite. On the other hand, x is in $\text{Ker}(f)$ which is a contradiction with the fact that x is not torsion. □

Then one has the following result, which is a consequence of the Tate conjecture for abelian varieties and a concrete incarnation of the Tate conjecture for 1-motives.

LEMMA 2.2.3.2. *If A is simple and $x \in A(K)$ is not torsion, then $\text{End}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(M_x[p^\infty]) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$.*

Proof. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(M_x[p^\infty], -)$ to the exact sequence (2.2.1.2) we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(M_x[p^\infty], A[p^\infty]) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(M_x[p^\infty]) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(M_x[p^\infty], \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Since $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(A[p^\infty], \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$, applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(-, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ to (2.2.1.2) one sees that $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(M_x[p^\infty], \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq \text{End}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$. Hence, it is then enough to prove that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(M_x[p^\infty], A[p^\infty]) = 0.$$

Since $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]) = 0$, applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(-, A[p^\infty])$ to the exact sequence (2.2.1.2) we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(M[p^\infty], A[p^\infty]) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(A[p^\infty]) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]).$$

Since $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(M[p^\infty], A[p^\infty])$ is torsion free, we are left to show that the natural map

$$\text{End}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(A[p^\infty]) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

is injective.

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p & \xleftarrow{\psi_x \otimes \text{Id}} & A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \\
 \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \text{AJ}_p \\
 \text{End}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(A[p^\infty]) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}
 \end{array}$$

where $\psi_x \otimes \text{Id}$ is induced by the map $\psi_x : \text{End}(A) \rightarrow A(K)$ sending a morphism f to $f(x)$. Since K is finitely generated, $A(K)$ is a finitely generated group, hence by Lemma 2.1.1.1 AJ_p is injective. By the p -adic Tate conjecture for abelian varieties proved in [dJ98, Theorem 2.6], the left vertical map is an isomorphism. Thus, since A is simple, we conclude by using Lemma 2.2.3.1. \square

2.2.4 *Kummer class and semiabelian schemes.* Let $s \in A(S)$. As a second application of the interpretation of Kum in terms of p -divisible groups we give a geometric interpretation of the Cartier dual of the class of $[M_x[p^\infty]]$. This will be important to prove Proposition 3.3.3.1. The dual of the 1-motive $[\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A]$ is a semiabelian scheme

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,S} \rightarrow G_s \rightarrow A^\vee \rightarrow 0,$$

where A^\vee is the dual abelian variety, and the p -divisible group $G_s[p^\infty]$ of G_s is the Cartier dual $M_s[p^\infty]^\vee$ of $M_s[p^\infty]$ (see, for example, [AB05, § 1.3]). Hence, the class of the dual of the extension (2.2.1.2) in $\text{Ext}^1(A^\vee[p^\infty], \mu_{p^\infty})$ is the extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m[p^\infty] \rightarrow G_s[p^\infty] \rightarrow A^\vee[p^\infty] \rightarrow 0,$$

associated to the p -divisible group of a semi-abelian S -scheme $G_s \rightarrow S$.

3. On the injectivity of the étale Abel–Jacobi map

In this section, we prove the main theorem of the paper (Theorem 3.1.1) and its geometric variant (Theorem 3.4.1) assuming an overconvergence result (Proposition 4.1.2) which will be proved in the next § 4 (since it relies on different techniques).

3.1 Notation and statements

We assume that k is a finite field, K/k is a finitely generated field extension and A a K -abelian variety. Write $p(A)$ (respectively, $r(A)$) for the p -rank of A (respectively, the rank of $A(K)$, which is finite by the Lang–Néron theorem) and if A_1, \dots, A_n are the simple isogeny factors of A , set $p(A)^{\min}$ (respectively, $r(A)^{\min}$) as the minimum of $p(A_i)$ (respectively, of $r(A_i)$). If $e \in \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$, we write $e[p^\infty] \in \text{End}(A[p^\infty]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ (respectively, $e[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}} \in \text{End}(A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$) for the induced morphism. Finally, set

$$A(S)_{p^\infty} := \{x \in A(S) \text{ such that for every } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ there exists a } y_n \in A(S) \text{ with } p^n y_n = x\}.$$

In this section, we prove the following.

THEOREM 3.1.1. *Assume that $r(A)^{\min} > 0$. Then:*

- (i) $A(K^{\text{perf}})$ is not finitely generated if and only if there exists an idempotent $0 \neq e \in \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ (i.e. $e^2 = e$) such that $0 = e[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}} \in \text{End}(A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$;
- (ii) $A(K^{\text{perf}})_{p^\infty} \subseteq A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tors}}$ if and only if $p(A)^{\min} > 0$.

Since $A(K^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tors}}$ is finite by [GM06, p. 7], thanks to Corollary 2.2.2.3, Theorem 1.3.1.2 is equivalent to the following.

THEOREM 3.1.2. Assume that $r(A)^{\min} > 0$. Then:

(i) the morphism

$$AJ_p^{\acute{e}t} : A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

is not injective if and only there exists an idempotent $0 \neq e \in \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that $0 = e[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t} \in \text{End}(A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$;

(ii) the morphism

$$AJ^{\acute{e}t} : A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

is not injective if and only if $p(A)^{\min} = 0$.

3.2 Preliminaries and the first implication

3.2.1 *Reduction to A simple.* Since the assumptions and the conclusions are stable under products and isogenies of abelian varieties, we can assume that A is simple (that will be used to apply Lemmas 2.2.3.1 and 2.2.3.2) and $r(A) > 0$. Since the statements with $p(A) = 0$ are trivial, we can assume that $p(A) > 0$.

3.2.2 *First implication.* We first prove the if part of Theorem 3.1.2(i). Assume that there exists an idempotent $0 \neq e \in \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that $e[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t} = 0$ in $\text{End}(A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$. Choose an n such that $p^n e =: u \in \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$. Since $e[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t} = 0$ and $\text{End}(A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t})$ is torsion free, also $u[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t} = 0$. Take a non-torsion $x \in A(K)$ (which exists by assumption). Since A is simple, by Lemma 2.2.3.1, the map

$$\psi_x \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} : \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$$

is injective, where $\psi_x : \text{End}(A) \rightarrow A(K)$ is the map sending f to $f(x)$. Hence, $e(x) \neq 0$, therefore $u(x) \neq 0$. The commutative diagram

$$\begin{CD} A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p @>AJ_p^{\acute{e}t}>> \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \\ @VVuV @VVu=0V \\ A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p @>AJ_p^{\acute{e}t}>> \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \end{CD}$$

shows that $u(x)$ goes to zero in $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. This concludes the proof of the if part of Theorem 3.1.2(i).

3.2.3 *Reduction to Proposition 3.2.3.1.* We are left to prove the only if part of Theorem 3.1.2(i) and 3.1.2(ii). We first show that the following Proposition 3.2.3.1 implies Theorem 3.1.2.

PROPOSITION 3.2.3.1. Let $x \in A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ be such that $AJ_p(x) = 0$. Then there exists an idempotent $0 \neq e \in \text{End}(M_x[p^\infty]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ which preserves the sub- p -divisible group $A[p^\infty] \subseteq M_x[p^\infty]$ and it induces a non-zero idempotent $e[p^\infty] \in \text{End}(A[p^\infty]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ acting as 0 on $A_x[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t}$.

Assume that Proposition 3.2.3.1 holds. Then Theorem 3.1.2(ii) follows from it and Lemma 2.2.3.1. To deduce Theorem 3.1.2(i), we use that, by the p -adic Tate conjecture for abelian varieties proved in [dJ98, Theorem 2.6], the natural map

$$\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{End}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(A[p^\infty]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$$

is an isomorphism, so that $e[p^\infty]$ is induced by a non-zero idempotent in $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ acting as 0 on $A_x[p^\infty]^{\acute{e}t}$. Hence, we are left to prove Proposition 3.2.3.1.

3.3 Proof of Proposition 3.2.3.1

3.3.1 *Spreading out.* Let $x \in A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ be such that $AJ_p(x) = 0$. To prove Proposition 3.2.3.1 we can replace x with $p^n x$, hence we may and do assume that $x \in A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is not torsion. Let

$$0 \rightarrow A[p^\infty] \rightarrow M_x[p^\infty] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.3.1.1}$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow M_x[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.3.1.2}$$

be the extensions associated to $AJ_p(x)$ and $AJ_p^{\text{ét}}(x)$, respectively. Since $AJ_p^{\text{ét}}(x) = 0$, the exact sequence (3.3.1.2) splits. Replacing k with a finite field extension, we can assume that k is algebraically closed in K and take an affine smooth geometrically connected k -variety X with function field K . Replacing X with a dense open subset, we can assume that A extends to an abelian scheme $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ with constant Newton polygon and that, since $A(K)$ is finitely generated, the natural map $\mathcal{A}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow A(K) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is an isomorphism. In particular, x extends to a non-torsion element $t \in \mathcal{A}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$. By [dJ95], the natural functor

$$\mathbf{pDiv}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{pDiv}(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

is fully faithful, so that our assumption is equivalent to the fact that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[p^\infty]_X^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_t[p^\infty]_X^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.3.1.3}$$

splits in $\mathbf{pDiv}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and we know (by Lemma 2.1.1.1) that the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[p^\infty]_X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_t[p^\infty]_X \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.3.1.4}$$

does not split.

3.3.2 *F-isocrystals.* Let $\mathbf{F-Isoc}(X)$ be the category of F -isocrystals over X (as defined for example in [Mor19, § A.1]). By [Ked22, Corollary 4.2], every F -isocrystal \mathcal{E} with constant Newton polygon admits a slope filtration

$$0 = \mathcal{E}_s \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{s+1} \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{r-1} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_r = \mathcal{E}$$

such that $\mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i-1}$ is isoclinic of some slope $s_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $s_i < s_{i+1}$. By [BBM82], there is a fully faithful contravariant functor $\mathbb{D} : \mathbf{pDiv}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{F-Isoc}(X)$. Write

$$\mathcal{E} := \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{A}[p^\infty]); \quad \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{crys}} := \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p); \quad \mathcal{E}_t := \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{M}_t[p^\infty]_X),$$

so that \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}_t have constant Newton polygon by the preliminary reduction. Recall that the slopes appearing in an F -isocrystal associated to a p -divisible group are between 0 and 1 and that a p -divisible group is étale if and only after applying \mathbb{D} has constant slope 0. Hence,

$$\mathcal{E}_1 := \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{A}[p^\infty]_X^{\text{ét}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_{t,1} := \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{M}_t[p^\infty]_X^{\text{ét}})$$

are the sub- F -isocrystals of minimal slope of \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}_t , respectively. Then the sequences (3.3.1.3) and (3.3.1.4) are sent to exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.3.2.1}$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{crys}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{t,1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow 0. \tag{3.3.2.2}$$

By fully faithfulness of $\mathbb{D} : \mathbf{pDiv}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{F-Isoc}(X)$ and the assumption, the sequence (3.3.2.2) splits and (3.3.2.1) does not split.

3.3.3 *Overconvergence.* Let $\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X)$ be the category of overconvergent F -isocrystals over X (see, for example, [Ber96, Definition 2.3.6]). By [Ber96, Theorem 2.4.2], every F -isocrystal is convergent, hence there is a natural functor $\Phi : \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)$.

Recall that, by [Ked04], the functor $\Phi : \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)$ is fully faithful, so that we can identify $\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X)$ with a full subcategory of $\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)$. If \mathcal{G} in $\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)$ is in the essential image of $\Phi : \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)$ we say that it is overconvergent and we write \mathcal{G}^\dagger for its (unique) overconvergent extension. By [Éte02], \mathcal{E} is overconvergent. As a consequence of Proposition 4.1.2, that will be proved in §4, and the geometric interpretation of \mathcal{E}_t given in §2.2.4, we can show that \mathcal{E}_t is also overconvergent.

PROPOSITION 3.3.3.1. *The F -isocrystal \mathcal{E}_t is overconvergent.*

Proof. Since inside $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{crys}})$ the class of \mathcal{E}_t is a \mathbb{Q}_p -linear combination of classes \mathcal{E}_v with $v \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ and the morphism $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X)}^1(\mathcal{E}^\dagger, \mathcal{O}_X^\dagger) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{crys}})$ is \mathbb{Q}_p -linear, we can assume that $t \in \mathcal{A}(X)$. It is then enough to show that $\mathcal{E}_t^\vee(1)$ (where $(-)^{\vee}$ is the dual F -isocrystal and $(-)(1)$ is the Tate twist) is overconvergent. By §2.2.4 and the compatibility of the functor \mathbb{D} with dualities ([BBM82, (5.3.3.1)]), one has that $\mathcal{E}_t^\vee(1)$ identifies with $\mathbb{D}(G[p^\infty])$, where $G[p^\infty]$ is the p -divisible group of an algebraic group G which is an extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

of an abelian variety and a \mathbb{G}_m . Then the overconvergence of $\mathcal{E}_t^\vee(1)$ follows from Proposition 4.1.2, that we will prove in §4. □

Since \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}_t are overconvergent and the functor $\Phi : \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)$ is fully faithful, the non-split exact sequence (3.3.2.1) lifts to a non-split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_t^\dagger \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{E}^\dagger \rightarrow 0. \tag{3.3.3.2}$$

On the other hand, by construction, the exact sequence (3.3.2.2) is obtained by applying $\Phi : \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)$ to (3.3.3.2) and then base changing it along $\mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$.

3.3.4 *Minimal slope conjecture.* Chose a splitting $s : \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{t,1}$ of the sequence (3.3.2.2). Consider the smallest overconvergent object $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger$ contained in \mathcal{E}_t^\dagger and containing $s(\mathcal{E}_1)$.

Since $p(A) > 0$, we have $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger \neq 0$. By the recent work [Tsu23] and its improvement done in [D'A23, Theorem 4.1.3], one has $s(\mathcal{E}_1) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1$ so that $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger \cap \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{crys}} = 0$. Hence, the natural composite map

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_t^\dagger \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{E}^\dagger$$

is injective and it induces an isomorphism $\pi : \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger)$. By construction, the sequence (3.3.3.2) splits after base change along $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger) \subseteq \mathcal{E}^\dagger$.

Since the sequence (3.3.3.2) does not split, $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger) \neq \mathcal{E}^\dagger$. By a result of Pál [Pál22, Theorem 1.2], the overconvergent F -isocrystal \mathcal{E}^\dagger is semisimple, hence there is a projection $\tilde{e} : \mathcal{E}^\dagger \rightarrow \pi(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger)$ onto $\pi(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger)$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ contains $s(\mathcal{E}_1)$, the non-zero idempotent $1 - \tilde{e}$ acts as zero on \mathcal{E}_1 . By the faithfulness of the composite functor $\mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X) \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}} \mathbf{pDiv}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, we get a non-zero idempotent $e[p^\infty]$ in $\text{End}(A[p^\infty]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ acting as zero on $A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}}$. Observe that

the composite map

$$\mathcal{E}_t^\dagger \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{E}^\dagger \xrightarrow{\tilde{e}} \pi(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger) \xrightarrow{\pi^{-1}} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger \subseteq \mathcal{E}_t^\dagger$$

is a projection onto $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\dagger$. Hence, there exists a non-zero idempotent $e \in \text{End}(\mathcal{E}_t^\dagger) \simeq \text{End}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K)}(M_x[p^\infty]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ which induces the non-zero idempotent in $e[p^\infty] \in \text{End}(A[p^\infty]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ acting as 0 on $A_x[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}}$. This concludes the proof of Proposition 3.2.3.1.

3.4 Geometric variant

Write $L := \bar{k}K \subseteq \bar{K}$ for the field generated by \bar{k} and K in \bar{K} . Let $\text{Tr}_{\bar{K}/\bar{k}}(A)$ be the (\bar{K}/\bar{k}) -trace of $A_{\bar{K}}$ (i.e. the biggest \bar{k} -isotrivial quotient $A_{\bar{K}} \rightarrow \text{Tr}_{\bar{K}/\bar{k}}(A)$ of $A_{\bar{K}}$). A modification of the previous arguments gives us the following geometric variant.

THEOREM 3.4.1. *Assume that $r(A)^{\min} > 0$. Then:*

- (i) *if $\text{Tr}_{\bar{K}/\bar{k}}(A) = 0$, then $A(L^{\text{perf}})$ is not finitely generated if and only if there exists an idempotent $0 \neq e \in \text{End}(A_L) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that $0 = e[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}} \in \text{End}(A_L[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$;*
- (ii) *$A(L^{\text{perf}})_{p^\infty} \subseteq A(L^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tors}}$ if and only if $p(A)^{\min} > 0$.*

Proof. Since $A(L)_{\text{tf}}$ is finitely generated by the Lang–Néron theorem and the action of $\pi_1(K)$ on $\text{End}(A)$ factors through a finite quotient, there exists a finite extension $K \subseteq K' \subseteq L$ such that $A(K') \otimes \mathbb{Q} = A(L) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and $\text{End}(A_{K'}) = \text{End}(A_L)$. For $? \in \{\emptyset, p\}$ we consider the following commutative diagrams.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A(K') \otimes \mathbb{Q}_? & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(K')}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & H^1(\pi_1(K'), T_p(A)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \\ A(L) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_? & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{pDiv}(L)}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, A[p^\infty]^{\text{ét}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & H^1(\pi_1(L), T_p(A)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \end{array}$$

Moreover, if $\text{Tr}(A) = 0$ then $A(L^{\text{perf}})_{\text{tors}}$ is finite by [AD22]. Since $A(L)_{\text{tf}}$ is finitely generated, by Corollary 2.2.2.3 and Theorem 3.1.2 it is enough to show that ϕ is injective. Since $\pi_1(L) \subseteq \pi_1(K')$ is a normal subgroup, the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence gives us an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\pi_1(K')/\pi_1(L), T_p(A)^{\pi_1(L)}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H^1(\pi_1(K'), T_p(A)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H^1(\pi_1(L), T_p(A)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

Since $\pi_1(K')/\pi_1(L)$ is pro-cyclic, one has

$$H^1(\pi_1(K')/\pi_1(L), T_p(A)^{\pi_1(L)}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq (T_p(A)^{\pi_1(L)} \otimes \mathbb{Q})_{\pi_1(K')/\pi_1(L)},$$

where the last terms are the coinvariants. However, since $A(K^{\text{perf}})[p^\infty]$ is finite, one has

$$(T_p(A)^{\pi_1(L)} \otimes \mathbb{Q})_{\pi_1(K')/\pi_1(L)} = (T_p(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{\pi_1(K')} = 0 = (T_p(A)^{\pi_1(L)} \otimes \mathbb{Q})_{\pi_1(K')/\pi_1(L)},$$

and this concludes the proof. □

4. Overconvergence

4.1 Statement

Let X be a smooth geometrically connected variety over a finite field k of characteristic p and let

$$0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow G \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.1.1}$$

be an extension of an abelian X -scheme A by a torus W over X . By applying the Dieudonné functor $\mathbb{D} : \mathbf{pDiv}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X)$ to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow W[p^\infty] \rightarrow G[p^\infty] \rightarrow A[p^\infty] \rightarrow 0$,

we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(A[p^\infty]) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(G[p^\infty]) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(W[p^\infty]) \rightarrow 0.$$

The main result of this section is the following.

PROPOSITION 4.1.2. *The F -isocrystal $\mathbb{D}(G[p^\infty])$ is overconvergent.*

To prove Proposition 4.1.2, we reduce to the case in which X is a curve and the abelian scheme has everywhere semistable reduction. Then, in § 4.3, we use a result of Trihan [Tri08] to reduce to prove a semistability result for $G[p^\infty]$. We conclude the proof in §§ 4.4 and 4.5, proving this semistability.

4.2 Preliminary reductions

By [GKU21, Lemma 4.2], to prove overconvergence, we can freely replace X with a smooth variety Y admitting a dominant morphism $Y \rightarrow X$. Thus, we can assume that $W \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m,X}^m$ and that $A(X)[n] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ for some fixed $n \geq 3$ coprime with p . By [DK73, Proposition 4.7, Exposé IX, p. 48], this last condition implies that, for every smooth curve C and every morphism $C \rightarrow X$, the abelian scheme $A \times_X C$ has everywhere semistable reduction. Moreover, by de Jong’s alteration theorem [dJ98], we can assume that X admits a compactification whose complementary is a normal crossing divisor. In this situation, by [dJ98, 2.5] and [Tri08, Corollary 3.14], for every smooth curve C and every morphism $f : C \rightarrow X$, the F -isocrystals $f^*\mathbb{D}(A[p^\infty]) \simeq \mathbb{D}(A \times_X C[p^\infty])$ has everywhere semistable reduction. Therefore, we can apply the cut by curve criterion for overconvergence proved in [GKU21, Lemma 6.7] to reduce to the case in which X is a curve. Thus, from now we assume that X is a curve with smooth compactification \overline{X} and A has everywhere semistable reduction.

4.3 Passing to p -divisible groups

For every $x \in \overline{X} - X$ we let S_x be the spectrum of the completion of \overline{X} in x and η_x the generic point of S_x . Write A_{η_x} and G_{η_x} for the base change of $A \rightarrow X$ and $G \rightarrow X$ through $\eta_x \rightarrow X$. By [Tri08, Theorem 4.5], to prove Proposition 4.1.2, it is enough to show that for every $x \in \overline{X} - X$, the p -divisible group $G_{\eta_x}[p^\infty]$ is semistable, i.e. that there exists a filtration

$$G_{\eta_x}[p^\infty]^t \subseteq G_{\eta_x}[p^\infty]^f \subseteq G_{\eta_x}[p^\infty]$$

such that:

- (i) $G_{\eta_x}[p^\infty]^f$ and $G_{\eta_x}[p^\infty]/G_{\eta_x}[p^\infty]^t$ extend to p -divisible groups $G[p^\infty]_{x,1}$ and $G[p^\infty]_{x,2}$ over S_x ; in this case, by [dJ98], the natural map $G_{\eta_x}[p^\infty]^f \rightarrow G_{\eta_x}[p^\infty]/G_{\eta_x}[p^\infty]^t$ extends to a map $G[p^\infty]_{x,1} \rightarrow G[p^\infty]_{x,2}$;
- (ii) $\text{Ker}(G[p^\infty]_{x,1} \rightarrow G[p^\infty]_{x,2})$ is a multiplicative p -divisible group and $\text{Coker}(G[p^\infty]_{x,1} \rightarrow G[p^\infty]_{x,2})$ is an étale p -divisible group.

Since the situation is now entirely local, we drop the subscript x from the notation.

4.4 Construction of the filtration

By [BLR90, Proposition 7, p. 292] and its proof, there exists an exact sequence of smooth group S -schemes with connected fibers

$$0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^0 \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ is the Néron model of A_η and $\mathcal{A}^0 \rightarrow S$ is its connected component of the identity, having as a generic fiber the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W_\eta \rightarrow G_\eta \rightarrow A_\eta \rightarrow 0.$$

Since A_η has semistable reduction, the special fiber \mathcal{A}_s^0 fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_s^0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

with T a k -torus and B a k -abelian variety. Let $\mathcal{A}^0[p^n]^f \subseteq \mathcal{A}^0[p^n]$ be the maximal subgroup which is finite over S and $\mathcal{A}^0[p^n]^t \subseteq \mathcal{A}^0[p^n]^f$ be the unique lifting of the finite subgroup $T[p^n] \subseteq \mathcal{A}_s^0[p^n]$ to $\mathcal{A}^0[p^n]^f$. For $? \in \{t, f\}$, we define $\mathcal{G}^0[p^n]^? \subseteq \mathcal{G}^0[p^n]$ via the following cartesian diagram with exact rows.

$$\begin{CD} 0 @>>> W[p^n] @>>> \mathcal{G}^0[p^n]^? @>>> \mathcal{A}^0[p^n]^? @>>> 0 \\ @. @VVV @VVV @VVV @. \\ 0 @>>> W[p^n] @>>> \mathcal{G}^0[p^n] @>>> \mathcal{A}^0[p^n] @>>> 0 \end{CD} \tag{4.4.1}$$

Taking the direct limit with n and applying [DK73, Proposition 5.6, Exposé IX, p. 180] and [Mes72, (2.4.3)], we get a filtration $\mathcal{G}^0[p^\infty]^t \subseteq \mathcal{G}^0[p^\infty]^f \subseteq \mathcal{G}^0[p^\infty]$, of p -divisible groups. Set

$$G[p^\infty]_t := \mathcal{G}^0[p^\infty]^t; \quad G[p^\infty]_f := \mathcal{G}^0[p^\infty]^f; \quad A[p^\infty]_t := \mathcal{A}^0[p^\infty]^t; \quad A[p^\infty]_f := \mathcal{A}^0[p^\infty]^f;$$

so that there are filtrations

$$G[p^\infty]_t \subseteq G[p^\infty]_f \subseteq G_\eta[p^\infty] \quad \text{and} \quad A[p^\infty]_t \subseteq A[p^\infty]_f \subseteq A_\eta[p^\infty].$$

4.5 End of the proof

By [DK73, Exposé IX], the inclusions $A[p^\infty]_t \subseteq A[p^\infty]_f \subseteq A_\eta[p^\infty]$ produce a filtration of $A[p^\infty]_\eta$ giving semistable reduction for $A_\eta[p^\infty]$, in the sense that:

- (i) $A[p^\infty]_f$ and $A_\eta[p^\infty]/A[p^\infty]_t$ extend to p -divisible groups $A[p^\infty]_1$ and $A[p^\infty]_2$ over S (see [DK73, Proposition 5.6, p. 380, Exposé IX]);
- (ii) if $f_A : A[p^\infty]_1 \rightarrow A[p^\infty]_2$ denotes the natural induced map, then $\text{Ker}(f_A)$ is a multiplicative p -divisible group and $\text{Coker}(f_A)$ is an étale p -divisible group (this follows from the orthogonality theorem [DK73, Proposition 5.2, p. 372, Exposé IX], which implies that $\text{Ker}(f_A) \simeq \mathcal{A}^0[p^\infty]^t$ and $\text{Coker}(f_A) \simeq ((\mathcal{A}^\vee)^0[p^\infty]^t)^\vee$, where \mathcal{A}^\vee is Néron-model of the dual abelian A_η^\vee and $(\mathcal{A}^\vee[p^\infty]^t)^\vee$ is the Cartier dual of $\mathcal{A}^\vee[p^\infty]^t$).

To conclude the proof we now deduce for (i) and (ii) above that the same properties holds for the filtration $G[p^\infty]_t \subseteq G[p^\infty]_f \subseteq G_\eta[p^\infty]$.

- (i) By construction, $G[p^\infty]_f$ extends over S to the p -divisible group $G[p^\infty]_1 := \mathcal{G}^0[p^\infty]^f$. On the other hand, the diagram (4.4.1) shows that $G_\eta[p^\infty]/G[p^\infty]_t \simeq A_\eta[p^\infty]/A[p^\infty]_t$, so that we can set $G[p^\infty]_2 := A[p^\infty]_2$.
- (ii) Let $f : G[p^\infty]_1 \rightarrow G[p^\infty]_2$ be the induced morphism. We are left to prove that $\text{Ker}(f)$ and $\text{Coker}(f)$ are p -divisible groups and $\text{Ker}(f)$ is multiplicative and $\text{Coker}(f)$ is étale. This can be deduced from the analogues properties for $A[p^\infty]_1$ and $A[p^\infty]_2$, thanks to the following

commutative diagram with exact rows and columns.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & W[p^\infty] & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(f) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(f_A) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & W[p^\infty] & \longrightarrow & G[p^\infty]_1 & \longrightarrow & A[p^\infty]_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & G[p^\infty]_2 & \xrightarrow{\cong} & A[p^\infty]_2 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & \text{Coker}(f) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Coker}(f_A) & &
 \end{array}$$

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None.

REFERENCES

AD22 E. Ambrosi and M. D’Addezio, *Maximal tori of monodromy groups of F-isocrystals and an application to abelian varieties*, *Algebr. Geom.* **9** (2022), 633–650.

AB05 F. Andreatta and L. Barbieri-Viale, *Crystalline realizations of 1-motives*, *Math. Ann.* **331** (2005), 111–172.

Ber96 P. Berthelot, *Cohomologie rigide et cohomologie rigide à supports propres (première partie)*, Preprint (1996).

BBM82 P. Berthelot, L. Breen and W. Messing, *Théorie de Dieudonné cristalline II*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 930 (Springer, 1982).

BLR90 S. Bosch, W. Lutkebohmert and M. Raynaud, *Néron Models*, *A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*, vol. 21 (Springer, 1990).

BL22 D. Bragg and M. Lieblich, *Perfect points on genus one curves and consequences for supersingular K3 surfaces*, *Compos. Math.* **158** (2022), 1052–1083.

Čes15 K. Česnavičius, *Poitou–Tate without restrictions on the order*, *Math. Res. Lett.* **22** (2015), 1621–1666.

D’A23 M. D’Addezio, *Parabolicity conjecture of F-isocrystals*, *Ann. of Math.* (2023), to appear.

dJ95 A. J. de Jong, *Crystalline Dieudonné module theory via formal and rigid geometry*, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **82** (1995), 5–96.

dJ98 A. J. de Jong, *Homomorphisms of Barsotti–Tate groups and crystals in positive characteristic*, *Invent. Math.* **134** (1998), 301–333.

DK73 P. Deligne and N. Katz, *Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique II, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1967–1969 (SGA 7 II)*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 340 (Springer, 1973).

DdSMS91 J. D. Dixon, M. P. F. du Sautoy, A. Mann and D. Segal, *Analytic pro-p groups*, *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, vol. 157 (Cambridge University Press, 1991).

Éte02 J. Y. Étesse, *Descente étale des F-isocristaux surconvergentes et rationalité des fonctions L de schémas abéliens*, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* **35** (2002), 575–603.

Fal91 G. Faltings, *Diophantine approximation on abelian varieties*, *Ann. of Math.* **133** (1991), 549–576.

Ghi10 D. Ghioca, *Elliptic curves over the perfect closure of a function field*, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **53** (2010), 87–94.

- GM06 D. Ghioca and R. Moosa, *Division points on subvarieties of isotrivial semi-abelian varieties*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2006** (2006), 1–23.
- GKU21 T. Grub, K. Kedlaya and J. Upton, *A cut-by-curves criterion for overconvergent of F -isocrystals*, Preprint (2021).
- Hel22 D. Helm, *An ordinary abelian variety with an étale self-isogeny of p -power degree and no isotrivial factors*, Math. Res. Lett. **20** (2022), 445–454.
- Hin88 M. Hindry, *Autour d’une conjecture de Serge Lang*, Invent. Math. **94** (1988), 575–603.
- Hru96 E. Hrushovski, *The Mordell–Lang conjecture for function fields*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1996), 667–690.
- Jan94 U. Jannsen, *Motivic sheaves and filtrations on Chow groups*, in *Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991)*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, vol. 55 (American Mathematical Society, 1994), 245–302.
- Ked04 K. S. Kedlaya, *Full faithfulness for overconvergent F -isocrystals*, in *Geometric Aspects of Dwork Theory*, vol. II (De Gruyter, 2004), 819–883.
- Ked22 K. S. Kedlaya, *Notes on isocrystals*, J. Number Theory **237** (2022), 353–394.
- LN59 S. Lang and A. Néron, *Rational points of abelian varieties over function fields*, Amer. J. Math. **81** (1959), 95–118.
- Mes72 W. Messing, *The crystals associated to Barsotti–Tate groups: with applications to abelian schemes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 264 (Springer, 1972).
- Mor19 M. Morrow, *A variational Tate conjecture in crystalline cohomology*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **21** (2019), 3467–3511.
- Pál22 A. Pál, *The p -adic monodromy group of abelian varieties over global function fields of characteristic p* , Doc. Math. **27** (2022), 1509–1579.
- Rös15 D. Rössler, *On the group of purely inseparable points of an abelian variety defined over a function field of positive characteristic I*, Comment. Math. Helv. **90** (2015), 23–52.
- Rös20 D. Rössler, *On the group of purely inseparable points of an abelian variety defined over a function field of positive characteristic II*, Algebra Number Theory **14** (2020), 1123–1173.
- Ser64 J. P. Serre, *Sur les groupes de congruence des variétés abéliennes*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **28** (1964), 3–20.
- Sta20 The Stacks project authors, *The stacks project* (2020), <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>.
- Tat66 J. Tate, *Endomorphisms of abelian varieties over finite fields*, Invent. Math. **2** (1966), 134–144.
- Tri08 F. Trihan, *A note on semistable Barsotti–Tate groups*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **15** (2008), 411–425.
- Tsu23 N. Tsuzuki, *Minimal slope conjecture of F -isocrystals*, Invent. Math. **231** (2023), 39–109.
- Xin21 Y. Xinyi, *Positivity of Hodge bundles of abelian varieties over some function fields*, Compos. Math. **157** (2021), 1964–2000.

Emiliano Ambrosi eambrosi@unistra.fr

Université de Strasbourg, 7 rue René Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg, France

Compositio Mathematica is owned by the Foundation Compositio Mathematica and published by the London Mathematical Society in partnership with Cambridge University Press. All surplus income from the publication of *Compositio Mathematica* is returned to mathematics and higher education through the charitable activities of the Foundation, the London Mathematical Society and Cambridge University Press.