

## ISOTROPIC IMMERSIONS INTO A REAL SPACE FORM

SADAHIRO MAEDA AND KAZUMI TSUKADA

ABSTRACT. The main purpose of this paper is to investigate isotropic immersions with low codimensions into a real space form.

**1. Introduction.** As an interesting class of isometric immersions, the notion of isotropic immersions was introduced by O’Neill [9]. Its definition is given as follows: Let  $M$  and  $\tilde{M}$  be Riemannian manifolds and  $f: M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$  be an isometric immersion. We denote by  $\sigma$  the second fundamental form of  $f$  and call  $\sigma(x, x)$  the *normal curvature vector* for a unit tangent vector  $x$ . An isometric immersion  $f$  is said to be *isotropic* provided that every normal curvature has the same length at each point, that is, the length of the normal curvature vector depends only on the point. In particular, if the length of the normal curvature vector is equal to  $\lambda$  (a function on  $M$ ), then the immersion  $f$  is said to be  *$\lambda$ -isotropic*.

A totally umbilic immersion is clearly isotropic but some examples of isotropic immersions which are not totally umbilic are known. Now we give examples of isotropic immersions into a unit sphere  $S_1^N$ :

(1)  $M$  is a compact symmetric space of rank one and  $f: M \rightarrow S_1^N$  is a standard minimal immersion in the sense of Do Carmo and Wallach [3].

(2)  $M^n$  is an  $n$ -dimensional isotropic totally real submanifold with parallel second fundamental form of an  $n$ -dimensional complex projective space  $P_{\mathbb{C}}^n(4)$  of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4. We denote by  $i: M^n \rightarrow P_{\mathbb{C}}^n(4)$  its immersion and by  $\pi: S_1^{2n+1} \rightarrow P_{\mathbb{C}}^n(4)$  the Hopf fibration. Then we obtain the lift  $f: M^n \rightarrow S_1^{2n+1}$  of  $i$  with respect to  $\pi$ , that is, the following diagram holds:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & S_1^{2n+1} \\
 & \nearrow f & \downarrow \pi \\
 M^n & \xrightarrow{i} & P_{\mathbb{C}}^n(4)
 \end{array}$$

We see that  $f$  is also isotropic. Such submanifolds  $M^n$  of  $P_{\mathbb{C}}^n(4)$  are completely classified by Naitoh [8]. They are locally congruent to  $S^1 \times S^{n-1}$  ( $n \geq 2$ ),  $SU(3)/SO(3)$ ,  $SU(3)$ ,  $SU(6)/Sp(3)$ ,  $E_6/F_4$ .

---

The first author was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 04640050), Ministry of Education, Science and Culture and by the Ishida Foundation.

The second author was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 04640029), Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

Received by the editors October 2, 1992.

AMS subject classification: 53C40.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1994.

(3)  $M$  is a Riemann surface and  $f: M \rightarrow S_1^4$  is a superminimal immersion in the sense of Bryant [1].

Here we remark that in the case of (1) the property of isotropic immersions does not characterize the standard minimal immersions among minimal immersions of spheres into spheres (for details, see Tsukada [12]). Also we note that  $\lambda$  is constant in examples (1) and (2) but in general  $\lambda$  is not constant in (3).

A complete and simply connected Riemannian manifold of constant curvature  $c$  is called a *real space form*, which is denoted by  $\tilde{M}^N(c)$ . In this paper we study isotropic immersions into a real space form with flat normal connection (Theorem 3.1) and with low codimension (Theorem 4.3). In Section 2, we are concerned with the second fundamental form of an isotropic immersion at one point and obtain the estimate of dimensions of the normal space (Theorem 2.7).

**2. Isotropy at one point.** In this section we investigate the second fundamental form at one point. Let  $V$  and  $W$  be the Euclidean vector spaces with inner products  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , whose dimensions are  $n$  and  $k$ , respectively. We abstract the second fundamental form at one point to a symmetric bilinear form  $\sigma: V \times V \rightarrow W$ . We adopt for  $\sigma$  the usual notation and terminology of isometric immersions. Let  $S^2(V)$  be the space of all symmetric endomorphisms of  $V$ . Then we define the linear map  $A: W \rightarrow S^2(V)$  by  $\langle A_\xi x, y \rangle = \langle \sigma(x, y), \xi \rangle$  for  $x, y \in V$  and  $\xi \in W$ . The *mean curvature vector*  $\mathfrak{f}$  is defined by  $\mathfrak{f} = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma(e_i, e_i)$ , where  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V$ .  $\sigma$  is said to be *umbilic* if it satisfies  $\sigma(x, y) = \langle x, y \rangle \mathfrak{f}$  for any  $x, y \in V$ .  $\sigma$  is *minimal* if  $\mathfrak{f}$  vanishes. We say that  $\sigma$  is  $\lambda$ -*isotropic* if there exists a real constant  $\lambda$  such that  $\|\sigma(x, x)\| = \lambda$  for every unit vector  $x \in V$ .

The following lemma is due to O’Neill [9].

LEMMA 2.1. *A  $\lambda$ -isotropic symmetric bilinear form satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \sigma(x, y), \sigma(z, w) \rangle + \langle \sigma(x, z), \sigma(w, y) \rangle + \langle \sigma(x, w), \sigma(y, z) \rangle \\ &= \lambda^2 \{ \langle x, y \rangle \langle z, w \rangle + \langle x, z \rangle \langle w, y \rangle + \langle x, w \rangle \langle y, z \rangle \} \quad \text{for any } x, y, z, w \in V. \end{aligned}$$

From now on, we assume that  $\sigma$  is  $\lambda (> 0)$ -isotropic. Then  $\sigma$  induces a map  $\hat{\sigma}: S_1^{n-1} \rightarrow S_\lambda^{k-1}$  defined by  $\hat{\sigma}(x) = \sigma(x, x)$  for  $x \in S_1^{n-1}$ , where  $S_1^{n-1}$  and  $S_\lambda^{k-1}$  denote an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional sphere of radius 1 in  $V$  and a  $(k - 1)$ -dimensional sphere of radius  $\lambda$  in  $W$ , respectively. We shall investigate the map  $\hat{\sigma}$  from a differential geometric point of view. For instance,  $\sigma$  is umbilic if and only if  $\hat{\sigma}$  is a constant map, that is, the image of  $\hat{\sigma}$  is exactly one point of  $S_\lambda^{k-1}$ .  $\sigma$  is minimal if and only if  $\hat{\sigma}$  is a harmonic eigenmap corresponding to the second eigenvalue of  $S_1^{n-1}$  (cf. Toth and D’ambra [11]). It is known that these harmonic eigenmaps can be parametrized by a compact convex body lying in a finite dimensional vector space  $E$ , where  $\dim E = (n - 3)n(n + 1)(n + 2)/12$  for  $n \geq 4$  ([11]).

We shall now describe the inverse image  $\hat{\sigma}^{-1}(\xi)$  for  $\xi \in S_\lambda^{k-1}$ : Since a symmetric endomorphism  $A_\xi$  of  $V$  satisfies  $\langle A_\xi x, x \rangle = \langle \sigma(x, x), \xi \rangle \leq \|\sigma(x, x)\| \|\xi\| = \lambda^2$  for any

unit vector  $x \in V$ , the eigenvalues of  $A_\xi$  lie in the closed interval  $[-\lambda^2, \lambda^2]$ . This implies that for  $x \in S_1^{n-1}$ ,  $\hat{\sigma}(x) = \xi$  if and only if  $x$  is an eigenvector of  $A_\xi$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda^2$ . We denote by  $V_\xi$  the eigenspace of  $A_\xi$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda^2$ . Then if  $\hat{\sigma}^{-1}(\xi)$  is not empty, we have  $\hat{\sigma}^{-1}(\xi) = V_\xi \cap S_1^{n-1}$ . It is easily seen that  $\sigma(x, y) = \langle x, y \rangle \xi$  for  $x, y \in V_\xi$  and that  $V_\xi \cap V_\eta = \{0\}$  if  $\xi \neq \eta$  for  $\xi, \eta \in S_\lambda^{k-1}$ . From the argument above, we obtain the following:

LEMMA 2.2. *If  $\hat{\sigma}^{-1}(\xi)$  is not empty for  $\xi \in S_\lambda^{k-1}$ , then  $\hat{\sigma}^{-1}(\xi)$  is a totally geodesic sphere of  $S_1^{n-1}$  (it may occur that the dimension of  $\hat{\sigma}^{-1}(\xi) = 0$ , that is,  $\hat{\sigma}^{-1}(\xi)$  consists of one point and its antipodal point).*

The tangent space  $T_x S_1^{n-1}$  at  $x \in S_1^{n-1}$  is naturally identified with the subspace  $\{v \in V \mid \langle x, v \rangle = 0\}$ . Under this identification, the differential  $d\hat{\sigma}_x$  of  $\hat{\sigma}$  at  $x \in S_1^{n-1}$  is given by  $d\hat{\sigma}_x(v) = 2\sigma(x, v)$  for  $v \in T_x S_1^{n-1}$ . Moreover we have

LEMMA 2.3.  $\ker d\hat{\sigma}_x = V_{\hat{\sigma}(x)} \cap T_x S_1^{n-1}$ .

PROOF. Since  $\sigma(x, v) = \langle x, v \rangle \hat{\sigma}(x)$  for  $v \in V_{\hat{\sigma}(x)}$ , we find that  $V_{\hat{\sigma}(x)} \cap T_x S_1^{n-1} \subset \ker d\hat{\sigma}_x$ . Conversely let  $v \in T_x S_1^{n-1}$  which satisfies  $\sigma(x, v) = 0$ . From Lemma 2.1, it follows that  $\langle \sigma(x, x), \sigma(v, v) \rangle = \lambda^2 \|v\|^2$  and hence  $\langle A_{\hat{\sigma}(x)}, v, v \rangle = \lambda^2 \|v\|^2$ . This means that  $v \in V_{\hat{\sigma}(x)}$ . ■

By virtue of Lemma 2.3, we see that the rank of  $d\hat{\sigma}$  is constant on  $\hat{\sigma}^{-1}(\xi)$  for  $\xi \in S_\lambda^{k-1}$  and that rank of  $d\hat{\sigma}_x + \dim \hat{\sigma}^{-1}(\xi) = n - 1$ , where  $\xi = \hat{\sigma}(x)$ .

Here we fix some notation:

- $m = \text{Max}\{\text{rank of } d\hat{\sigma}_x \mid x \in S_1^{n-1}\} (\leq \min\{n - 1, k - 1\})$ ,
- $M = \{x \in S_1^{n-1} \mid \text{rank of } d\hat{\sigma}_x = m\}$ ,
- $B = \text{the image of } M \text{ by } \hat{\sigma} \text{ in } S_\lambda^{k-1}$ .

LEMMA 2.4.  $S_1^{n-1} - M$  is a closed real analytic subset in  $S_1^{n-1}$  and hence  $M$  is open and dense in  $S_1^{n-1}$ .

PROOF. For any  $x \in V$ , we consider the linear map  $\sigma_x: V \rightarrow W$  defined by  $\sigma_x(v) = \sigma(x, v)$  for  $v \in V$ . Then we see that rank of  $d\hat{\sigma}_x + 1 = \text{rank of } \sigma_x$  for  $x \in S_1^{n-1}$ . Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  and  $\{\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_k\}$  be orthonormal bases of  $V$  and  $W$ , respectively. Setting  $\sigma(e_i, e_j) = \sum_{a=1}^k \sigma_{ij} \tilde{e}_a$ , we have

$$\sigma_x(e_i) = \sigma(x, e_i) = \sum_{a=1}^k \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n x^j \sigma_{ij} \right\} \tilde{e}_a \quad \text{for } x = \sum_{j=1}^n x^j e_j.$$

Let  $((\sigma_x)_i^a)$  be the matrix representing the linear map  $\sigma_x$  with respect to the above bases. By the above,  $(\sigma_x)_i^a = \sum_{j=1}^n x^j \sigma_{ij}^a$ . Our assertion follows from  $S_1^{n-1} - M = \{x \in S_1^{n-1} \mid \text{all minor-determinants of order } m + 1 \text{ of the matrix } ((\sigma_x)_i^a) = 0\}$ . ■

LEMMA 2.5.  $B$  is an  $m$ -dimensional regular submanifold of  $S_\lambda^{k-1}$ .

PROOF. We put  $p = n - m - 1$ , which denotes the dimension of  $\hat{\sigma}^{-1}(\xi)$ ,  $\xi \in B$ . We consider the case that  $p \geq 1$  (when  $p = 0$ , by the similar argument we can prove our assertion). By Lemma 2.4,  $M$  is an open submanifold of  $S_1^{n-1}$  and the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$

consisting of  $p$ -dimensional totally geodesic spheres is defined in  $M$ . Fix an arbitrary point  $x$  in  $M$ . Then there exist a distinguished open set  $O$  with distinguished coordinates  $u^1, \dots, u^p, v^1, \dots, v^m$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  centered at  $x$  and a coordinate neighborhood  $U$  in  $S_\lambda^{k-1}$  with local coordinates  $w^1, \dots, w^{k-1}$  centered at  $\hat{\sigma}(x)$  such that  $\hat{\sigma}$  on  $O$  is represented as follows:

$$w^i(\hat{\sigma}(u^1, \dots, u^p, v^1, \dots, v^m)) = v^i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m$$

$$w^i(\hat{\sigma}(u^1, \dots, u^p, v^1, \dots, v^m)) = 0 \quad \text{for } m + 1 \leq i \leq k - 1$$

and that  $\hat{\sigma}(O) \cap U = \{(w^i, \dots, w^{k-1}) \in U \mid w^{m+1} = \dots = w^{k-1} = 0\}$ . Denote by  $S(O)$  the saturation of  $O$ , which is defined by  $S(O) = \hat{\sigma}^{-1}(\hat{\sigma}(O))$ .  $S(O)$  is open in  $M$  and hence open in  $S_1^{n-1}$ .  $S_1^{n-1} - S(O)$  is a compact subset of  $S_1^{n-1}$  and so  $\hat{\sigma}(S_1^{n-1} - S(O))$  is a compact subset of  $S_\lambda^{k-1}$  which does not contain  $\hat{\sigma}(x)$ . We choose a neighborhood  $\tilde{U}$  of  $\hat{\sigma}(x)$  such that  $\tilde{U} \subset U$  and  $\tilde{U} \cap \hat{\sigma}(S_1^{n-1} - S(O)) = \emptyset$  (i.e., empty). Then we have  $B \cap \tilde{U} = \{(w^1, \dots, w^{k-1}) \in \tilde{U} \mid w^{m+1} = \dots = w^{k-1} = 0\}$ . Therefore our assertion is proved. ■

By virtue of Lemma 2.5,  $\hat{\sigma}|_M$  is a differentiable map of  $M$  onto  $B$ . Applying a theory of Hermann [6] to our discussion, we obtain the following:

LEMMA 2.6.  $\hat{\sigma}: M \rightarrow B$  is a fibre bundle whose fibre is  $S^{n-m-1}$ .

To state Theorem 2.7, we recall the invariant  $\nu_n$  defined by Ferus [4]. Let  $V'_{t,r}$  be a Stiefel manifold of ordered  $r$ -tuples of linearly independent vectors in  $R^t$ . We denote by  $\rho(t)$  the largest integer such that the natural fibration  $V'_{t,\rho(t)} \rightarrow V'_{t,1}$  has a global cross section. For every positive integer  $n$  we define  $\nu_n$  as the largest integer such that  $\rho(n - \nu_n) \geq \nu_n + 1$ . By definition of  $\nu_n$  the following inequality is clear:  $\nu_n \leq (n - 1)/2$ . Some numerical values and estimates for  $\nu_n$  are found in [4].

We are now in a position to prove the following:

THEOREM 2.7. Let  $\sigma: V \times V \rightarrow W$  be a  $\lambda (> 0)$ -isotropic symmetric bilinear form, where  $\dim V = n (\geq 2)$  and  $\dim W = k$ . Suppose that  $\sigma$  is not umbilic. Then  $k \geq n - \nu_{n-1}$ .

PROOF. We use the notation of the preceding lemmas. Since  $\sigma$  is not umbilic, we have  $m = \dim B \geq 1$ . Fix  $\xi \in B$ . Let  $V_\xi$  denote the eigenspace of  $A_\xi$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda^2$  and  $V_\xi^\perp$  denote the orthogonal complement of  $V_\xi$  in  $V$ . Put  $\dim V_\xi = \nu + 1$ . We here note that  $\nu + m = n - 1$ . We take  $x \in V_\xi \cap S_1^{n-1}$ . Then  $\hat{\sigma}(x) = \xi$  and hence  $x \in M$ . By Lemma 2.6, we have  $d\hat{\sigma}_x(T_x M) = T_\xi B$ . Since  $M$  is an open submanifold of  $S_1^{n-1}$ ,  $T_x M = T_x S_1^{n-1}$  and hence  $d\hat{\sigma}_x(T_x S_1^{n-1}) = T_\xi B$ . This, together with Lemma 2.3, implies that  $d\hat{\sigma}_x$  restricted on  $V_\xi^\perp$  is a linear isomorphism of  $V_\xi^\perp$  onto  $T_\xi B$ . Noticing that  $d\hat{\sigma}_x(v) = 2\sigma(x, v)$  for  $v \in T_x S_1^{n-1}$ , we can define the bilinear form  $F: V_\xi \times V_\xi^\perp \rightarrow T_\xi B$  as  $F(x, v) = \sigma(x, v)$  for  $x \in V_\xi, v \in V_\xi^\perp$ . Moreover  $F$  satisfies that for  $x (\neq 0)$  the map defined by  $v \mapsto F(x, v)$  is a linear isomorphism of  $V_\xi^\perp$  onto  $T_\xi B$  and for  $v (\neq 0)$  the map defined by  $x \mapsto F(x, v)$  is an injective linear map of  $V_\xi$  into  $T_\xi B$ .

Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{\nu+1}\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $V_\xi$ . We denote by  $f$  the inverse map of the linear isomorphism of  $V_\xi^\perp$  onto  $T_\xi B$  defined by  $v \mapsto F(e_1, v)$ . For any nonzero vector

$\eta \in T_\xi B$ ,  $\{F(e_1, f(\eta)) = \eta, F(e_2, f(\eta)), \dots, F(e_{\nu+1}, f(\eta))\}$  is an ordered  $(\nu + 1)$ -tuples of linearly independent vectors in  $T_\xi B$ . Therefore the fibration  $V'_{m, \nu+1} \rightarrow V'_{m, 1}$  has a cross section. In particular, we have  $\rho(m) = \rho(n - 1 - \nu) \geq \nu + 1$  and hence  $\nu \leq \nu_{n-1}$  by definition of  $\nu_{n-1}$ . This implies that  $k = \dim W \geq \dim B + 1 = n - \nu \geq n - \nu_{n-1}$ . ■

By the theorem above the following clearly holds, which is an improvement of a result of Kleinjohann and Walter (Proposition 5.A in [7]).

**COROLLARY 2.8.** *Let  $f$  be an isotropic immersion of an  $n (\geq 2)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $M^n$  into an  $(n+k)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $\tilde{M}^{n+k}$ . If  $k < n - \nu_{n-1}$ , then  $f$  is totally umbilic.*

Now we consider the extremal case in Theorem 2.7.

**LEMMA 2.9.** *In addition to the assumption of Theorem 2.7, we suppose that  $k = n - \nu_{n-1}$ . Then  $\hat{\sigma}$  is a surjective map of  $S_1^{n-1}$  onto  $S_\lambda^{k-1}$  and for  $x \in M$   $\sigma_x$  is also a surjective linear map of  $V$  onto  $W$ , where  $\sigma_x$  is defined by  $\sigma_x(v) = \sigma(x, v)$  for  $v \in V$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $\dim W = \dim B + 1$ ,  $B$  is an open submanifold of  $S_\lambda^{k-1}$ . We define the function  $d$  on  $S_\lambda^{k-1}$  by  $d(\xi) = \det(A_\xi - \lambda^2 \text{Id})$  for  $\xi \in S_\lambda^{k-1}$ . From the argument in Lemma 2.2 it follows that  $\hat{\sigma}(S_1^{n-1}) = d^{-1}(0)$ . Since  $d$  is a real analytic function on  $S_\lambda^{k-1}$  and  $B \subset d^{-1}(0)$ ,  $d$  vanishes identically on  $S_\lambda^{k-1}$  and hence  $\hat{\sigma}(S_1^{n-1}) = S_\lambda^{k-1}$ . The fact that rank of  $d\hat{\sigma}_x + 1 = \text{rank of } \sigma_x$  gives us the second part of this lemma. ■

We note that  $\nu_{n-1} \leq (n - 2)/2$  and hence  $n - \nu_{n-1} \geq (n + 2)/2$ . So we shall consider the case of  $\dim W = (n + 2)/2$ . We get the following:

**PROPOSITION 2.10.** *In addition to the assumption of Theorem 2.7, we suppose that  $k = (n + 2)/2$ . Then it occurs only when  $n = 2, 4, 8$  or  $16$  and  $\hat{\sigma}$  gives fibrations of  $S^{2m-1}$  onto  $S^m$  with fibres  $S^{m-1}$ , where  $n = 2m$ . Moreover for an arbitrary unit vector  $\xi \in W$ , the vector space  $V$  has the orthogonal decomposition  $V = V_\xi + V_{-\xi}$  such that  $\dim V_\xi = \dim V_{-\xi}$  and that  $V_\xi$  and  $V_{-\xi}$  are the eigenspaces of  $A_\xi$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda$  and  $-\lambda$ , respectively. In particular,  $\sigma$  is minimal.*

**PROOF.** Put  $n = 2m$  and hence  $k = m + 1$ . By virtue of Lemma 2.9,  $\hat{\sigma}^{-1}(\xi)$  is not empty for an arbitrary  $\xi \in S_\lambda^m$ . Since  $\dim V_\xi = \dim \ker d\hat{\sigma}_x + 1$  for  $x \in \hat{\sigma}^{-1}(\xi)$ , we have  $\dim V_\xi \geq m$ . The eigenspace  $V_{-\xi}$  of  $A_{-\xi}$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda^2$  coincides with the eigenspace of  $A_\xi$  with eigenvalue  $-\lambda^2$  so that  $V_{-\xi} \subset V_\xi^\perp$ . Since  $\dim V_\xi \geq m$  and  $\dim V_{-\xi} \geq m$ , we have  $\dim V_\xi = \dim V_{-\xi} = m$  and obtain the orthogonal decomposition  $V = V_\xi + V_{-\xi}$ . From Lemma 2.1, it follows that  $\|\sigma(x, y)\| = \lambda \|x\| \|y\|$  for  $x \in V_\xi$ ,  $y \in V_{-\xi}$ . Therefore we obtain the bilinear form  $F: V_\xi \times V_{-\xi} \rightarrow T_\xi S_\lambda^m$  such that  $\dim V_\xi = \dim V_{-\xi} = \dim T_\xi S_\lambda^m = m$  and  $\|F(x, y)\| = \lambda \|x\| \|y\|$ . This implies that  $m = 1, 2, 4$  or  $8$ . Since  $\dim \ker d\hat{\sigma}_x = \dim V_\xi - 1 = m - 1$  at every point  $x \in S_1^{n-1}$ , the differential  $d\hat{\sigma}_x$  has the same rank  $m$ . From this and Lemma 2.6, it follows that  $\hat{\sigma}$  is a fibration of  $S^{2m-1}$  onto  $S^m$  with fibres  $S^{m-1}$ . ■

We here provide a characterization of umbilic bilinear forms.

PROPOSITION 2.11. *Let  $\sigma: V \times V \rightarrow W$  be a symmetric bilinear form. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\sigma$  is umbilic.
- (ii)  $\sigma$  is isotropic and for any  $\xi, \eta \in W$   $A_\xi A_\eta = A_\eta A_\xi$ .

PROOF. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Let  $\bar{f}$  be the mean curvature vector of  $\sigma$ . Since  $\sigma(x, y) = \langle x, y \rangle \bar{f}$ , we have  $A_\xi = \langle \xi, \bar{f} \rangle \text{Id}$  for any  $\xi \in W$  so that  $A_\xi$  and  $A_\eta$  commute for any  $\xi, \eta \in W$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): We assume that  $\sigma$  is  $\lambda$  ( $> 0$ )-isotropic. We take a unit vector  $x \in V$  and put  $\xi = \sigma(x, x) \in W$ . Note that  $x$  is an eigenvector of  $A_\xi$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda^2$ . We choose an orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  of  $V$  such that  $A_\xi e_i = \lambda_i e_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), where  $e_1 = x$  and  $\lambda_1 = \lambda^2$ . We fix  $i$  ( $\geq 2$ ). From Lemma 2.1 it follows that

$$\langle \sigma(x, e_i), \sigma(x, e_i) \rangle = (\lambda^2 - \lambda_i)/2 \cdot \delta_{ij} \quad \text{for any } j,$$

and hence  $A_{\sigma(x, e_i)} x = (\lambda^2 - \lambda_i)/2 \cdot e_i$ . Since  $A_\xi A_{\sigma(x, e_i)} x = A_{\sigma(x, e_i)} A_\xi x$ , we obtain

$$(\lambda^2 - \lambda_i)\lambda_i/2 = (\lambda^2 - \lambda_i)\lambda^2/2$$

so that  $\lambda_i = \lambda^2$  for  $i \geq 2$ , that is,  $V$  is the eigenspace of  $A_\xi$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda^2$ . And hence by the argument in Lemma 2.2,  $\sigma$  is umbilic. ■

**3. Isotropic immersions with flat normal connection.** Proposition 2.11 gives us the following statement on submanifolds in a real space form.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let  $M$  be a submanifold immersed in a real space form  $\tilde{M}(c)$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $M$  is a totally umbilic submanifold,
- (ii)  $M$  is an isotropic submanifold with flat normal connection.

PROOF. By the equation of Ricci, we see that a submanifold in a real space form has a flat normal connection if and only if  $A_\xi A_\eta = A_\eta A_\xi$  for any normal vector fields  $\xi, \eta$  (c.f. Chen [2]). From this and Proposition 2.11, it follows that two conditions in Theorem 3.1 are equivalent. ■

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1 we obtain the following:

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let  $M$  be a submanifold immersed in a real space form  $\tilde{M}(c)$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $M$  is a totally geodesic submanifold.
- (ii)  $M$  is an isotropic minimal submanifold with flat normal connection.

Note that the statement above does not hold if we remove one of three notions “isotropic”, “minimal” and “flat normal connection” of condition (ii) in Corollary 3.2.

REMARK. In case that the ambient space  $\tilde{M}$  is a complex projective space, Theorem 3.1 does not hold. Our discussion is as follows:

Let  $P_C^n(4)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complex projective space with Fubini-Study metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4. Now we shall construct a flat manifold  $T^n$

in  $P^n_C(4)$ . We consider  $M^{n+1} = S^1(1/\sqrt{n+1}) \times \dots \times S^1(1/\sqrt{n+1})$  in  $S^{2n+1}(1)$ , where  $S^1(1/\sqrt{n+1})$  is a circle with radius  $1/\sqrt{n+1}$ . Making use of this manifold  $M^{n+1}$ , we get a fibration  $S^1 \rightarrow M^{n+1} \rightarrow T^n$  which is compatible with the Hopf fibration  $S^1 \rightarrow S^{2n+1} \rightarrow P^n_C(4)$  (cf. [13]).

The submanifold  $T^n$  (in  $P^n_C(4)$ ) thus obtained has various beautiful properties. In fact, for  $n \geq 2$   $T^n$  is a totally real minimal submanifold with flat normal connection. Moreover, the second fundamental form of  $T^n$  is parallel (cf. [13]). But  $T^n$  is not isotropic in  $P^n_C(4)$  in the case of  $n \geq 3$ . We emphasize the fact that  $T^2$  is isotropic in  $P^2_C(4)$  (cf. [8]). Consequently  $P^2_C(4)$  admits a flat torus  $T^2$  as an isotropic submanifold with flat normal connection. Of course, the submanifold  $T^2$  is not totally umbilic in  $P^2_C(4)$ .

**4. Isotropic immersions with low codimension.** Let  $M$  be an  $n (\geq 2)$ -dimensional  $\lambda$ -isotropic submanifold of a real space form  $\tilde{M}(c)$ . Noting that  $\lambda^2$  is a differentiable function on  $M$ , we study the derivative of the second fundamental form  $\sigma$ .

LEMMA 4.1. For any  $x, y \in T_pM$  the following holds:

$$(4.1) \quad \langle (\bar{\nabla}_x \sigma)(x, x), \sigma(x, y) \rangle = d\lambda^2(x)\langle x, y \rangle \langle x, x \rangle - 1/2 \cdot d\lambda^2(y)\langle x, x \rangle \langle x, x \rangle.$$

PROOF. We fix  $p \in M$ . We take arbitrary vectors  $x, y \in T_pM$ . Let  $\gamma: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M$  be a differentiable curve satisfying  $\gamma(0) = p$  and  $\dot{\gamma}(0) = y$ . We denote by  $X(t)$  a parallel vector field along  $\gamma$  such that  $X(0) = x$ . Then for any  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$

$$(4.2) \quad \langle \sigma(X(t), X(t)), \sigma(X(t), X(t)) \rangle = \lambda^2\langle X(t), X(t) \rangle^2.$$

Differentiating (4.2) at  $t = 0$ , we find

$$(4.3) \quad \langle (\bar{\nabla}_y \sigma)(x, x), \sigma(x, x) \rangle = 1/2 \cdot d\lambda^2(y)\langle x, x \rangle^2 \quad \text{for any } x, y \in T_pM.$$

In particular, putting  $y = x$  in (4.3), we get

$$(4.4) \quad \langle (\bar{\nabla}_x \sigma)(x, x), \sigma(x, x) \rangle = 1/2 \cdot d\lambda^2(x)\langle x, x \rangle^2 \quad \text{for any } x \in T_pM.$$

So, using the symmetry of  $\bar{\nabla}_\sigma$ , we have

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & 3\langle (\bar{\nabla}_y \sigma)(x, x), \sigma(x, x) \rangle + 2\langle (\bar{\nabla}_x \sigma)(x, x), \sigma(x, y) \rangle \\ & = 1/2 \cdot d\lambda^2(y)\langle x, x \rangle^2 + 2d\lambda^2(x)\langle x, y \rangle \langle x, x \rangle \quad \text{for any } x, y \in T_pM. \end{aligned}$$

(4.3) and (4.5) yield (4.1). ■

PROPOSITION 4.2. Let  $M^n$  be an  $n (\geq 2)$ -dimensional  $\lambda$ -isotropic connected submanifold immersed in an  $(n+k)$ -dimensional real space form  $\tilde{M}^{n+k}(c)$ . If  $k \leq n - 1$ , then  $\lambda$  is constant.

PROOF. We shall prove that  $d\lambda^2 = 0$  at every point  $p \in M$ . First we study at a point  $p \in M$  such that  $\lambda(p) = 0$ . From (4.1), it follows that

$$1/2 \cdot d\lambda^2(x)\langle x, x \rangle^2 = \langle (\bar{\nabla}_x \sigma)(x, x), \sigma(x, x) \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for any } x \in T_pM.$$



Therefore we have  $d\lambda^2 = 0$  at such a point  $p$ .

Next we study at a point  $p \in M$  such that  $\lambda(p) > 0$ . We denote by  $N_pM$  the normal space at  $p$ . Since  $\dim N_pM \leq \dim T_pM - 1$ , for any  $y \in T_pM$  there exists a nonzero vector  $x \in T_pM$  such that  $\sigma(x, y) = 0$ . From Lemma 2.1 it follows that

$$\langle \sigma(x, x), \sigma(x, y) \rangle = \lambda^2 \langle x, y \rangle \langle x, x \rangle \quad \text{so that } \langle x, y \rangle = 0.$$

So, from (4.1) we see that  $d\lambda^2(y) = 0$  for any  $y \in T_pM$ , that is,  $d\lambda^2 = 0$  at such a point  $p$ . ■

The purpose of this section is to prove the following:

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $f$  be a  $\lambda$ -isotropic immersion of an  $n$  ( $\geq 2$ )-dimensional connected Riemannian manifold  $M^n$  into an  $(n + k)$ -dimensional real space form  $\tilde{M}^{n+k}(c)$ . Suppose that  $k \leq \min\{n - 1, n - \nu_{n-1}\}$ . Then either  $f$  is totally umbilic or  $f$  is locally congruent to one of the following first standard minimal immersions of  $M^n$  into  $\tilde{M}^{n+k}(c)$ :*

- (1)  $M^n = P_{\mathbb{C}}^2(4c/3)$ ,  $\tilde{M}^{n+k}(c) = S^7(c)$ ,
- (2)  $M^n = P_{\mathbb{H}}^2(4c/3)$ ,  $\tilde{M}^{n+k}(c) = S^{13}(c)$ ,
- (3)  $M^n = P_{\text{Cay}}^2(4c/3)$ ,  $\tilde{M}^{n+k}(c) = S^{25}(c)$ ,

where  $S^m(c)$  denotes an  $m$ -dimensional sphere of constant sectional curvature  $c$  and  $P_{\mathbb{C}}^2(4c/3)$ ,  $P_{\mathbb{H}}^2(4c/3)$  and  $P_{\text{Cay}}^2(4c/3)$  denote the projective planes of maximal sectional curvature  $4c/3$  over the complex, quaternions and Cayley numbers, respectively.

**PROOF.** Since  $k \leq n - 1$ , Proposition 4.2 tells us that  $\lambda$  is constant. If  $k < n - \nu_{n-1}$ , by Corollary 2.8  $f$  is totally umbilic. So we shall study the case  $k = n - \nu_{n-1}$ . We denote by  $U$  the set of all umbilic points of  $f$  and put  $U^c = M - U$ . We suppose that  $U^c$  is not empty and we shall prove that  $U^c = M$  and that the second fundamental form of  $f$  is parallel on  $M$ .

We denote by  $S_pM$  the unit sphere in  $T_pM$  at  $p \in U^c$ . We consider the linear map  $\sigma_x: T_pM \rightarrow N_pM$  defined by  $v \in T_pM \mapsto \sigma(x, v) \in N_pM$  for  $x \in S_pM$ . From Lemma 2.9 it follows that  $\pi_p = \{x \in S_pM \mid \sigma_x \text{ is surjective}\}$  is an open and dense subset of  $S_pM$ . Since  $\lambda$  is constant, (4.1) yields that

$$\langle (\bar{\nabla}_x \sigma)(x, x), \sigma(x, y) \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for any } x, y \in T_pM.$$

Therefore we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_x \sigma)(x, x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \pi_p, \text{ and hence } (\bar{\nabla}_x \sigma)(x, x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in S_pM.$$

By the symmetry of  $\bar{\nabla} \sigma$ ,  $\bar{\nabla} \sigma = 0$  at  $p \in U^c$ .

Now we define a differentiable function  $h$  on  $M$  by  $h(p) = \|\sigma_p\|^2 - n\|\bar{f}_p\|^2$ , where  $\|\sigma_p\|$  and  $\|\bar{f}_p\|$  denote the length of the second fundamental form  $\sigma$  and the mean curvature  $\bar{f}$  at  $p \in M$ , respectively. Note that  $h$  is nonnegative and  $h^{-1}(0) = U$ . In particular,  $U^c$  is open in  $M$ . Since  $\bar{\nabla} \sigma = 0$  in  $U^c$ ,  $h$  is locally constant in  $U^c$ . We fix  $p_0 \in U^c$  and put  $h_0 = h(p_0) (> 0)$ . Then  $h^{-1}(h_0)$  is a closed subset in  $M$ . On the other hand, since  $h^{-1}(h_0) \subset U^c$ ,  $h^{-1}(h_0)$  is open. By the connectedness of  $M$ ,  $h^{-1}(h_0) = M$  and hence  $U^c = M$ .



By the classification theorem of isotropic submanifolds with parallel second fundamental form in a real space form (Sakamoto [10] and also see Ferus [5]), we get our conclusion. ■

REMARK. In case of (1), (2) and (3) in Theorem 4.3, they satisfy  $k = (n + 2)/2$ . Therefore the second fundamental forms of the submanifolds satisfy the properties of Proposition 2.10.

## REFERENCES

1. R. Bryant, *Conformal and minimal immersions of compact surfaces into the 4-sphere*, J. Differential Geom. **17**(1982), 455–473.
2. B. Y. Chen, *Geometry of submanifolds*, Pure and Applied Mathematics **22**, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1973.
3. M. Do Carmo and N. Wallach, *Minimal immersions of spheres into spheres*, Ann. of Math. **93**(1971), 43–62.
4. D. Ferus, *Totally geodesic foliations*, Math. Ann. **188**(1970), 313–316.
5. ———, *Immersions with parallel second fundamental form*, Math. Z. **140**(1974), 87–92.
6. R. Hermann, *A sufficient condition that a mapping of Riemannian manifolds be a fibre bundle*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **11**(1960), 236–242.
7. N. Kleinjohann and R. Walter, *Nonnegativity of the curvature operator and isotropy for isometric immersions*, Math. Z. **181**(1982), 129–142.
8. H. Naitoh, *Isotropic submanifolds with parallel second fundamental form in  $P^n(c)$* , Osaka J. Math. **18**(1981), 427–464.
9. B. O'Neill, *Isotropic and Kähler immersions*, Canad. J. Math. **17**(1965), 905–915.
10. K. Sakamoto, *Planar geodesic immersions*, Tôhoku Math. J. **29**(1977), 25–56.
11. G. Toth and G. D'Ambra, *Parameter space for harmonic maps of constant energy density into spheres*, Geom. Dedicata **17**(1984), 61–67.
12. K. Tsukada, *Isotropic minimal immersions of spheres into spheres*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **35**(1983), 355–379.
13. K. Yano and M. Kon, *CR-submanifolds of Kaehlerian and Sasakian manifolds*, Progress in Math. **30**, Birkhauser, 1983.

*Department of Mathematics  
Nagoya Institute of Technology  
Gokiso, Showa-ku, Nagoya  
466, Japan*

*Department of Mathematics  
Ochanomizu University  
Otuka, Bunkyo-ku  
112, Japan*