

S. Sidney Ulmer, *Military Justice and the Right to Counsel*  
 Lexington: The University Press of Kentucky, 1970

“Military justice” refers to the complex mixture of administrative and criminal law, under the Uniform Code of Military Justice, which governs the lives of more than three-and-a-half million American citizens in uniform. In recent years, the system has come under criticism from journalists and social scientists who argue that some of the most basic constitutional rights, ostensibly guaranteed to all Americans, are not protected in the military system. Alternatively, some critics argue that even where such rights are formally protected, “command influence” and other perversions of the judicial process operate to deny these rights in practice.

Professor Ulmer has studied one area of military justice—that involving the right to counsel—in an attempt to shed some light on these criticisms. His essay compares the development of the right to counsel in military and in civilian courts, from the vague beginnings in the Sixth Amendment down to recent decisions in *Miranda* and related cases. The material discussed includes several revisions of the basic code law governing the military, many of the landmark civilian cases, and many of the military cases such as *Tempia* which have had prescriptive influence on the system.

Ulmer concludes that the right to counsel is *formally* very well protected, both by statute and by precedent, in the military system. He argues that, while neither system is perfect (and, using strict criteria, “we may find ourselves unhappy with both legal systems”), still the right to counsel is, by and large, as well protected in the military system as in the larger federal system. Ulmer perceives the two systems as growing increasingly more similar in their procedures, and he carefully points out the areas in which possible injustices remain.

This analysis certainly has some relevance to the criticisms. In terms of formal guarantees of rights, it seems that the military is

not languishing in the judicial Dark Ages. Indeed, as Ulmer repeatedly notes, many innovations in safeguarding basic rights were adopted in the military many years before they appeared in civilian systems. There can be no question but that the relevant statutes and case directives show great concern with protecting both the availability and functional benefits of counsel in military trials.

However, it is still possible that, even though the right to counsel is protected formally, in practice, soldiers are not permitted to enjoy this basic freedom. We have no way of knowing what percentage of soldiers are represented by counsel at the trivial level, but we do know that, in 1969, of convicted soldiers whose records were reviewed by the Army Boards of Review, only 72.4% requested counsel at this appellate level (Department of the Army, 1969). That is to say, 27.6%, or roughly one defendant in four, did not choose to be represented by counsel at this level, either because he was unaware of his right, was kept from exercising it, or had some reason to think that it was of no use.

Alternatively, it is possible that, in practice, other factors minimize the importance of the right to counsel. Murphy (1961) argues that some appointed defense counsels, who are Army officers, may find themselves in a role conflict between their duties as officers and members of the "military justice team" and their duties as defense attorneys. The results of this role conflict may be that appointed defense counsels may do less than their best to help the defendants.

The conclusion to be drawn from this is that it is not possible to analyze the military system in terms of justice simply by looking at the formal documents which specify how trials *should* be run; it is necessary to have empirical studies to see how the system works in practice. In the absence of such studies, Professor Ulmer's book should not be read as a vindication of military law. He has studied only the formal directives; the nature of compliance has yet to be determined.

—Paul Lermack  
University of Minnesota

## CASES

MIRANDA v. ARIZONA 384 U.S. 436; 86 S.Ct. 1602 (1966).  
UNITED STATES v. TEMPIA 16 U.S.C.M.A. 629 (1966).

## REFERENCES

Department of the Army, Office of the Judge Advocate General (1969) Report to the United States Court of Military Appeals. (Public Document D. 1.19: 969).  
MURPHY, A. A. (1961) "The Army defense counsel: unusual ethics for an unusual advocate." *Columbia Law Rev.* 61 (February): 233-253.

---

# ISSUES IN CRIMINOLOGY

*A Behavioral Science Journal in  
Criminology and Corrections*

---

## IN RECENT JOURNALS

- Organization as Victim in Mass Civil Disturbances  
..... *Russell R. Dynes and E. L. Quarantelli*
- Defenders of Order or Guardians of Human Rights?  
..... *the Schwendingers, Herman and Julia*
- A Learning Theory Analysis of the Correctional Process  
..... *Michael Hindelang*
- Repression-Beyond the Rhetoric..... *Joyce Clements*
- "Political" Criminals in America: O'Hare (1923); Cantine  
and Rainer (1950) ... *Edited by Virginia Engquist  
and Frances Coles*
- Police Representation and the Dilemma of Recruitment  
..... *Gene E. Carte*
- Demonism Revisited: The XYY Chromosomal Anomaly  
..... *Theodore R. Sarbin and Jeffrey E. Miller*
- Dialogues with *David Matza and Howard Becker*

---

*Published bi-annually by the Graduate Students of the School  
of Criminology, University of California, 101 Haviland Hall,  
Berkeley, California 94720.*

*Subscriptions: \$5.00 per year, \$4.00 per year for students,  
\$6.00 per year, libraries and institutions (\$16.00/3 years.).*

Please mention LAW & SOCIETY REVIEW when writing advertisers.

**New from Yale**

## **After the Revolution?** *Authority in a Good Society*

**by Robert A. Dahl**

"Is it possible to devise a system democratic enough to be generally acceptable, and yet armed with enough authority to get the world's work done and to settle the disagreements which always boil up within every group of people? What kinds of democracy might work best in different circumstances—in a commune of a dozen families, in a giant corporation, and in some future world government? What can be done to cure the feeling of so many people—especially the poor, the black, and the young—that they are powerless, lacking any effective voice in the decisions which shape their lives? These are the main questions Dahl sets out to answer; and to me, at least, his answers are persuasive. The Good Society he envisages doesn't look a bit like a happy pastoral anarchy . . . but it clearly would be a lot better than the America of today."—John Fischer, *Harper's Magazine*  
cloth \$8.50; paper \$2.45

## **The Patient as Person** *Explorations in Medical Ethics*

**by Paul Ramsey**

As the physician's new and wonderful options for saving lives, transplanting organs, and experimenting increase, so also do new and terrible choices appear before him. Theologian Paul Ramsey speaks as perhaps few others can, with certain knowledge of the physician's quandary and rare insight into the urgent moral issues arising in the practice of medicine today. Fairness, justice, loyalty, respect, and honor are between man and man; and anyone who deals with life, death, and disease must search for the meaning of these ethical concepts in specific medical contexts. Ramsey does just this in his constructive study of medical ethics.—"For its strong, well-argued positions, its documentation and references, and its assistance in bringing confused strands of thought into focus, 'The Patient as Person' will be used as a basic text for many years."—*New York Times Book Review*. \$10.00

## **What Price Vigilance?** *The Burdens of National Defense*

**by Bruce M. Russett**

Offered here for the first time are facts and figures on some of the causes and effects of our large and expensive military establishment. After pointing out that during the past two decades the United States has spent more on defense than ever before except for periods of all-out war, Mr. Russett analyzes such relevant factors as: patterns of congressional voting on defense expenditures and on related political issues; relationships between Department of Defense spending by state and the behavior of senators; the role of alliances in the sharing of military burdens; the costs to the nation of defense; and defense spending in other countries. This objective, scientific study of one of the most critical issues in America will prove indispensable to all concerned. cloth \$7.50; paper \$2.45

## **Tradition and Modernization**

*A Challenge for Law among the Dinka of the Sudan*

**by Francis Mading Deng** **Foreword by Harold D. Lasswell**

Emphasizing law but covering the social process generally, this study concerns itself with the balancing of tradition and modernity among the Dinka, a Nilotic people in the Republic of the Sudan. Mr. Deng suggests a strategy of transitional integration as a means of bringing peace to the country, promoting social justice, and mobilizing vital human resources for a harmonious development. "An important book that will commend itself to anthropologists, lawyers, political scientists—indeed to all concerned with the interaction of traditional patterns of behavior with the demands of modernization."—Michael Barkun \$17.50

**Yale University Press** *New Haven and London*  
*in Canada: McGill-Queen's University Press*

Please mention LAW & SOCIETY REVIEW when writing advertisers.