

## ERRATUM

# The evidential challenge for petitionary prayer: ERRATUM

Noam Oren

doi.org/[10.1017/S0034412524000209](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0034412524000209). Published by Cambridge University Press 13 May 2024.

The following lines should have been formatted as subheadings and set apart from the subsequent text.

1. Page 6 – We do not know what is good for us. Therefore, in response to our prayer for E, God can make E\*, which is better for us, happen
2. Page 8 – Prayer is a request: God sometimes answers ‘no’
3. Page 9 – Leaving God out of the courtroom
4. Page 10 – When we test God, He abstains from any intervention
5. Page 10 – When we test God, He intervenes in our test results in such a way that we will not notice that He actually answered the prayers that we tested
6. Page 11 – God answers prayers in a non-predictable way
7. Page 12 – Petitionary prayer is a duty, not a tool. It is not supposed to work
8. Page 12 – Petitionary prayers affect the person who prays, not the subject of the prayer
9. Page 13 – What do religious people do when they pray?
10. Page 14 – Discussion: what is the problem with this approach?

The Publisher apologises for the error.

## Reference

Oren N. The evidential challenge for petitionary prayer. *Religious Studies*. Published online 2024:1-18. doi:[10.1017/S0034412524000209](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0034412524000209)

**Cite this article:** Oren N (2025) The evidential challenge for petitionary prayer: ERRATUM. *Religious Studies*, 1. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0034412525000162>

© The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.