www.cambridge.org/jhl

Research Paper

Cite this article: Ebert M, Osaki-Pereira MM, Pérez-Ponce de León G and Silva RJ (2024). A new species of *Urocleidoides* Mizelle & Price, 1964 from the gills of *Schizodon nasutus* Kner, 1858 (Characiformes, Anostomidae) in southeastern Brazil. *Journal of Helminthology*, **98**, e9, 1-8

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X23000962

Received: 22 September 2023 Revised: 26 November 2023 Accepted: 12 December 2023

Keywords:

Monogenea; Dactylogyridae; fish helminthology; South America; 28S rDNA; COI mtDNA

Corresponding author: M.B. Ebert; Email: mbe.bio@gmail.com

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press.



A new species of *Urocleidoides* Mizelle & Price, 1964 from the gills of *Schizodon nasutus* Kner, 1858 (Characiformes, Anostomidae) in southeastern Brazil

M. Ebert¹, M.M. Osaki-Pereira¹, G. Pérez-Ponce de León² and R.J. Silva¹

¹São Paulo State University (UNESP), Institute of Biosciences, Section of Parasitology, 18618-689, Botucatu, São Paulo state, Brazil and ²Escuela Nacional de Estudios Superiores Unidad Mérida (ENES)-UNAM, Km 4.5 Carretera Mérida-Tetiz, Ucú, Yucatán, Mexico

Abstract

Based on an integrative approach, this study describes a new species of *Urocleidoides* infesting *Schizodon nasutus* in the Paranapanema River basin, Brazil. The new species can be distinguished from its congeners by specific morphological features, including the shape of the male copulatory organ and accessory piece, the ventral bar shape, and the shape and size of the hooks. Molecular analyses, particularly of the 28S rDNA gene, suggest a close relationship between the new species and *Urocleidoides paradoxus*. The phylogenetic and taxonomic arrangement of *Urocleidoides* is discussed, as the analyses of the 28S rDNA and COI mtDNA resolved the genus as non-monophyletic, with *Diaphorocleidus*, *Rhinoxenus*, and *Cacatuocotyle* nested within it. Additional morphological and molecular data of other congeneric species are required to investigate the phylogenetic position and classification of *Urocleidoides*. This study underscores the significance of using integrative approaches in understanding host–parasite associations and phylogenetic relationships, contributing to the description of the freshwater fish parasite biodiversity in South America, particularly in the Paranapanema river basin.

Introduction

Monogeneans stand as the most diverse helminth parasites of freshwater fishes in South America and have been extensively studied in the Neotropical region (Eiras *et al.* 2011; Cohen *et al.* 2013; Luque *et al.* 2017). The family Dactylogyridae Bychowsky, 1933 takes prominence and is known for its wide geographical distribution and by having a significant proportion of their species parasitising characiform fishes (Moreira *et al.* 2015; Rossin and Timi 2016; Franceschini *et al.* 2017; Acosta *et al.* 2017, 2018, 2019; Zago *et al.* 2017, 2018, 2020, 2021; Oliveira *et al.* 2021; Santos Neto and Domingues 2023; Yamada *et al.* 2023). This family currently comprises over 150 genera (WoRMS 2023) – mostly fish parasites on the gills, with others found in nasal cavities, in the mouth, on the body surface, and even in internal organs (Pavanelli *et al.* 2013).

Urocleidoides Mizelle & Price, 1964 is one of the most species-rich among the Neotropical dactylogyrid genera, comprising 52 valid species (Kritsky *et al.* 1986; Zago *et al.* 2020; Santos-Neto and Domingues 2023), and currently includes species parasitising the gills and nasal cavities of fishes of the orders Characiformes, Gymnotiformes, Cyprinodontiformes, and Siluriformes (although this last one still requires revision) (Ferreira *et al.* 2018; Oliveira *et al.* 2020; Zago *et al.* 2020; Freitas *et al.* 2021; Oliveira *et al.* 2021; Santos Neto and Domingues 2023). The genus was proposed by Mizelle and Price (1964) to accommodate *Urocleidoides reticulatus* Mizelle & Price, 1964 (type-species) from *Poecilia reticulata* (=*Lebistes reticulatus*) Peters, 1859 (Cyprinodontiformes, Poeciliidae) from an aquarium in Sacramento California, USA (probably collected from the Amazon river basin), which was characterised by the presence of a sinistral vagina and copulatory complex comprising an accessory piece and a non-articulated male copulatory organ.

Mizelle *et al.* (1968) proposed an amended morphological diagnosis for the genus, adding traits such as a male copulatory organ with one or more rings and a vagina usually associated with a sclerotized canal, and pointing out that the distribution was restricted to the Neotropical region. Kritsky *et al.* (1986) considered these characteristics as broadly defined, suggested that some species described as *Urocleidoides* represented, in fact, separate genera, and proposed a new amended morphological diagnosis, indicating that the main morphological characteristic was the presence of a hook-shaped sinistral vaginal sclerite, coiled male copulatory organ with counter-clockwise rings, and hook pairs 1 and 5 usually reduced in size. Kritsky *et al.* (1986) also provided a list of 23 species considered as *incertae sedis*, due to the absence of vaginal sclerite. Lately, Zago *et al.* (2020) provided another amended diagnosis of the genus, adding seven species from

Brazilian freshwater fishes. More recently, based on results obtained from partial sequences of the 28S rDNA and COI mtDNA genes, Santos Neto and Domingues (2023) demonstrated that *U. gymnotus* Mizelle, Kritsky & Crane, 1968 and *U. carapus* Mizelle, Kritsky & Crane, 1968, previously considered *incertae sedis* due to the absence of the vaginal sclerite, were valid species. However, with the lack of representative sequences, other species under the *incertae sedis* status are yet to be validated – namely, *U. strictus* Mizelle, Kritsky & Crane, 1968, *U. strombicirrus* (Price & Bussing, 1967) Kritsky & Thatcher, 1974, *U. trinidadensis* Molnar, Hanek & Fernando, 1974, *U. virescens* Mizelle, Kritsky & Crane, 1968, and *U. advenai* Mendoza-Franco & Reina, 2008 from Gymnotiformes; and *U. amazonensis* Mizelle & Kritsky, 1969 and *U. catus* Mizelle & Kritsky, 1969 from Siluriformes.

Within the order Characiformes, the family Anostomidae presents an extensive geographical distribution, encompassing the watersheds of both South and Central America (Nelson *et al.* 2016). This family currently comprises 15 genera and 160 species, many of which are from the Amazon basin, with species of *Schizodon* Agassiz, 1829, *Laemolyta* Cope, 1872, and *Leporinus* Spix, 1829 (Froese and Pauly 2023) acting as significantly common hosts in the life cycle of a diverse array of parasites, including monogeneans (Guidelli *et al.* 2011; Cohen *et al.* 2013; Oliveira *et al.* 2017; Yamada *et al.* 2017).

To date, eight species of Urocleidoides have been described from anostomid hosts: U. digitabulum Zago, Yamada, Yamada, Franceschini, Bongiovani & Silva, 2020 in Leporinus friderici (Bloch, 1794), Leporinus octofasciatus Steindachner, 1915, and Megaleporinus elongatus (Valenciennes, 1850); U. falxus Zago, Yamada, Yamada, Franceschini, Bongiovani & Silva, 2020 and U. sapucaiensis Zago, Yamada, Yamada, Franceschini, Bongiovani & Silva, 2020 in M. elongatus; U. jariensis Oliveira, Santos-Neto, Tavares-Dias & Domingues, 2020 in Schizodon fasciatus Spix & Agassiz, 1829; U. paradoxus (Kritsky, Thatcher & Boeger, 1986) in Rhytiodus microlepis Kner, 1858, Schizodon nasutus Kner, 1858, Megaleporinus macrocephalus (Garavello & Britski, 1988), M. elongatus, L. friderici, Leporinus lacustris Amaral Campos, 1945 and *Leporinus obtusidens* (Valenciennes, 1837); U. ramentacuminatus Oliveira, Santos-Neto, Tavares-Dias & Domingues, 2020 in Laemolyta proxima (Garman, 1890); U. sinus Zago, Yamada, Yamada, Franceschini, Bongiovani & Silva, 2020 in Leporinus striatus Kner, 1858, S. nasutus, and Schizodon intermedius Garavello & Britski, 1990; and U. solarivaginatus Zago, Yamada, Yamada, Franceschini, Bongiovani & Silva, 2020 in L. frederici, L. octofasciatus and L. striatus.

As part of the studies undertaken in the Paranapanema River basin in Brazil to describe the freshwater fish helminth parasite fauna, a new species of *Urocleidoides* was found infesting the gills of *S. nasutus*. Herein, we described the new species using morphological and molecular features. New insights regarding the current phylogenetic and taxonomic arrangement of *Urocleidoides* spp. were also provided.

Materials and methods

Host and parasite sampling

Nine specimens of *S. nasutus* were collected in the Pardo River (22° 59'22.07" S; 48°26'26.20" W), Paranapanema River basin, municipality of Botucatu, São Paulo state, Brazil, in June 2021. The fish were captured using casting nets and euthanized with sodium thiopental (Thiopentax*). Some specimens were individually stored

in plastic bags and frozen to later conduct a necropsy at the laboratory, while others were examined in situ to collect fresh monogeneans, which were placed directly in 96% molecular-grade ethanol for molecular analyses. The gills of the fish were removed, placed in Petri dishes, and analysed for parasites under a stereo-microscope. The specimens were detached from the gills, rinsed in 0.6 saline, and mounted on slides with Hoyer's or Gray and Wess's medium (Kritsky *et al.* 1986).

The morphology and measurements of the monogeneans were analysed using a V3 Leica Application Suite (LAS) computerized system for image analysis adapted to a microscope with differential interference contrast. Drawings were made using a drawing tube. The measurements are made following the scheme of Zago *et al.* (2020).

Holotype and paratypes of the new species were deposited in the Helminthological Collection of the Instituto Oswaldo Cruz (Holotype CHIOC 40288a; Paratypes CHIOC 40288b-c), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Other vouchers were deposited in the Helminthological Collection of the Institute of Biosciences (CHIBB 725L and 726L), Botucatu, São Paulo, Brazil. Fishes were collected under the authorization of the Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade (SISBIO #60640–1). All procedures followed the recommendations and approval of the Ethical Commission for Animal Experimentation from the São Paulo State University (Unesp), Institute of Biosciences, Botucatu, São Paulo state, Brazil (CEUA #9415260520). According to Brazilian laws, species registration for scientific research purposes was carried out at SisGen (A30E9D2).

Molecular and phylogenetic analyses

Genomic DNA was isolated from a freshly collected ethanol-fixed individuals using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA), following the manufacturer's protocol. The 28S region of the ribosomal DNA was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using the primers 382F (5'-AGCTGGTGG AGTCAAGCTTC-3') and 1289R (5'-TGCTCACGTTTGAC GATCGA-3') (Yamada et al. 2023), following the specific cycling conditions: initial denaturation of 5 min at 95°C followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 56°C for 30 s, 72°C for 2 min, and a final extension of 10 min at 72°C (Yamada et al. 2023). The COI region of the mitochondrial DNA was amplified using the primers ASmit1 (5'-TTTTTTGGGCATCCTGAGGTTTAT-3') (Bowles et al. 1992) and Schisto3 (5'-TAATGCATMGGAAAAAAA CA-3') (Lockyer et al. 2003), following the specific cycling conditions: initial denaturation of 5 min at 95°C followed by 40 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 50°C for 1 min, 72°C for 1 min, and a final extension of 7 min at 72°C (Lockyer et al. 2003). PCR amplifications were performed on a final volume of 25 µl containing 12.5 µl of 2× MyFiTM Mix (Bioline, Taunton, MA, USA), 3.0 µl of extracted DNA, 7.5 µl of pure water, and 1.0 µl of each PCR primer. PCR products (2.0 μ l) were run on an agarose gel (1%) using GelRed[™] fluorescent nucleic acid dye added to BlueJuiceTM Gel Loading Buffer (6X) to confirm amplicon size and yield. PCR amplicons were purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen), following the manufacturer's instructions. Automated sequencing was performed directly on purified PCR products using a BigDye v.3.1 Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction kit on an ABI3730xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Forward and reverse sequences were assembled and edited in Sequencher v. 5.2.4 (Gene Codes, Ann Arbor, MI, USA).

Two independent datasets of the 28S rDNA and COI mtDNA, including the new sequences and published sequences of closely related genera retrieved from GenBank (Supplementary Table 1), were built using MUSCLE algorithm implemented on Geneious 7.1.3 (Kearse et al. 2012) with default settings. Prior to the analyses, the best-fitting model was estimated with JModelTest software (Posada 2008), which was GTR + G + I for both datasets. Phylogenetic relationships were reconstructed for each alignment under Bayesian inference (BI) and maximum likelihood (ML). The BI analyses were performed using MrBayes 3.2 (Ronquist et al. 2012) at the online platform CIPRES (Miller et al. 2010). The Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) was run with 10⁶ generations, saving one tree every 100 generations, with a burn-in set to the first 25% of the trees. The ML analyses were run in RAxML (Guindon and Gascuel 2003) at the online platform CIPRES (Miller et al. 2010) with 1000 bootstrap replicates. The BI and ML trees were visualised in FigTree v. 1.3.1 software (Rambaut 2009) and edited in Corel-DRAW X6.

Results

Morphological analyses

Thirty-one specimens of a new species of *Urocleidoides* were collected in three of the nine specimens of *S. nasutus*, with 7, 11, and 13 monogeneans in each infested host.

Dactylogyridae Bychowsky, 1933 Urocleidoides Mizelle & Price, 1964 Urocleidoides curvocuspidis n. sp. Description (Figures 1 and 2) (urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:94A9C4F8-DA08-4FCD-888F-9A3D3827C95B)

[Based on 10 specimens] Body foliform, elongate, robust, total length including haptor 392 (386–399; n = 7); greatest width 155 (133–168; n = 7) near mid-length. Cephalic margin broad; cephalic lobes developed; four bilateral pairs of head organs; indistinct cephalic glands. Eyespots absent; some accessory granules sparse in cephalic region and anterior trunk. Pharynx spherical, 25 (24 and 26; n = 2) in diameter;



Figure 1. Urocleidoides curvocuspidis n. sp. from gills of the Schizodon nasutus Kner, 1858 from Pardo River, municipality of Botucatu, São Paulo state, Brazil. (A) composite drawing of whole mount (ventral); (B) copulatory complex; (C) vagina; (D) vaginal sclerite; (E) ventral anchor; (F) ventral bar; (G) dorsal anchor; (H) dorsal bar; (I) hook (pair 5); (J) hook (pair 1); (K) hook (pair 6); (L) hook (pairs 2, 3, 4, 7). Scale bars in micrometres.



Figure 2. Urocleidoides curvocuspidis n. sp. from gills of the Schizodon nasutus Kner, 1858 from Pardo River, municipality of Botucatu, São Paulo state, Brazil. Photomicrographs of the sclerotized pieces: (A) copulatory complex; (B) vagina; (C) vaginal sclerite; (D) haptor, highlighting hook pairs (1 to 7), ventral anchor (VA), ventral bar (VB), dorsal anchor (DA), and dorsal bar (DB). Scale bars in micrometres.

short esophagus. Peduncle short; haptor robust, slightly subhexagonal 57 (52 and 63; n = 2) long, 78 (71 and 151; n = 2) wide. Ventral anchor (Figure 2D) 35 (32–37; n = 10) long, 18 (15–21; n = 10) wide, with a robust base, well-distinguishable deep and superficial roots, elongated shaft and point. Dorsal anchor (Figure 2D) 26 (25-28; n = 10) long, 12 (9-14; n = 10) wide, with well-developed deep and superficial roots, elongated shaft and point. Ventral bar (Figure 2D) 41 (37–49; n = 10) long, 8 (5–10; n = 10) total wide, 4 (3–6; n = 10) median wide; slightly V-shaped. Dorsal bar (Figure 2D) 32 (29–37; n = 6) long, 8 (7–9; n = 6) wide, 4 (4–5; n = 6) median wide; curved, U-shaped with rounded terminations. Hooks (Figure 2D) different in size and morphology (proportion between the shank subunits and extension of filamentous hook loop), with a slightly recurved shaft and delicate point, protruding thumb, shank comprising two subunits, and with dilation proximally. Hook pairs 1 and 5 reduced in size. Hook pair 5, 13 (13-14; n = 10) long, first subunit 1/4 shank length, and with filamentous hook (FH) loop reaching thumb level. Hook pair 1, 18 (17–18; n= 10) long, first subunit 1/2 shank length, and FH loop extending to 1/2 first subunit of shank. Hook pair 6, 21 (21–21; n = 10) long, first subunit 1/5 shank length, and FH loop extending to 1/2 first subunit of shank. Hook pairs 2, 3, 4, and 7 similar in size, 29 (25–37; n = 10) long, first subunit 1/6 shank length, and FH loop extending to 1/2 first subunit of shank. Vitellaria scattered throughout trunk, absent in reproductive organ regions. Eggs not observed. Male copulatory organ (Figure 2A) as a coiled tube with approximately 21/2 counterclockwise rings, with its diameter reducing along its extension, ending with acute point, 8 (7–9; n = 6) first ring diameter and enlarged base; proximal ring diameter 8 (7–10; n = 10). Accessory piece 9 (7–12; n = 10) long, connected with the base of the MCO by a sinuous ligament, that ends a little distance from base of enlarged and elongated proximal part of accessory piece, that ends pointed, curving backwards. Gonads intercaecal, overlapping. Testis partially dorsal to germarium, not completely visualised. Seminal vesicle as a distal enlargement of the vas deferens; one elongated prostatic reservoir. Germarium 47 (29 and 65; n = 2) long,

15 (11 and 19; n = 2) wide. Vaginal aperture dextral (Figure 2B); vagina slightly sclerotized, with rounded opening followed by a short and slightly curved tube, opening close to the body margin; seminal receptacle, oviduct, ootype and uterus not observed. Vaginal sclerite sinistral (Figure 2C), robust, 24 (21–28; n = 10) long, composed of a grooved rod with a distal hook.

Taxonomic summary

Type-host: Schizodon nasutus Kner, 1958 (Characiformes: Anostomidae).

Type-locality: Pardo River (22°59'22.07" S; 48°26'26.20" W), Paranapanema River basin, municipality of Botucatu, São Paulo State, Brazil.

Material deposited: Holotype CHIOC 40288a, paratypes CHIOC 40288b-c.

Site of infestation: Gill filaments.

Infestation level: three of the nine specimens of *S. nasutus* were infested, with 7, 11, and 13 monogeneans per host, respectively.

Etymology: The specific epithet refers to the curved shape of the distal end of the accessory piece of the male copulatory complex (from the Latin *curvo* = arched, curved or bent plus *cuspidis* = tip or point).

Remarks

Urocleidoides curvocuspidis n. sp. can be distinguished from most of its congeners mainly by the combination of the following features: the morphology of its midventral curved and pointed accessory piece, the morphology of the dextral vagina, and the morphology and size of hooks.

The new species morphologically resembles other monogenean species exhibiting a dextral vagina and sinistral vaginal sclerite.

Among them, U. paradoxus is morphologically similar and genetically close-related. However, Urocleidoides curvocuspidis n. sp. is easily distinguished from *U. paradoxus* by the shape of the accessory piece and the number of MCO rings (grooved, with two proximal arms, and MCO with 2 rings in U. paradoxus, whereas Urocleidoides curvocuspidis n. sp. exhibit an accessory piece curved and pointed, and MCO with 21/2 counterclockwise rings). The other species with sinistral vaginal sclerite located on the opposite side of the vaginal opening are U. digitabulum, U. ramentacuminatus, U. sapucaiensis, U. solarivaginatus, and U. sinus. The new species differs from U. digitabulum mainly by the shape of the accessory piece (glove finger in U. digitabulum vs. curved and pointed in Urocleidoides curvocuspidis n. sp.) and vaginal aperture (distal ovate bulb guarding the vaginal aperture in U. digitabulum vs. rounded in the new species). In relation to U. ramentacuminatus, the new species differs in the shape of MCO (one counterclockwise ring in U. ramentacuminatus vs. 2¹/₂ counterclockwise rings in Urocleidoides curvocuspidis n. sp.) besides the vaginal sclerite (robust in U. ramentacuminatus vs. thin in Urocleidoides curvocuspidis n. sp.). The new species can be distinguished from U. sapucaiensis mainly by the morphology of the accessory piece (V-shaped in U. sapucaiensis and curved vs. pointed in Urocleidoides curvocuspidis n. sp.) and vagina (a delicate tube with dilatation in the distal portion in *U. sapucaiensis* vs. rounded in *Urocleidoides curvocuspidis* n. sp.). *Urocleidoides sinus* differs from *Urocleidoides curvocuspidis* n. sp. in the morphology of the accessory piece (sigmoid shaped in *U. sinus* and curved vs. pointed in the new species) and vagina (sac-shaped vagina in *U. sinus* vs. rounded in the new species). Finally, the new species can be easily distinguished from *U. solarivaginatus* by the morphology of the vagina (a distal bulb with projections, resembling a spherical shape with the flames of the sun in *U. solarivaginatus* and rounded in *Urocleidoides curvocuspidis* n. sp.) and accessory piece (sinuous shape in *U. solarivaginatus* and curved and pointed in *Urocleidoides curvocuspidis* n. sp.).

Molecular analyses

A partial sequence of the 28S rDNA gene was obtained for one specimen of *Urocleidoides curvocuspidis* n. sp. (1,439 bp long; Genbank accession number OR583687). The final alignment included 74 sequences of members of Dactylogyridae and Diplectanidae; after trimming to the shortest sequence, the alignment was 765 bp long. The ML and BI analyses recovered identical tree topologies with most nodes highly supported (Figure 3). The 28S



Figure 3. Bayesian topology based on partial 28S rDNA sequences of Dactylogyridae Bychowsky, 1933 and Diplectanidae Monticelli, 1903 species. GenBank accession numbers are after species names. The newly sequenced species are in bold. The support values are included above the nodes as follows: posterior probabilities for BI analysis, followed by bootstrap for the ML analysis. Only nodes with posterior probabilities > 0.95 and bootstrap scores > 70 are considered well supported. Dashes before nodes represent clades that were not recovered by both analyses. Branch length scale bar indicates the number of substitutions per site.

rDNA sequences of Urocleidoides included in this study were not yielded as a monophyletic assemblage since they were placed in three separate clades. The sequences of U. brasiliensis, U. naris, and U. macrosoma, all parasites of Erythrinidae, were grouped together (pp = 1; bootstrap = 100) and positioned as sister taxa to the clade formed by the sequences of Rhinoxenus spp., Diaphorocleidus spp., and Cacatuocotyle papilionis Zago, Franceschini, Müller & da Silva, 2018, albeit with no nodal support (pp = 0.69; bootstrap = 51). The Urocleidoides sequences obtained from Gymnotiformes (i.e., U. gymnotus, U. carapus, U. nataliapasternakae, and U. uncinus) were grouped together in a strongly supported clade (pp = 1; bootstrap = 99), which was placed as sister taxa to the clade formed by sequences of U. brasiliensis, U. naris and U. macrosoma + Rhinoxenus spp., Diaphorocleidus spp., and C. papilionis. Although highly supported only by the BI analysis (pp = 1; bootstrap = 69), the remaining sequences of Urocleidoides parasitising Characiformes (families Erythrinidae, Anostomidae and Paradontidae), were resolved in a monophyletic clade (i.e., Urocleidoides curvocuspidis n. sp., U. paradoxus, U. digitabulum, U. sinus, U. paradoni, U. tenuis, U. indianensis, U. vanini, and U. atilaiamarinoi). The new species was recovered as the sister species of U. paradoxus.

A partial sequence of the COI mtDNA gene was obtained for Urocleidoides curvocuspidis n. sp. (562 bp long; Genbank accession number OR582424). The final alignment was 338 bp long and comprised 32 sequences of members of Dactylogyridae and Acanthocotylidae. The ML and BI analyses recovered slightly different tree topologies, and most nodes were poorly supported (Figure 4). As with the results obtained for the 28S rDNA gene, the COI mtDNA sequences of Urocleidoides included in this study were resolved as non-monophyletic; the analyses grouped the sequences of the new species, U. malabaricusi, U. vanini, U. sinus, U. tenuis, U. digitabulum, U. naris, and U. macrosoma, all parasites of Characiformes (Erythrinidae, Anostomidae and Parodontidae), contrasting with the 28S rDNA phylogenetic analyses (see Figure 3) where these last two species, U. naris and U. macrosoma, were placed in a separate clade from the other Urocleidoides species. A clade formed by all Urocleidoides spp. parasites of Gymnotiformes was, however, resolved as monophyletic (i.e., U. gymnotus, U. carapus, U. nataliapasternakae, U. uncinus and U. cultellus Mendoza-Franco & Reina, 2008) with high to moderate support values (pp = 1; bootstrap = 79). Urocleidoides strombicirrus appeared nested with the sequences of Diaphorocleidus magnus and D. neotropicalis, and sister to the sequences of Jainus spp.



Figure 4. Bayesian topology based on partial COI mtDNA sequences of Dactylogyridae Bychowsky, 1933 and Acanthocotylidae Monticelli, 1903 species. GenBank accession numbers are after species names. The newly sequenced species are in bold. The support values are included above the nodes as follows: posterior probabilities for BI analysis, followed by bootstraps for the ML analysis. Only nodes with posterior probabilities > 0.95 and bootstrap scores > 70 are considered well supported. Dashes before nodes represent clades that were not recovered by both analyses. Branch length scale bar indicates the number of substitutions per site.

Finally, the sequences of *U. cuiabai* were placed as the early divergent clade of all the other sequences included in the analysis.

Discussion

Our findings based on morphological and molecular analyses provide validation for the recognition of Urocleidoides curvocuspidis n. sp. as a new species parasitising S. nasutus in the Paranapanema River basin. Urocleidoides curvocuspidis n. sp. is morphologically distinguished from its congeners mainly by the combination of features such as the midventral pointed and curved accessory piece, the dextral vaginal aperture, the sinistral vaginal sclerite located on the opposite side of the vaginal opening, a wide U-shaped ventral bar with enlarged terminations, and hooks of four different sizes and shape. The integrative approach employed in this study revealed that the new species bears a closer resemblance to U. paradoxus, which is distinguished by the shape of the accessory piece and the sclerotized structures of the haptor (see 'Remarks' section for details); in addition, molecular data resolved these as closely related species, particularly through the 28S rDNA analyses since no COI sequences are available for U. paradoxus.

Based on our phylogenetic analyses of the 28S rRNA and the COI mtDNA genes, *Urocleidoides*, as it is presently taxonomically arranged, should not be considered as a natural group, since the sequences used herein were resolved as non-monophyletic, in agreement with previous studies (Oliveira *et al.* 2021; Santos Neto and Domingues 2023). Moreover, in the two studies referred above, the phylogenetic reconstructions lacked sequences of species of *Diaphorocleidus* and *Rhinoxenus*, which were probably not available at the time. The inclusion of these taxa in our phylogenetic reconstructions revealed that some species of *Urocleidoides* spp. infecting Gymnotidae and Erythrinidae were nested with *Diaphorocleidus* spp., *Rhinoxenus* spp., and *C. papilionis*.

In our 28S rRNA and COI mtDNA phylogenetic analyses, the sequences of Urocleidoides spp. infecting Gymnotiformes were recovered as monophyletic assemblages with high nodal support and were nested with Diaphorocleidus spp., Rhinoxenus spp., and C. papilionis. Santos-Neto and Domingues (2023) also found the same results in their 28S rDNA and COI mtDNA analyses. In the study of Mendoza-Franco and Reina (2008), authors detected that Urocleidoides spp. described from Gymnotiformes shared some common morphological characteristics, such as the absence of eyes, dorsal level ornamentations, and midventral vaginal aperture (see Mendoza-Franco and Reina (2008) for a complete review), implying that such shared traits may be suggested as a potential evolutionary relationship among Urocleidoides spp. parasitising Gymnotiformes. Therefore, based on our phylogenetic analyses and the morphological observations of Mendoza-Franco and Reina (2008), we hypothesise that the Urocleidoides spp. From Gymnotiformes should be probably reallocated to a new or different genus. Nevertheless, an extensive morphological revision along with more sequence data of Urocleidoides spp. From Gymnotiformes is required to thoroughly test this hypothesis, which is beyond the scope of the present study.

Our 28S rDNA phylogenetic analysis grouped the sequences of *U. brasiliensis*, *U. naris*, and *U. macrosoma*, which parasitise erythrinids, in a monophyletic clade with strong nodal support; this result agrees with the findings of Santos Neto and Domingues (2023). This clade appeared more closely related to *Rhinoxenus*, *Diaphorocleidus*, and *Cacatuocotyle* than to other *Urocleidoides* spp., albeit with low nodal support in both BI and ML analyses. Moreover, these species were not grouped with the other

Urocleidoides spp. parasitising erythrinids (i.e., U. vanini and U. atilaiamarinoi). A different result was obtained in the COI mtDNA analyses, in which the sequences of U. naris and U. macrosoma were allocated with all other sequences of Urocleidoides parasites of Characiformes (except for U. strombicirrus and U. cuiabai) and closely related to the other species from erythrinids (i.e., U. vanini and U. malabaricusi). Nevertheless, most clades in the COI mtDNA analyses were unsupported. These conflicting results and the separation of clades from species that occur in erythrinids, even unsupported, give rise to uncertainties regarding their coevolutionary processes and phylogenetic position and assignation. Santos-Neto and Domingues (2023) obtained similar findings regarding the phylogenetic position of U. brasiliensis, U. naris, and U. macrosoma and suggested that the separation of Urocleidoides spp. occurring in erythrinids into separate clades may be associated with ecological host-shifting events. However, it would be ideal to reassess the phylogenetic reconstructions of Urocleidoides, adding more sequences of congeneric species sampled from erythrinid fishes and close-related genera, especially for the COI mtDNA gene, along with morphological data to recover more accurate results regarding these species and their position within Urocleidoides.

Most of the species of Urocleidoides spp. parasitising Characiformes used in this study, including the new species, were recovered in monophyletic clades in both the 28S rDNA and COI mtDNA analyses. These clades were subdivided into groups apparently related to host families (i.e., Anostomidae, Erythrinidae, and Parodontidae) (except for U. digitabulum in the COI mtDNA analyses, however unsupported). This result may probably be associated with host specificity. Molecular data for U. reticulatus, the type-species of the genus, is currently unavailable. Certainly, the addition of this particular sequence will shed light on the phylogenetic arrangement and species boundaries within Urocleidoides. It would also be interesting to add molecular data for the 28S rDNA gene for U. strombicirrus, which was recovered nested with Diaphorocleidus spp., and for U. cuiabai, which was resolved as the early divergent clade of all the other sequences used (all Urocleidoides spp., Diaphorocleidus sp., Jainus spp., and R. paranaensis). New morphological and molecular data along with host ecological information should be gathered to confirm the aforementioned hypotheses.

Our study contributes to our understanding of the biodiversity of freshwater fish monogeneans in South America, particularly in the Paranapanema River basin, and sheds light on the importance of the description of the parasite biodiversity of these hosts using morphology and molecular data to enhance comprehension of host–parasite association and phylogenetic relationships.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at http://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X23000962.

Data availability statement. All data will be available for consultation. Whenever necessary, they should be requested from the corresponding author. The specimens used for this work will be available in specialized scientific collections.

Authors' contribution. All authors contributed to the study's conception and design. Material preparation, data collection, and analyses were performed by MBE, MMOP, and RJS. The first version of the manuscript was written by MBE, and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Financial support. We thank the agencies for the financial support to this study: FAPESP – São Paulo Research Foundation [grant number – 2020/05412-9; MBE: 2021/12779-9] and CNPq – National Council for Scientific and Technological Development [grant number – MMOP: 161838/2021-9; RJS: 311635/2021-

0]; MBE was supported by the Pro-Rectory of Research (PROPG/PROPe – UNESP 04/2022). RJS and GPPL were also supported by CAPES/PRINT (#88887.839573/2023-00 and #88887.839159/2023-00, respectively)

Competing interest. On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

Ethical standard. The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional guides on the care and use of laboratory animals.

References

- Acosta AA, Franceschini L, Zago AC, Scholz T, and Silva R J (2017) Six new species of *Heteropriapulus* (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) from South American fishes with an amended diagnosis to the genus. *Zootaxa* 4290, 459–482.
- Acosta AA, Scholz T, Blasco-Costa I, Alves PV, and Silva RJ (2018) A new genus and two new species of dactylogyrid monogeneans from gills of Neotropical catfishes (Siluriformes: Doradidae and Loricariidae). Parasitology International 67, 4–12.
- Acosta AA, Mendoza-Palmero CA, Silva RJ, and Scholz T (2019) A new genus and four new species of dactylogyrids (Monogenea), gill parasites of pimelodid catfishes (Siluriformes: Pimelodidae) in South America and the reassignment of *Urocleidoides megorchis* Mizelle et Kritsky, 1969. *Folia Parasitologica* **66**, 1–12.
- Bowles J, Blair D, and McManus DP (1992) Genetic variants within the genus Echinococcus identified by mitochondrial DNA sequencing. Molecular Biology and Biochemistry 54, 165–173.
- Cohen SC, Justo MC, and Kohn A (2013) South American Monogenoidea parasites of fishes, amphibians and reptiles. Rio de Janeiro, Oficina de livros.
- Eiras JC, Takemoto RM, Pavanelli GC, and Adriano EA (2011) About the biodiversity of parasites of freshwater fish from Brazil. *Bulletin of the European Association of Fish Pathologists* **31**, 161–168.
- Ferreira KDC, Rodrigues ARO, Cunha JM, and Domingues MV (2018) Dactylogyrids (Platyhelminthes, Monogenoidea) from the gills of *Hoplias* malabaricus (Characiformes: Erythrinidae) from coastal rivers of the Oriental Amazon Basin: species of Urocleidoides and Constrictoanchoratus n. gen. Journal of Helminthology 9, 353–368.
- Franceschini L, Zago AC, Müller MI, Francisco CJ, Takemoto RM, and Silva RJ (2017) Morphology and molecular characterization of *Demidospermus* spirophallus n. sp., D. prolixus n. sp. (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) and a redescription of D. anus in siluriform catfish from Brazil. Journal of Helminthology 92, 228–243.
- Freitas AJB, Bezerra CAM, Meneses YC, Justo MCN, Viana DC, and Cohen SC (2021) Three new species of *Urocleidoides* (Monogenoidea: Dactylogyridae) parasitizing characiforms (Actinopterygii: Characiformes) in Tocantins River, states of Tocantins and Maranhão, and new record for *U. triangulus* in Guandu River, state of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Zoologia* **38**, 1–11.
- Froese R and Pauly D (2023) FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication. www.fishbase.org (accessed 11 August 2023).
- Guidelli G, Tavechio WLG, Takemoto RM, and Pavanelli GC (2011) Relative condition factor and parasitism in anostomid fishes from the floodplain of the Upper Paraná River, Brazil. *Veterinary Parasitology* 177, 145–151.
- **Guindon S and Gascuel O** (2003) A simple, fast and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by Maximum Likelihood. *Systematic Biology* **52**, 670–704.
- Kritsky DC, Thatcher VE, and Boeger WA (1986) Neotropical Monogenea. 8. Revision of Urocleidoides (Dactylogyridae, Ancyrocephalinae). Proceeding of the Helminthological Society of Washington 53, 1–37.
- Lockyer AE, Olson PD, and Littlewood DTJ (2003) Utility of complete large and small subunit rRNA genes in resolving the phylogeny of the Neodermata

(Platyhelminthes): implications and a review of the cercomer theory. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **78**, 155–171.

- Luque JL, Pereira FB, Alves PV, Oliva ME, and Timi JT (2017) Helminth parasites of South American fishes: current status and characterization as a model for studies of biodiversity. *Journal of Helminthology* 91, 150–164.
- Mizelle JD and Price CE (1964) Studies on monogenetic trematodes. XXVII. Dactylogyrid species with the proposal of *Urocleidoides* gen. n. *Journal of Parasitology* **50**, 579–584.
- Mizelle JD, Kritsky DC, and Crane JW (1968) Studies on monogenetic trematodes. XXXVIII. Ancyrocephalinae from South America with the proposal of Jainus gen. n. The American Midland Naturalist 80, 186–198.
- Moreira J, Scholz T, and Luque JL (2015) First data on the parasites of *Hoplias aimara* (Characiformes): Description of two new species of gill monogeneans (Dactylogyridae). Acta Parasitologica 60, 254–260.
- Nelson JS, Grande TC, and Wilson MVH (2016) Fishes of the world. 5th edn. New Jersey, John Wiley & Sons.
- Oliveira GS, Silva RJ, Vieira FEG, and Acosta AA (2021) Urocleidoides spp. (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) from the gills of *Parodon nasus* (Characiformes: Parodontidae) from a Brazilian stream with descriptions of two new species. *Zootaxa* **5081**, 535–550.
- Oliveira MSB, Santos-Neto JF, Tavares-Dias M, and Domingues MV (2020) New species of *Urocleidoides* (Monogenoidea: Dactylogyridae) from the gills of two species of Anostomidae from the Brazilian Amazon. *Brazilian Journal* of Veterinary Parasitology **29**, 3.
- Pavanelli GC, Takemoto RM, and Eiras JDC (2013) Parasitologia de peixes de água doce do Brasil. Eduem. pp. 249–272.
- Rossin MA and Timi JT (2016) Dactylogyrid monogeneans parasitising *Cyphocharax voga* (Hensel) (Teleostei: Curimatidae) from the Pampas region, Argentina: new and previously described species. *Systematic Parasitology* 93, 701–714.
- Santos Neto JF and Domingues MV (2023) Integrative taxonomy of Urocleidoides spp. (Monogenoidea: Dactylogyridae) parasites of characiform and gymnotiform fishes from the coastal drainages of the Eastern Amazon, Brazil. Journal of Helminthology 97, e64.
- **WoRMS** Editorial Board, World Register of Marine Species (2023). www.marinespecies.org (accessed 11 August 2023).
- Yamada POF, Müller MI, Zago AC, Yamada FH, Ebert MB, Franceschini L, and Silva RJ (2023) Three new species of *Jainus* (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) parasitizing gills of Brazilian freshwater fishes supported by morphological and molecular data. *Diversity* 15, 667.
- Yamada FH, Bongiovani MF, Yamada POF, and Silva RJ (2017) Parasite infracommunities of *Leporinus friderici*: a comparison of three tributaries of the Jurumirim Reservoir in southeastern Brazil. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências* 89, 953–963.
- Zago AC, Franceschini L, Abdallah VD, Müller MI, Azevedo RK, and Silva RJ (2021) Morphological and molecular data of new species of *Characithecium* and *Diaphorocleidus* (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) from Neotropical characid fishes. *Parasitology International* 84, 102406.
- Zago AC, Yamada FH, Yamada POF, Franceschini L, Bongiovani MF, and Silva RJ (2020) Seven new species of *Urocleidoides* (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) from Brazilian fishes supported by morphological and molecular data. *Parasitology Research* 119, 3255–3283.
- Zago AC, Franceschini L, Müller MI, and Silva RJ (2018) A new species of *Cacatuocotyle* (Monogenea, Dactylogyridae) parasitizing *Astyanax* spp. (Characiformes, Characidae) from Brazil, including molecular data and a key to species identification. *Acta Parasitologica* **63**, 261–269.
- Zago AC, Yamada FH, Franceschini L, Bongiovani MF, Yamada POF, and Silva RJ (2017) A new species of *Tereancistrum* (Monogenea, Dactylogyridae) from the gills of three *Leporinus* species (Characiformes, Anostomidae) and a revised description of *Tereancistrum parvus*. Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências 89, 1121–1131.