

## UNIQUELY LINE COLORABLE GRAPHS

BY

D. L. GREENWELL<sup>(1)</sup> AND H. V. KRONK<sup>(2)</sup>

1. **Introduction.** A line-coloring of a graph  $G$  is an assignment of colors to the lines of  $G$  so that adjacent lines are colored differently; an  $n$ -line coloring uses  $n$  colors. The *line-chromatic number*  $\chi'(G)$  is the smallest  $n$  for which  $G$  admits an  $n$ -line coloring. Vizing [6] has shown that  $\chi'(G)$  is either  $\Delta(G)$  or  $1+\Delta(G)$ , where  $\Delta(G)$  denotes the maximum degree of the points of  $G$ . Each  $\chi'(G)$ -line coloring of  $G$  partitions the line set of  $G$  into  $\chi'(G)$  subsets, called *line-color classes*, two lines belonging to the same subset if and only if they are colored the same. If  $\chi'(G)=n$  and every two  $n$ -line colorings induce the same partition, then  $G$  is said to be *uniquely  $n$ -line colorable*. For example, the complete bipartite graph  $K_{1,n}$  is uniquely  $n$ -line colorable. The analogous concept for point coloring was introduced by Cartwright and Harary [2]. Uniquely point colorable graphs were also investigated in [3], [4]. The main object of this note is to prove that every uniquely  $n$ -line colorable graph  $G$  has  $\Delta(G)=n$  unless  $G$  is  $K_3$ , the complete graph on three points.

2. **Uniquely  $n$ -line colorable graphs.** In this section we develop some of the basic properties of uniquely  $n$ -line colorable graphs.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $G$  is uniquely  $n$ -line colorable and  $C$  is some line-color class, then  $G-C$  is uniquely  $(n-1)$ -line colorable.*

**Proof.** The graph  $G-C$  must have only one  $(n-1)$ -line coloring, since every  $(n-1)$ -line coloring of  $G-C$  can be extended to an  $n$ -line coloring of  $G$  by coloring the lines in  $C$  with the  $n$ th color.

**COROLLARY 1.1.** *If  $G$  is uniquely  $n$ -line colorable and  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n$  are its line-color classes, then the subgraph induced by  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k C_i, k \leq n$ , is uniquely  $k$ -line colorable.*

**Proof.** By Theorem 1,  $G-C_n$  is uniquely  $(n-1)$ -line colorable, and furthermore it is clear that  $C_{n-1}$  is a line-color class of  $G-C_n$ . Applying Theorem 1 again we have  $[G-C_n]-C_{n-1}$  is uniquely  $(n-2)$ -line colorable. In general, by applying Theorem 1  $(n-k)$ -times we see that  $G-\bigcup_{i=k+1}^n C_i$  is uniquely  $k$ -line colorable.

The next corollary is the analogue to Theorem 4 of [2].

---

Received by the editors September 17, 1971 and, in revised form, March 15, 1972.

<sup>(1)</sup> Research supported by NSF Research Participation Program for College Teachers.

<sup>(2)</sup> Research supported by SUNY Faculty Research Fellowship.

**COROLLARY 1.2.** *If  $G$  is uniquely  $n$ -line colorable, then the subgraph induced by the union of any two line-color classes is connected.*

**Proof.** The induced subgraph  $S$  formed by the union of any two line-color classes is uniquely 2-line colorable by Corollary 1.1. Therefore  $S$  must be connected. In fact, since no point of  $S$  can have degree larger than two,  $S$  must either be a path or a cycle of even length.

In [3], it was shown that every uniquely  $n$ -point colorable graph is  $(n-1)$ -connected. In order to state a corresponding result for uniquely  $n$ -line colorable graphs, we need to place a restriction on the minimum degree  $\delta(G)$  of the points of the graph.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $\delta(G) \geq n-1$  and  $G$  is uniquely  $n$ -line colorable, then  $G$  is  $(n-1)$ -line connected.*

**Proof.** We observe first that  $G$  is uniquely  $n$ -line colorable if and only if its line graph  $L(G)$  is uniquely  $n$ -point colorable. If the removal of fewer than  $n-1$  lines disconnects  $G$  and  $\delta(G) \geq n-1$ , then the components of this disconnected graph each contain at least one line. Therefore the removal of the corresponding points in  $L(G)$  must disconnect  $L(G)$ . This, however, contradicts the fact that  $L(G)$  is  $(n-1)$ -connected.

**COROLLARY 2.1.** *If  $G$  is uniquely  $n$ -line colorable,  $\delta(G) \geq n-1$ , and  $C$  is a line-color class, then  $G-C$  is  $(n-2)$ -line connected.*

**Proof.** Since  $\delta(G) \geq n-1$  and  $C$  is a line-color class  $\delta(G-C) \geq n-2$ . The result now follows directly from Theorems 1 and 2.

One of the chief results of [4] (see also [5]) is that for all  $n \geq 3$  there exists a uniquely  $n$ -point colorable graph which contains no subgraph isomorphic to  $K_n$ . This result suggests the conjecture that for all  $n \geq 3$ , there exists a uniquely  $n$ -line colorable graph  $G$  with  $\Delta(G) = n-1$ . However, the final and main theorem of this section shows that only  $K_3$  has this property.

**THEOREM 3.** *Every uniquely  $n$ -line colorable graph  $G \neq K_3$  has  $\Delta(G) = n$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $G$  is uniquely  $n$ -line colorable. By Vizing's theorem, we know that  $n = \Delta(G)$  or  $n = \Delta(G) + 1$ . Assume  $n = \Delta(G) + 1$ . Let  $v$  be a point of  $G$  having degree  $n-1$ . Consider an  $n$ -line coloring of  $G$  with the colors  $1, 2, \dots, n$ . We can assume that the color  $n$  is not used in coloring the lines incident to  $v$  and all other colors are. Let  $C_i$  denote the lines of  $G$  colored  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . As noted in the proof of Corollary 1.2,  $C_n$  and  $C_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , together induce either a path or a cycle of even length. Denote this graph by  $S_i$ . Since  $v$  is a point of  $S_i$  and no line incident to  $v$  is colored  $n$ ,  $S_i$  must be a path.

We now show that each  $S_i$  contains exactly  $n$  points. Each line incident to  $v$  has to be adjacent to some line colored  $n$ . There are  $n-1$  such lines, and no two of them can be incident to the same point. Thus there are  $(n-1)$ -distinct points each incident to some line colored  $n$ . All of these points and  $v$  are in  $S_i$ . Suppose some  $S_i$ , say  $S_1$ , has  $k > n$  points. Denote the points of this path by  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$ . Since  $v$  is always an endpoint of each  $S_i$ , we may assume that  $v = v_1$  and at least one of the points  $v_2, v_3, \dots, v_k$  is not an endpoint of any  $S_i$ . Call this point  $w$ . Since  $w$  is incident to a line colored  $n$  and is not an endpoint of any  $S_i$ , the degree of  $w$  in each  $S_i$  is two. But this means that  $w$  is incident to some line colored  $i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . This is impossible, however, since  $\Delta(G) = n-1$ . Hence  $|V(S_i)| = n$ . Furthermore,  $n$  must be odd; otherwise, there would only be  $n-2$  points incident to lines colored  $n$  and we have just shown that we need at least  $n-1$  such points.

Now since  $n$  is odd we have  $V(S_i) = \{v\} \cup \{u : u \text{ is incident to a line colored } n\}$  for all  $i$ . That is,  $V(S_i) = V(S_j)$  for any  $i, j = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Since  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} S_i$ , we must have  $|V(G)| = n$ . Each of the  $n$  points of  $G$  must be endpoints of some  $S_i$ . Otherwise we could show, as we did with  $w$  in the preceding paragraph, that its degree was too large. Since  $v$  is always an endpoint and there are exactly  $n-1$  paths  $S_i$  being considered, each point other than  $v$  is an endpoint in exactly one of the  $S_i$ . So each point other than  $v$  has degree two in all but one of the  $S_i$ . This forces  $G$  to be  $(n-1)$ -regular; that is,  $G$  must be  $K_n$ .

It remains to show that  $G$  has to be  $K_3$ . Suppose  $G = K_n$  for  $n$  odd,  $n \geq 5$ . If the points of  $G$  are labeled  $1, \dots, n$  then we can obtain two distinct line-colorings as follows: For one line-coloring take as line-color classes  $C_p = \{(p-q, p+q) : q = 1, \dots, (n-1)/2\}$  for  $p = 1, \dots, n$ , where each of the numbers  $p-q$  and  $p+q$  is expressed as one of the numbers  $1, 2, \dots, n$  modulo  $n$ . Another distinct line-coloring can be obtained by relabeling the points labeled  $1, 2, 3$  by  $3', 1', 2'$  respectively and using the same scheme. In this second coloring the line  $(1', 3')$  is colored 2 and is the same line as  $(2, 1)$  in the original labeling. But  $(2, 1)$  was not colored 2 in the first coloring since  $(1, 3)$  was colored 2. Furthermore  $(n, 4)$  is colored 2 in both colorings. Hence we have at least two distinct line-colorings of  $K_n$  for odd  $n \neq 3$ . Hence the only possible graph is  $K_3$  which is uniquely 3-line colorable. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

**COROLLARY 3.1.** *Every uniquely  $n$ -line colorable regular graph is Hamiltonian.*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a uniquely  $n$ -line colorable regular graph. If  $G = K_3$ , then it is Hamiltonian. If  $G \neq K_3$ , then, by Theorem 3,  $G$  is  $n$ -regular. Therefore each point of  $G$  is incident to  $n$  lines all of which have to be colored differently. Hence the union of two line-color classes is a connected spanning 2-regular subgraph; i.e., a Hamiltonian cycle.

**3. Uniquely 3-line colorable cubic graphs.** We now consider briefly the special case of cubic graphs. It follows from Theorem 3 that there does not exist a uniquely

4-line colorable cubic graph. An infinite family of uniquely 3-line colorable cubic graphs can be constructed by repeatedly applying the next theorem.

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $G$  is a uniquely 3-line colorable cubic graph and  $H$  is a cubic graph obtained from  $G$  by replacing a point of  $G$  with a triangle, then  $H$  is uniquely 3-line colorable.*

**Proof.** This result follows from the observation that each 3-line coloring of  $H$  induces a 3-line coloring of  $G$ .

As an example, we note that  $K_4$  is uniquely 3-line colorable. Hence, the 3-prism obtained from  $K_4$  by replacing one of its points with a triangle is uniquely 3-line colorable. It is also easy to see that if  $G \neq K_4$  is a uniquely 3-line colorable cubic graph and  $H$  is a graph obtained from  $G$  by identifying three points of  $G$  which induce a triangle, then  $H$  is uniquely 3-line colorable.

Each uniquely 3-line colorable cubic graph known to the authors is planar and contains a triangle. This leads us to make the following conjecture, which is related to a conjecture of Kotzig (see [1, Problem 1]).

**CONJECTURE 1.** If  $G$  is a uniquely 3-line colorable cubic graph, then  $G$  is planar and contains a triangle.

In connection with Conjecture 1, it is not hard to show that if there exists a nonplanar uniquely 3-line colorable cubic graph, then there exists a nonplanar uniquely 3-line colorable cubic graph containing no triangle.

Our final theorem shows that uniquely 3-line colorable cubic graphs are Hamiltonian in a very special way.

**THEOREM 5.** *Every uniquely 3-line colorable cubic graph contains exactly three Hamiltonian cycles.*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a uniquely 3-line colorable graph. As we observed in the proof of Corollary 3.1, the union of any two line-color classes in a 3-line coloring of  $G$  induces a Hamiltonian cycle. Hence  $G$  contains at least three Hamiltonian cycles. If there were a fourth Hamiltonian cycle in  $G$ , then another line-coloring of  $G$  could be produced by coloring the lines of the cycle with two colors and the remaining lines with the third color.

Since Kotzig (see [1, Theorem 2]) has shown that every cubic bipartite graph has an even number of Hamiltonian cycles, Theorem 5 implies that every uniquely 3-line colorable cubic graph contains an odd cycle.

We conclude with a conjecture, which we suspect is a good deal easier than Conjecture 1.

**CONJECTURE 2.** If  $G$  is a cubic graph containing exactly three Hamiltonian cycles, then  $G$  is uniquely 3-line colorable.

## REFERENCES

1. J. Bosak, *Hamiltonian lines in cubic graphs*, Theory of Graphs (International Symposium, Rome, July 1966), Gordon and Breach, New York, (1967), 35–46.
2. D. Cartwright and F. Harary, *On the coloring of signed graphs*, Elem. Math. **23** (1968), 85–89.
3. G. Chartrand and D. P. Geller, *On uniquely colorable planar graphs*, J. Combinatorial Theory, **6** (1969), 271–278.
4. F. Harary, S. T. Hedetniemi, and R. W. Robinson, *Uniquely Colorable Graphs*, J. Combinatorial Theory, **6** (1969), 271–278.
5. ———, Errata, J. Combinatorial Theory, **9** (1970), p. 221.
6. V. G. Vizing, *A bound on the chromatic class of a  $p$ -graph*, Diskret Analiz., **3** (1964), 25–30.

EMORY UNIVERSITY,  
ATLANTA, GEORGIA

SUNY AT BINGHAMTON,  
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK