Eight-pass Nd: YAG Laser Amplifier at 5 Joule Level

Xinxing Lei^{1,2}, Zhou Dai³, Xiaokai Huang⁴, Yumei Zhang⁴, Suyang Wang^{1,2}, Yuhang Li^{1,2}, Qiang Liu^{1,2*}, and Xing Fu^{1,2*}

¹Department of Precision Instrument, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China ²State Key Laboratory of Precision Space-time Information Sensing Technology, Beijing 100084, China ³Weixian College, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China ⁴DFH Satellite Co. Ltd, Beijing 100094, China

* Correspondence to: Qiang Liu and Xing Fu. Email: qiangliu@tsinghua.edu.cn (Q. Liu); fuxing@tsinghua.edu.cn (X. Fu)

Abstract This paper presents an innovative eight-pass laser amplifier design that effectively utilizes polarization and angular multiplexing, enjoying high gain, high extraction efficiency, and compact layout. To optimize the design parameters, a general spatiotemporal model for a multi-pass amplifier is established that accounts for beam passages in different angles, and the predicted output energy and gain distribution agree well with the experimental results. The multi-pass amplifier scales the seed energy of 120 mJ to 5 J at 10 Hz and 3 J at 50 Hz, with the beam quality within three times the diffraction limit.

Key words: laser amplifier, multi-pass, nanosecond, joule-level

This peer-reviewed article has been accepted for publication but not yet copyedited or typeset, and so may be subject to change during the production process. The article is considered published and may be cited using its DOI.

This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

10.1017/hpl.2025.32

1. Introduction

High-repetition-rate nanosecond pulse lasers with energies above the joule level have extensive applications in industry, medicine, and scientific research ^[1-3]. To amplify the pulse energy of a seed laser, typically in the range of tens of millijoules, to several joules, an approximate gain of 50~100 is necessary. To obtain such a high gain, the traditional single-pass multi-stage amplification approach inevitably leads to a low extraction efficiency and high system complexity. Instead, employing the multi-pass amplifier provides an effective solution that can simultaneously achieve high gain and high extraction efficiency.

Methods of realizing multiple beam passages that extract the gain generally fall into two categories: (1) polarization multiplexing using polarizing beam splitters (PBSs) and different polarization states ^[4], with routine devices to alter polarization states such as wave plates, Faraday rotators, electro-optic switches, and birefringent crystals; (2) angular multiplexing through varying deflection angles, which can be subdivided into near-field ^[5] and far-field cases ^[6]. Certainly, two types of multiplexing can be combined to yield more beam passages. For example, the most common four-pass amplifier scheme adopts a quarter-wave plate or a Faraday rotator in conjunction with PBSs. In a further step, by converting the gain medium from a transmissive to a reflective configuration in the active mirror geometry ^[7], an eight-pass transmissive gain extraction can be realized. Using this approach, a pulsed laser output of 1 J was achieved at the repetition rate of 10 Hz^[8]. Additionally, a four-pass configuration combined with angular multiplexing was utilized to achieve eight-pass scaling, leading to an output energy of 305 mJ at 10 Hz^[9]. Furthermore, electro-optic switches and birefringent crystals were combined in a four-pass configuration to realize two distinct eight-pass amplification designs, yielding output energies of 2.7 J [10] and 2.5 J [11] respectively at a repetition rate of 1 Hz. However, due to the limitations imposed by the laser induced damage threshold, further enhancement in energy necessitates an expansion of the aperture size. While large-aperture Faraday rotators (e.g., gas-cooled

ceramic TGG designs^[12]) can overcome strict aperture constraints, their adoption in high-energy systems may be limited by practical challenges such as increased system complexity, thermal load management, and cost. Amplifiers designs that multiplexed various incidence angles have boosted the number of beam passages up to 16 ^[13] and 20 ^[14], however these necessitate a significant amount of space for separating the beamlets and setting up the optics.

In this work, a general spatiotemporal model for multi-pass amplifier that accounts for angular multiplexing is established, guided by which, an compact eight-pass Nd:YAG amplifier is designed and built, employing polarization and angular multiplexing and being free of the constraints of small-aperture devices such as the Faraday rotator. The reported multi-pass amplifier design demonstrates an output energy of 5 J at 10 Hz and 3 J at 50 Hz respectively, with the corrected beam quality within three times the diffraction limit, offering excellent beam expansion potential and power scaling capabilities.

2. Design and Modeling

The schematic diagram of the eight-pass amplifier is illustrated in Fig. 1(a), consisting of a PBS, a gain module, a pump array, a quarter-wave plate, and two mirrors. The gain module includes two Nd:YAG slabs, an anti-reflective (AR) and a high-reflective (HR) fused silica window, forming the geometry of an active mirror, and utilizes immersion water cooling for efficient thermal management.

Figure 1(b) depicts the sequence of laser beam passing through the gain medium, where "f" and "b" stand for forward and backward directions respectively, and the color bar illustrates the number of beam passages within the gain medium, while the dashed line indicates the pump area. As depicted in Fig. 1(c), the seed beam passes through the PBS and enters the module, undergoing double transmissions of the gain region in a V-shaped path. The outgoing beam is then reflected by a mirror M1 and re-enters the module at a small off-axis angle, so that the 3rd and 4th passages are spatially separated

from the first two passages (represented in different colors in the figure). The output beam after four passages is then guided back along its original path by the polarization multiplexing that uses the mirror M2 and the quarter-wave plate, and experiences another four passages through the gain region before being reflected at the PBS.



Figure 1. The schematic diagram of the 8-pass laser amplifier. (a) Optical layout. PBS, polarizing beam splitter; M1, M2, flat reflective mirror; QWP, quarter-wave plate. (b) The sequence of laser beam passing through the gain medium. (c) The propagation trajectory of the central ray in the gain module.

The angle of incidence for the first passage is denoted as θ_1 in Fig. 1(c), while the remaining angles θ_2 to θ_6 can be determined using the law of reflection and refraction. According to geometric relationships, the displacement of the light rays at each surface that are denoted as x_1 to x_3 can be calculated. The total projection lengths on the front and rear surfaces of the gain slab are respectively expressed as

$$\begin{cases} w_2 = \frac{D}{2\cos\theta_1} + \frac{D}{2\cos\theta_4} + x_2' + 2d_2\tan\theta_6 \\ w_3 = \frac{D}{2\cos\theta_1} + \frac{D}{2\cos\theta_4} + x_3 \end{cases}$$
(1)

where *D* is the injecting beam width.

Note that the displacement within the gain medium enlarges as the slab thickness d_2 increases. Therefore, in order to maintain a sufficient overlap among eight passages, which is important for maximizing the extraction efficiency of stored energy, the slab thickness is constrained. In addition, the displacement is dependent on the beam deviation angle α . A smaller α can reduce the displacement, but then need to enlarge the propagation distance L_1 in order to achieve beam separation in the angular multiplexing, implying a trade-off between the extraction efficiency and compactness.

In our design, we set $d_2=20 \text{ mm}$, $L_1=400 \text{ mm}$, $L_2=30 \text{ mm}$, $\alpha=7^{\circ}$, and $\theta_1=40^{\circ}$ so that the maximum displacement x'_2 within the gain medium reaches 10.4 mm, corresponding to 28% of the beam cross-section size, which is the projected length of the beam width (D = 30 mm), ensuring that two paths at different angles can be effectively separated while maintaining a compact configuration. The multiple beam passages within the gain medium form a trapezoid with the upper width of $w_2=65.0 \text{ mm}$ and the lower width of $w_3=49.4 \text{ mm}$. To achieve optimal pump matching, the pump beam distribution is also designed as a divergent trapezoidal shape. The multi-pass extracting beams and the pumping region within the gain medium are illustrated in Fig. 1(b).

Since the propagation time within the 8-pass amplifier (\sim 0.2 ns) is shorter than the pulse width around 10 ns, the beams from different passages always experience temporal overlap. On the other hand, the use of angular multiplexing results in spatial displacements among the beam paths from different passages, leading to a complicated spatiotemporal overlap phenomenon.

Our previous work presented a spatiotemporal model of an active mirror amplifier ^[15], which describes the stored energy density and extracting beam intensity at different positions within the gain medium at various time slices. The model implements both

temporal (with a temporal grid size of dt) and spatial discretization (with spatial grid sizes of dx and dz in two directions respectively, where $dl = \sqrt{dx^2 + dz^2}$), ensuring that the rays propagate along the grid diagonal, as represented by $\tan \theta = dx/dz$, where θ is the refraction angle in the gain medium. Furthermore, it must be satisfied that the exact time taken for the light to cross one spatial slice is dt = ndl/c, where c is the speed of light in vacuum and n is the refractive index of the gain medium. During the time interval $T \sim T + dt$, the extracting beam intensity and the instantaneous stored energy density at different locations are expressed as ^[15]:

$$I(nx_i(x, z, T), nt_i(x, z, T), T + dt) = G_e(x, z, t)I(nx_i(x, z, T), nt_i(x, z, T), T)$$
(2)

$$E_{st}(x, z, T + dt) = E_{st}(x, z, T) - [G_e(x, z, T) - 1]I_{in}(x, z, t)dt/dl$$
(3)

where $E_{st}(x, z, T)$ is the pump energy stored at the grid, and $I_{in}(x, z, t)$ is the cumulative intensity of all rays within the grid, and the gain $G_e(x, z, t)$ is calculated according to the Frantz–Nodvik equation, utilizing both $E_{st}(x, z, T)$ and $I_{in}(x, z, t)$.

However, the previous spatiotemporal model is not valid for the cases with angular multiplexing employed here. In this work, we extend the model to account for angular multiplexing in multi-pass power scaling. In contrast to adopting single incidence angle and thus single set of spatiotemporal grid for calculations in the previous model, the key of our new model is to simultaneously accommodate the successive propagation of rays at different angles. Specifically, we select one angle as the standard for grid division, where the ray propagation method is consistent with that of the previous model, referred to as grid-matched ray, as illustrated in Fig. 2(a). In this case, each step progresses by one grid unit along the x and z directions. Conversely, the ray at another angle is classified as non-grid-matched ray, as shown in Fig. 2(b). In this case, ray tracing is required to determine the grids traversed by the light, with additional increments of dx or dz typically introduced at regular intervals. Simultaneously, the temporal grid size dt exhibits a mismatch with the individual spatial grid, which necessitates a similar one-dimensional approach in the temporal dimension, whereby after each identical time interval, a step corresponds to two spatial grids. This approach

enables the simultaneous transmission of two light beams with different angles within a set of grids, thereby allowing for the calculation of their overlapping amplification. Furthermore, this approach remains valid for more complex scenarios such as much higher degree of angular multiplexing.



Figure 2. Schematic diagram of the propagation of two types of rays in a grid. (a) Gridmatched ray; (b) Non-grid-matched ray.

3. Results and Discussion

In the experiment, the seed beam has the maximum pulse energy of 120 mJ, the pulse width of 8 ns, and an adjustable repetition rate up to 100 Hz, at the beam size of 30 mm \times 30 mm, and the intensity distribution of seed beam has a flat-top profile. Within the gain module, there are two Nd:YAG crystal slabs with doping concentrations of 0.2 at.% and 0.5 at.%, respectively, each having the dimension of 70 mm \times 35 mm \times 10 mm, and the long axis is aligned with the [111] orientation. Each Nd:YAG slab is attached by four side surfaces with Sm:YAG claddings, which have the thickness of 3 mm and an absorption coefficient of 9 cm⁻¹ at 1064 nm, that absorb the amplified spontaneous emission (ASE).

The gain module, operating at 1064 nm, is pumped by a 240-bar laser diode array at the central wavelength of 808 nm, with the pulse duration of 300 μ s, the spectral bandwidth of 4.5 nm, and the maximum pump energy of 36 J. The measured small-signal gain indicates the gain saturation at the pump energy of 28.8 J, due to ASE limitations. The maximum small-signal gain for a single transmission is measured as 3.0 at the incident angle of 40°. The laser system has a compact footprint of 0.6 m × 0.3 m. The two large surfaces of each Nd:YAG slab and the large inner surface of two quartz windows are directly cooled with the circulating water, with a total of three cooling layers, each with the thickness of 0.4 mm. Deionized water at 20°C flows in a laminar manner along the x-direction at an average speed of 2 m/s for efficient cooling. Thanks to the laminar condition, no significant disturbance effect of the flowing water upon the laser wavefront is observed in the experiment.

As shown in Fig. 3, the seed energy of 120 mJ is scaled to 5 J at 10 Hz (operated for 2 minutes with a root-mean-square output energy stability of 1.6%) by the 8-pass amplifier, corresponding to 54% extraction efficiency, as defined as the ratio of extracted energy to the stored energy. The stored energy is determined as 9.0 J, by the measurement of small signal gain and model calculations. At the repetition rate of 50 Hz and the pump energy of 21.6 J, the output energy drops to 3 J (operated for 1 minute with a root-mean-square output energy stability of 2.0%), due to thermally induced depolarization at relatively high average power, where the measured depolarization loss is approximately 15%. Output energy at high repetition rate can be further enhanced by using thinner slabs and thus obtaining reduced temperature rise in the gain medium. The PBS used is a dielectric-coated plate, with a laser-induced damage threshold (LIDT) of 10 J/cm² at 1064 nm (10 ns pulse width), and all mirrors utilized have dielectric coatings with >99.8% reflectivity at 1064 nm and the LIDT of 10 J/cm². To evaluate system safety, the maximum fluence is monitored throughout the amplification process. The maximum amplified laser fluence reaches 0.43 J/cm², far below the damage threshold of the gain medium and optics. Post-experiment inspection confirmed no



observable damage, ensuring long-term operational stability.

Figure 3. Scaling performance of the amplifier at the seed energy of 120 mJ

Figure 4 shows the measured amplified output energy of 8-pass amplifier at 10 Hz versus different seed energy, which agrees well with the simulated results. In comparison to the 2-pass and 4-pass cases, the 8-pass amplifier has much higher output energy and much faster rises as the input energy increases, reaching the saturation stage at the injection of approximately 100 mJ while the other two scenarios have no sign of saturation. The comparison demonstrates the distinct advantage of 8-pass amplifier in both enhancing the amplification efficiency and reducing the input energy requirements. In contrast, the previous approach ^[15] is only capable of simulating the 8-pass case without angular deviation (i.e., $\alpha = 0$), as illustrated by the dash line in Fig. 4, showing an overestimation of the output energy by 12%.



Figure 4. Experimental output energy versus input energy for 8-pass amplifier, compared with the simulation results for 2-pass, 4-pass, and 8-pass configurations, as well as the simulated 8-pass case without angular deviation.

The established model of 8-pass amplifier clearly demonstrates how the spatiotemporal overlap in this amplifier affects the extraction efficiency. Figure 5 compares the two-dimensional distribution of small signal gain coefficient g_0 before and after the beam extraction at the output energy of 4.2 J, while the small signal gain coefficient averaged through the thickness direction (z) is depicted in Fig. 6. The experimental results are obtained by directing a probe laser beam through the gain module at the incidence angle of 2.4°. Due to the obstruction caused by mirror M1, only half of the measurement results along the x-direction are available. The good agreement between the experimental and simulated results in Fig. 6 verifies the validity of the presented model, while the simulated profile (green dotted line) by the previous model without angular deviation suggests a serious deviation from the measured distribution.

According to Fig. 1(b) and Fig. 6, the central region (x=35 mm) where the beam extracts the gain eight times exhibits a marked extraction efficiency of stored energy as high as 71%, close to the simulated value of 75%. In contrast, the regions on the edge

(x=19 mm) with only double passages has the measured extraction efficiency of 44% and the simulated result of 49%.



Figure 5. The calculation of g_0 in the *x*-*z* plane before and after energy extraction.



Figure 6. The x-dimensional profile of g_0 averaged over z before and after energy extraction. BE: before extraction; AE: after extraction

The diagram of output measurement setup is shown in Fig. 7(a), where lens L3 is replaced by a camera for near-field measurement. At the output of 5 J at 10 Hz, the peak-to-valley (PV) value of the wavefront is measured as 1.8 λ by using a Shack-Hartmann sensor, while at the output of 3 J, 50 Hz, the PV value is about 5 λ , as shown in Fig. 7(b). Thanks to the efficient immersion liquid cooling, the wavefront distortion is well controlled, primarily exhibiting a transverse cylindrical component. To correct the wavefront distortion, an adaptive optics system is employed at the output end, leading to the beam quality factor as $\beta_x = 2.16$, $\beta_y = 2.86$ at 5 J, 10 Hz, and $\beta_x = 2.22$, $\beta_y = 2.76$ at 3 J, 50 Hz, respectively, with the near-field and far-field pattern illustrated in Fig. 7(c) and (d).



Figure 7. Beam quality of amplified output (3J, 50 Hz). (a) Measurement setup; (b) Beam wavefront; (c) Near-field pattern; (d) Far-field pattern

4 Conclusion

In this work, we present a compact Nd:YAG amplifier that utilizes polarization and angular multiplexing to achieve efficient eight-pass transmission. A general spatiotemporal model of multi-pass amplifier that accounts for the angular multiplexing is established, which plays crucial role in designing the amplifier parameters and has its validity well verified by the experimental results. The multi-pass amplifier demonstrates an excellent energy scalability that amplifies the seed energy of 120 mJ to 5 J at 10 Hz and 3 J at 50 Hz, having the extraction efficiency of 54% and the beam quality within three times diffraction limit. This work provides a compact and effective multi-pass energy extraction solution while maintaining good beam quality, holding the promise of energy scaling to tens of joules level.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2022YFB3606300), and Tsinghua University (Department of Precision Instrument)-North Laser Research Institute Co., Ltd. Joint Research Center for Advanced Laser Technology (20216701004).

References

- T. Hatae, M. Nakatsuka, H. Yoshida, K. Ebisawa, Y. Kusama, K. Sato, A. Katsunuma, H. Kubomura, and K. Shinobu, "Progress in Development of Edge Thomson Scattering System for ITER," Fusion Science and Technology 51(2T), 58–61 (2007).
- S. Kalainathan and S. Prabhakaran, "Recent development and future perspectives of low energy laser shock peening," Optics & Laser Technology 81, 137–144 (2016).
- L. Behnke, E. J. Salumbides, G. Göritz, Y. Mostafa, D. Engels, W. Ubachs, and O. Versolato, "High-energy parametric oscillator and amplifier pulsed light source at 2-μm," Optics Express 31(15), 24142 (2023).

- T. Liu, Z. Sui, L. Chen, Z. Li, Q. Liu, M. Gong, and X. Fu, "12 J, 10 Hz diodepumped Nd:YAG distributed active mirror amplifier chain with ASE suppression," Optics Express 25(18), 21981 (2017).
- B. Shi, G. He, J. Mao, F. Wang, K. Yang, B. Zhang, and J. He, "Simulation and experimental results of a high-gain two-stage and double-pass off-axis Nd:YVO₄ picosecond laser amplifier," Applied Optics 60(1), 186 (2021).
- B. M. Van Wonterghem, J. R. Murray, J. H. Campbell, D. R. Speck, C. E. Barker, I. C. Smith, D. F. Browning, and W. C. Behrendt, "Performance of a prototype for a large-aperture multipass Nd:glass laser for inertial confinement fusion," Applied Optics 36(21), 4932 (1997).
- T. Gonçalvès-Novo, D. Albach, B. Vincent, M. Arzakantsyan, and J.-C. Chanteloup, "14 J / 2 Hz Yb³⁺:YAG diode pumped solid state laser chain," Optics Express 21(1), 855 (2013).
- T. Hamoudi, H. Chesneau, T. Dubé, E. Dubois, M. Lamy, C. Maunier, A. Braud, C. Meroni, P. Camy, and S. Montant, "Comparison of simulation and experimental characterization of a 4-pass diode-pumped 4-active-mirrors 1053 nm laser amplifier," EPJ Web of Conference 266, 13015 (2022).
- Y. Liu, U. Demirbas, M. Kellert, J. Thesinga, H. Cankaya, Y. Hua, L. E. Zapata, M. Pergament, and F. X. Kärtner, "Eight-pass Yb:YLF cryogenic amplifier generating 305-mJ pulses," OSA Continuum 3(10), 2722 (2020).
- K. Yao, S. Gao, J. Tang, X. Xie, C. Fan, Z. Lu, X. Fu, M. Fan, X. Tian, B. Zhang, X. Sun, D. Xu, K. Zheng, L. Liu, Z. Peng, and J. Su, "Off-axis eight-pass neodymium glass laser amplifier with high efficiency and excellent energy stability," Applied Optics 57(29), 8727 (2018).
- K. Yao, X. Xie, J. Tang, C. Fan, S. Gao, Z. Lu, X. Fu, X. Tian, K. Zheng, M. Fan, and Q. Zhu, "An efficient off-axis multi-pass Nd:glass amplifier utilizing a birefringence crystal," Laser Physics 29(11), 115002 (2019).
- 12. F. Batysta, J. Novák, E. Erdman, E. Sistrunk, A. Vella, T. Galvin, M. Torrance, J.

Mambourg, D. Scerbak, C. Byrne, B. Rus, A. Bayramian, K. Schaffers, C. Heinbockel, P. Rosso, D. Gibson, K. Velas, and T. Spinka, "Characterization of a multi-kW, large-aperture gas-cooled Faraday rotator," Optics Express 33(4), 7372 (2025).

- K. Schuhmann, M. A. Ahmed, A. Antognini, T. Graf, T. W. Hänsch, K. Kirch, F. Kottmann, R. Pohl, D. Taqqu, A. Voss, and B. Weichelt, "Thin-disk laser multi-pass amplifier," Solid State Lasers XXIV: Technology and Devices. SPIE, 2015, 9342, 190 (2015).
- C. Wandt, S. Klingebiel, S. Keppler, M. Hornung, M. Loeser, M. Siebold, C. Skrobol, A. Kessel, S. A. Trushin, Z. Major, J. Hein, M. C. Kaluza, F. Krausz, and S. Karsch, "Development of a Joule-class Yb:YAG amplifier and its implementation in a CPA system generating 1 TW pulses," Laser & Photonics Reviews 8(6), 875–881 (2014).
- T. Liu, Q. Liu, Z. Sui, M. Gong, and X. Fu, "Spatiotemporal characterization of laser pulse amplification in double-pass active mirror geometry," High Power Laser Science and Engineering 8, e30 (2020).